

Copyright Undertaking

This thesis is protected by copyright, with all rights reserved.

By reading and using the thesis, the reader understands and agrees to the following terms:

- 1. The reader will abide by the rules and legal ordinances governing copyright regarding the use of the thesis.
- 2. The reader will use the thesis for the purpose of research or private study only and not for distribution or further reproduction or any other purpose.
- 3. The reader agrees to indemnify and hold the University harmless from and against any loss, damage, cost, liability or expenses arising from copyright infringement or unauthorized usage.

IMPORTANT

If you have reasons to believe that any materials in this thesis are deemed not suitable to be distributed in this form, or a copyright owner having difficulty with the material being included in our database, please contact lbsys@polyu.edu.hk providing details. The Library will look into your claim and consider taking remedial action upon receipt of the written requests.

Pao Yue-kong Library, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong

http://www.lib.polyu.edu.hk

DETECTING AND ASSESSING OLDER ADULTS' STRESSFUL INTERACTIONS WITH THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT: AN ELDERLY-CENTRIC AND WEARABLE SENSING-BASED APPROACH

ALEX TORKU

PhD

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

2022

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Department of Building and Real Estate

Detecting and Assessing Older Adults' Stressful Interactions with the Built Environment: An Elderly-Centric and Wearable Sensing-Based Approach

Alex Torku

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

JUNE 2021

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINALITY

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own work and that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, it reproduces no material previously published or written, nor material that has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma, except where due acknowledgment has been made in the text.

_____(Signed)

Alex Torku (Name of Student)

DEDICATION

To my family

ABSTRACT

Globally, one in six people is expected to age 65 years by 2050. Not only is the global population ageing, but also the built environment infrastructure in many cities and communities are approaching their design life. This phenomenon is referred to as "double ageing". Ageing built environment infrastructure with defects are likely to result in environmental barriers with excessive demands; humans experience stress and/or their mobility is limited when the environmental demands exceed their functional capability. Given that human's functional capability declines with ageing, there is more likelihood for older adults to experience stressful environmental interactions that could limit their mobility than the average person. Current approaches to detect environmental barriers are inefficient, time-consuming, and costly, which may limit the frequency and scope of the built environment assessment. In order to promote active ageing in cities and communities, urban planners and municipal decision-makers need a more efficient approach to assess and detect excessively demanding environmental conditions. The aim of this research is to promote older adults' mobility by reducing environmental demands. The overall goal of this research is in two folds: (1) to enable practitioners to detect stressful older adults-environment interactions in near real-time and (2) to bring to the limelight the influence of urban environment configurations on older adults' stress response. To achieve this goal, this research harnessed the current advances in wearable sensing technologies to collect older adults' bodily responses (i.e., physiological, behavioural, and cognitive responses) to their interaction with the environment as a means of assessing and detecting environmental barriers.

Specifically, a methodological framework was developed for researchers and practitioners to determine the relevance and informativeness of people's bodily responses in the context of their study. Based on this framework, it was identified that older adults' physiological response

is more informative than the cognitive and behavioural responses. The informativeness of the cognitive response was affected by the walking activity, and the gait abnormality among older adults affected their behavioural responses. A statistical, spatial and space-time pattern mining was conducted to understand the relationships in older adults' physiological responses to the built environment. The results demonstrate that the relationships between older adults' physiological response and the environmental condition are less apparent at the individual level. However, using collective sensing (i.e., aggregating multiple participants' physiological responses) can accommodate the individual variability and capture any normality in the data, which is indicative of an environment's condition. An optimised environmental stress hot spot detection framework was developed using an Ensemble bagged tree algorithm that achieved 98% accuracy. A simulation-based approach was used to examine areas within the study area that are sufficiently powered to detect stress hot spots that pose high risk to older adults. The results demonstrate that urban planners and municipal decision-makers can use this approach to detect and alleviate stressful environmental conditions more efficiently; as a result, improving older adult's mobility in the built environment. An integrated methodology based on machine learning and an evolutionary rule-based system was developed to further understand the influence of visuospatial configurations (specifically, isovist indicators) of urban space on older adults' physiological stress. The results demonstrate that isovist minimum visibility, occlusivity and isovist area are the most influential determinants of older adults' physiological stress and non-stress response. Older adults prefer urban configurations where they can be seen. The generated visuospatial configurations can be used to inform urban design and planning.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal Papers (Published or Accepted)

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). The influence of urban visuospatial configuration on older adults' stress: A wearable physiological-perceived stress sensing and data mining based-approach, *Building and Environment*, 108298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.108298

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., and Yung, E.H.K. (2021). Implementation of age-friendly initiatives in smart cities: Probing the barriers through a systematic review, *Built Environment Project and Asset Management*, *11*(*3*), 412-426. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/BEPAM-01-2020-0008</u>

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., and Yung, E.H.K. (2021). Age-friendly cities and communities: A critical review and future directions, *Ageing & Society*, *41*(1), 2242-2279. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X20000239

Journal Papers (Under Review)

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). Wearable sensing and mining of the informativeness of older adults' bodily responses to detect demanding environmental conditions, *Environment and Behavior*. (Under Review). E&B-20-0532.R2

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). Learning to detect older adults' environmental stress hotspots to improve neighbourhood mobility: A multimodal physiological sensing, machine learning and risk hotspot analysis-based approach, *Cities* (Under Review). JCIT-D-21-01443

Conference Papers (Published or Presented)

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J., Yang, Y. (2021). Neural signatures of older adults as indicators of the age-friendliness of the built environment, *American Real Estate Society (ARES) 2021*, 17-20 March 2020, Las Vegas, USA (Virtual conference).

Torku, A. (2021). An elderly-centric sensing approach to assessing and detecting built environment barriers to improve the age-friendliness of cities and communities. Presented at the 2021 doctoral program sponsored by the James R. Webb American Real Estate Society (ARES) Foundation, 17-20 March 2020, Las Vegas, USA (Virtual conference).

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., and Yung, E.H.K. (2019). The status of age-friendly community research. In *The International Council for Research and Innovation in Building and Construction (CIB) World Building Congress 2019 – Constructing Smart Cities*, 189-197. 17-21 June 2019, Hong Kong. (Invited to the special issue of the Emerald Journal of Built Environment Project and Asset Management).

Honours and Awards

Recognition of Research Performance (April 28, 2021), Grant Amount: HK\$ 20,000 Awarded by the University Grant Council (UGC) of Hong Kong, under the Hong Kong PhD Fellowship Scheme (HKPFS).

Best Presentation Award (April 9, 2021), Workshop on Sustainable Urban Systems, Department of Building and Real Estate, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University. Presentation Title: Detecting Built Environment Barriers to Promote Older Adults' Mobility: A Wearable Sensing Approach.

James Webb American Real Estate Society (ARES) Foundation Award (January 19, 2021). Funding to support doctoral students to attend the 2021 American Real Estate Society (ARES) Annual Meeting in Las Vegas.

Best Presentation Award (1st Place) (May 18, 2019), 18 Academic Conference for Post-Graduate Student in Construction Management and Real Estate, Department of Building and Real Estate, organised by The HK PolyU Student Chapter of CIB, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University. Presentation Title: Ageing in Cities and Communities: Active or Vulnerable?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I acknowledge that God alone deserves the glory for this work. I express my profound gratitude to my Chief supervisor, Ir. Professor Albert P. C. Chan for his continuous support, guidance, encouragement, and insightful advice over the years. I am forever humbled by your kindness. My appreciation also goes to my Co-supervisor, Dr. Esther H. K. Yung for all her directions. Thank you for encouraging me to understand the theoretical foundation of any work I present to you. I especially want to thank Dr. JoonOh Seo and Dr. Changbum R. Ahn for their support and contribution to this study. Their directions have immensely influenced my approach to research. Thank you, Dr. JoonOh Seo for shaping my thinking and research philosophy, especially how to approach problems and communicate ideas.

I am thankful to the Research Grant Council of Hong Kong for awarding me with the Hong Kong Ph.D. Fellowship Scheme and the Department of Building and Real Estate, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University for providing further financial support to complete this work. I could not be more grateful for the funding. The funding allowed me to focus on my research.

I would like to acknowledge the Institute of Active Ageing, particularly Prof. Daniel W. L. Lai and Mr. Jeffrey Chan for their support in participant recruitment. I would like to especially thank Dr. Jackie Yang for helping me during the participant recruitment, screening, scheduling appointments, data collection, and even translating some of the instruments. This work would not have been completed without you. Thank you very much. Many thanks to Dr. Maxwell Fordjour Antwi-Afari and Dr. Adabre Michael Atafo for taking the time out of your busy schedules to help me during my data collection. God richly bless you. To all the older adults that participated in the study, thank you for your time and efforts in making our cities and communities more age-friendly. I have also been fortunate to be part of a great research team; thank you to each of you!

Finally, I would like to thank all my family members for their love, support and understanding. To my parents (Frank and Veronica), thank you for making me who I am today. To my siblings (Freedom, Fortune, Justice and Delphine), you are the best! You have been there with me since the beginning. Also, many thanks to Mrs. Philomena Hevi Anderson and Mr. Alfred Agbosu for your constant love and support. I am especially thankful to Eugenia. Thank you for your love and encouragement.

CONTENTS

| DEDICATION | , III |
|--|------------|
| ABSTRACT | IV |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS V | 'III |
| CONTENTS | IX |
| LIST OF FIGURES | (VI |
| LIST OF TABLES | ίX |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS X | XI |
| | |
| PART I: INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW | 1 |
| CHAPTER 1:INTRODUCTION | 2 |
| 1.1 Background | 2 |
| 1.2 The Problem: Current Approaches to Assess and Detect Environmental Barriers | 4 |
| 1.3 The Proposal and Research Aim: An Elderly-Centric and Wearable Sensing Approac | ch6 |
| 1.4 Research Aim and Objectives | 7 |
| 1.5 Research Design and Approach | 8 |
| 1.6 Research Significance | .11 |
| 17 One enjoy tis model to The size | |
| 1.7 Organisation of the Thesis | .11 |

| CHAPTER 2:LITERATURE REVIEW | 13 |
|--|----|
| 2.1 Introduction | 13 |
| 2.2 Age-friendly Cities and Communities | 14 |
| 2.3 Why the Outdoor Environment | 18 |
| 2.4 Built Environment Determinant of Walking | 19 |

| 2.5 Assessing the Built Environment to Promote Mobility | 21 |
|--|----------|
| 2.6 Sensing of Bodily Responses to the Environment | 23 |
| 2.7 Bodily Response for Assessing Environmental Features | 25 |
| 2.7.1 Electrodermal Activity (EDA) | 25 |
| 2.7.2 Electrocardiogram (ECG or EKG) and Blood Volume Pulse (BVP) | 27 |
| 2.7.3 Gait | 29 |
| 2.7.4 Eye Movement | 29 |
| 2.7.5 Electroencephalogram (EEG) | 30 |
| 2.8 Summary and Research Gaps | |
| 2.8.1 Research Gap One: Informativeness of Bodily Response | |
| 2.8.2 Research Gap Two: Relationships in Older Adult's Bodily Responses Re | esulting |
| from their Interaction with the Environment | 40 |
| 2.8.3 Research Gap Three: Optimised Environmental Stress Detection | 40 |
| 2.8.4 Research Gap Four: Influence of Visuospatial Configuration of Urban Sp | pace on |
| Older Adults' Stress Response | 41 |

PART II: TOWARDS ELDERLY-CENTRIC AND WEARABLE SENSING......42

| CHAPTER 3:RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 43 |
|--|----|
| 3.1 Introduction | 43 |
| 3.1.1 Methodological Underpinning: Ecological Validity | 44 |
| 3.2 Experiment Design | 45 |
| 3.2.1 Enrolment | 45 |
| 3.2.2 Screening: Eligibility Criteria | 47 |
| Age | 47 |
| Walking Ability | 47 |
| Cognitive Status | 47 |
| 3.2.3 Eligible Participants | 49 |
| 3.2.4 Practice Session | 50 |
| 3.2.5 Path for Environmental Walk | 50 |
| 3.3 Field Data Collection: Observers' Audit of Path Condition | 53 |
| 3.4 Field Data Collection: Bodily Response and Perceived Response Collection | 62 |
| 3.4.1 Collected Bodily Responses and Environmental Data | 63 |
| 3.4.2 Older Adults' Perceived Stress During Environmental Walk | 64 |

| 3.5 Wearable Sensors for Collecting Bodily Response and Environmental Data | 65 |
|--|----|
| 3.5.1 Physiological Response Sensors | 65 |
| 3.5.2 Cognitive Response Sensors | 66 |
| 3.5.3 Behavioural (Gait and Motion) Response Sensors | 67 |
| 3.5.4 Environmental Data Sensors | 68 |
| 3.6 Ethics Statement | 69 |
| 3.7 Data Analysis | 69 |
| 3.7.1 Pre-Processing of Bodily Response Data | 69 |
| HRV Detection and Signal Pre-processing | 69 |
| EDA Signal Pre-processing | 70 |
| EEG Signal Pre-processing | 70 |
| Plantar Pressure and Acceleration Signal Pre-processing | 70 |
| 3.7.2 Baseline Normalisation | 71 |
| 3.7.3 Methods | 71 |
| 3.8 Chapter Summary | 71 |

| PART III: DETECTING INTERACTIONS | STRESSFUL | OLDER | ADULTS-ENVIRONM | ENT 73 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------|
| CHAPTER 4:ASSESSMENT O | F THE INFO | RMATIVE | NESS OF OLDER ADUI | LTS' |
| BODILY RESPONSE | ••••• | ••••• | | 74 |
| 4.1 Introduction | | | | 74 |
| 4.2 Methodological Framework: | : Assessing Info | rmativeness | of Bodily Response | 75 |
| 4.2.1 Feature Extraction | ••••• | | | 75 |
| 4.2.2 AI-based Information M | lining | ••••• | | 80 |
| 4.2.3 Validation | | | | 84 |
| 4.3 Results and Discussion | ••••• | | | 85 |
| 4.3.1 Physiological Response | ••••• | | | 85 |
| 4.3.2 Cognitive Response | | | | 89 |
| 4.3.3 Behavioural Response | | | | 93 |
| 4.4 Chapter Summary | | | | 97 |

| CHAPTER 5:INTERACTION OF OLDER ADULTS' PHYSIOLOGICAL RES | PONSE |
|---|-----------|
| WITH THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT: STATISTICAL, SPATIAL AND TEM | PORAL |
| RELATIONSHIPS | |
| 5.1 Introduction | |
| 5.2 Methods | 100 |
| 5.2.1 Physiological Reflectors of Human-Environment Stressful Interactions | 100 |
| 5.2.2 Statistical Analysis | |
| 5.2.3 Spatial Analysis | |
| 5.2.4 Spatiotemporal Analysis | 104 |
| 5.3 Results | 106 |
| 5.3.1 Physiological Reflectors of Human-Environment Stressful Interactions | 106 |
| 5.3.2 Statistical Analysis | |
| 5.3.3 Spatial Analysis | 111 |
| 5.3.4 Spatiotemporal Analysis | 114 |
| 5.4 Discussion | 116 |
| 5.4.1 A Comparison of Older Adults' Physiological-Environmental Interaction | ıs, Older |
| Adults' Perceived Stress Assessments, and Observers' Path Audit | 116 |
| 5.4.2 Collective Sensing can Address Individual Variability | |
| 5.5 Chapter Summary | |

| 6.1 Introduction | 122 |
|--|-----|
| 6.2 Optimised Stress Detection Framework | 124 |
| 6.2.1 The Optimum Set of Informative Features | 124 |
| 6.2.2 Multimodal Sensing and Fusion | 124 |
| 6.2.3 Machine Learning Algorithms | 125 |
| 6.2.4 Validation | 127 |
| 6.2.5 Visualisation of Detected Stress Samples | 128 |
| 6.2.6 Identifying Spatial Clusters of Risk Stress Hot spot | 128 |
| 6.3 Results | 130 |
| 6.3.1 The Optimum Set of Informative Features | 130 |
| 6.3.2 Performance of the Machine Learning Algorithms | 130 |

| 6.3.3 Visualisation of Detected Stress Samples | |
|---|-----|
| 6.3.4 Spatial Relative Risk Stress Hot spot | 133 |
| 6.3.5 Examination of Spatial Clusters of Risk Stress Hot spot | 137 |
| 6.4 Discussion | 141 |
| 6.5 Chapter Summary | 142 |

| PART IV: THE INFLUENCE OF URBAN ENVIRONMENT CONFIGURA | TION | IS ON |
|--|--------|---------|
| OLDER ADULTS' STRESS RESPONSE | ••••• | 144 |
| CHAPTER 7:INFLUENCE OF VISUOSPATIAL CONFIGURATION | OF | THE |
| URBAN ENVIRONMENT ON OLDER ADULTS' PHYSIOLOGICAL STR | ESS . | 145 |
| 7.1 Introduction | | 145 |
| 7.2 Visuospatial Perception | ••••• | 146 |
| 7.3 Methods | ••••• | 149 |
| 7.3.1 Detecting Stress and Non-stress Responses | | 149 |
| 7.3.2 Measuring Visuospatial Perception: Isovist Analysis | ••••• | 150 |
| 7.3.3 Influence of Visuospatial Perception on Physiological Response: Sel | f-Orga | nising |
| Map | ••••• | 154 |
| 7.3.4 Identifying the Most Influential Isovist Indicators of Physiological Res | ponse | 156 |
| 7.3.5 Design by Evolutionary Algorithmic Rule: Generative Design | ••••• | 157 |
| 7.3.6 Multi-Objective Evolutionary Fuzzy Systems | | 158 |
| 7.3.7 Validation | ••••• | 160 |
| 7.4 Results | ••••• | 161 |
| 7.4.1 Detected Stress and Non-stress Responses | | 161 |
| 7.4.2 Influence of Visuospatial Perception on Stress and Non-stress Response | e | 163 |
| 7.4.3 The Learning Process | | 165 |
| 7.4.4 Visualisation of the SOM | ••••• | 167 |
| 7.4.5 Most Influential Isovist Indicators of Physiological Response | | 169 |
| 7.4.6 Multi-objective Evolutionary Fuzzy Systems: Generated | Visuo | spatial |
| Configurations with Physiological Effect | ••••• | 170 |
| 7.5 Discussion | ••••• | 170 |
| 7.5.1 Comparison with Similar Studies | ••••• | 176 |
| 7.6 Chapter Summary | | 179 |

| PART V: RESEARCH CONCLUSION | |
|--|---------------|
| CHAPTER 8: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 181 |
| 8.1 Summary of Research | 181 |
| 8.1.1 Objective 1: To Assess the Informative of People's Bodily Responses. | |
| 8.1.2 Objective 2: To Examine the Relationships in Older Adult's Bodil | y Responses |
| Resulting from their Interaction with the Environment | 183 |
| 8.1.3 Objective 3: To Detect Older Adults' Stressful Environmental Interaction | ions in Near- |
| Real Time | 184 |
| 8.1.4 Objective 4: To Examine the Influence of Visuospatial Configuration | on of Urban |
| Space on Older Adults' Stress Response | |
| 8.2 Contribution to Knowledge, Practice and Impact | 185 |
| 8.2.1 Contributions to Academia | |
| 8.2.2 Incorporating the Elderly-centric Wearable Sensing-based Approach | ı into Urban |
| Planning | 186 |
| 8.2.3 Research Impact | 190 |
| Older Adults | 190 |
| Society and Community | 190 |
| Urban Planners and Policymakers | 190 |
| The Economy | 191 |
| 8.3 Limitations and Future Research | 191 |
| APPENDIX A | 193 |
| TINETTI ASSESSMENT TOOL | 193 |
| Tinetti Assessment Tool: Balance | 194 |
| Tinetti Assessment Tool: Gait | 195 |
| APPENDIX B | 196 |
| THE MINI-MENTAL STATE EXAMINATION | 196 |
| The Original Version of the Mini-Mental State Examination | 196 |
| The Cantonese Version of the Mini-Mental State Examination | 197 |
| APPENDIX C | |

| INTEGRATED | VERSION | OF | THE | EAST-HK | WITH | SWEAT-R | ASSESSMENT |
|--------------------|---------|-------|-------|---------|------|-------------|------------|
| TOOL | ••••• | ••••• | ••••• | ••••• | | ••••••••••• | |
| REFERENCES. | ••••• | ••••• | | ••••• | | ••••••••••• | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1.1: Flowchart of research design, approach and the interrelations between the |
|---|
| objectives10 |
| Figure 2.1: Bodily response sensors |
| Figure 3.1: Flowchart of experiment procedure |
| Figure 3.2: Field experiment overview. (a) Predefined path for environmental walk. (b) Older |
| adult equipped with wearable sensors |
| Figure 3.3: Photo description of path segment A to D |
| Figure 3.4: Photo description of path segment E to H53 |
| Figure 3.5: The commonly perceived stress among the participants. The path label is the |
| perception of at least four participants out of ten |
| Figure 3.6: The physiological sensor used in the experiment |
| Figure 3.7: The cognitive sensor used in the experiment. (a) 14 channel mobile EEG headset. |
| (b) The position of the 14 channels corresponds to the international 10-20 position |
| system67 |
| Figure 3.8: The behavioural (gait and motion) sensor used in the experiment. (a) Positions of |
| pressure sensors and inertial measurement unit (IMU) in the insole sensor. (b) |
| Top view and bottom view of insole sensor. (c) Thickness of insole sensor68 |
| Figure 3.9: The GPS sensor used in the experiment |
| Figure 4.1: Methodological framework for assessing informativeness of bodily responses75 |
| Figure 4.2: Distribution of the EEG channels across the scalp |
| Figure 4.3: Plantar pressure sensors and IMU sensor positions |
| Figure 5.1: Overview of the study. |
| Figure 5.2: Methodological flow chart |
| Figure 5.3: A continuous decomposition of EDA into tonic component and phasic component. |
| |

Figure 5.4: Aggregating participants' physiological responses into space-time bins with GPS coordinates (adapted from Esri, 2020a).....106 Figure 5.5: The *LFHF* measure of two participants during the environmental walk on the path. Figure 5.6: The PhasicMax measure of two participants during the environmental walk on the Figure 5.7: Spatial clusters of collective physiological responses based on LFHF measure. Figure 5.8: Spatial clusters of collective physiological responses based on PhasicMax Figure 5.9: Spatiotemporal clusters of collective physiological responses based on LFHF Figure 5.10: Comparison of perceived stress, observers' path audit, and detected hot and cold Figure 6.2: Confusion matrix of the best performance Ensemble bagged tree algorithm for (a) detecting non-stress and stress samples; (b) detecting low and high-stress Figure 6.3: (a) Detected stress hot spot locations. (b) Detected high-stress hot spot locations. (c) Perceived stress and non-stress assessment by participants. (d) Path audit by observers. The environmental condition was rated as poor, moderate or good. F = Rating for functionality; S = Rating for safety; A = Rating for aesthetics; O = Figure 6.4: First iteration of simulated randomly generated point-level physiological data assuming complete spatial randomness......135 Figure 6.5: Clusters of SRR high-stress hot spot within study area (i.e., path segment A to H). (a) Proportion of simulation significant SRR high-stress hot spot clusters for the simulated 10,000 iterations. (b) Areas within the study area that are sufficiently Figure 6.6: Environmental barriers at locations of risk stress hot spot. Base map and data copyrighted 2020 Esri, OpenStreetMap contributors and the GIS user community. Figure 6.7: Pictures of environmental barriers at locations of risk stress hot spot......140

| Figure 7.1: Research overview and methodological framework146 |
|---|
| Figure 7.2: Generated spatial layout with isovist from an observation point |
| Figure 7.3: Path with perceiver's view in the forward direction, starting from A to L154 |
| Figure 7.4: Detected spatial significant stress and non-stress locations |
| Figure 7.5: PCA biplot of spatially significant matched samples of isovist indicators and |
| physiological responses164 |
| Figure 7.6: SOM architecture |
| Figure 7.7: Influence of isovist indicators on participants' physiological stress168 |
| Figure 7.8: Hierarchy of influential isovist indicator (s) subsets with corresponding |
| performance when tested on machine learning algorithms with 10-fold cross- |
| validation169 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1: AFCC concepts | 16 |
|--|-------------|
| Table 2.2: Built environment factors that may influence walking | 20 |
| Table 2.3: Bodily response for assessing environmental features | |
| Table 3.1: Demographic information of participants | |
| Table 3.2: Observers' path audit | |
| Table 3.3: Sample of collected bodily response and location data during environm | nental walk |
| | 63 |
| Table 3.4: Perceived stress distribution on path | 64 |
| Table 4.1: Features extracted from HRV signal | 77 |
| Table 4.2: Features extracted from EDA signal | |
| Table 4.3: Features extracted from EEG signal | 79 |
| Table 4.4: Features extracted from plantar pressure signal | |
| Table 4.5: Features extracted from acceleration signal | |
| Table 4.6: Most informative HRV features | |
| Table 4.7: Most informative EDA features | |
| Table 4.8: Most informative EEG features | |
| Table 4.9: Most informative plantar pressure features | |
| Table 4.10: Most informative acceleration features | |
| Table 5.1: A comparison of LFHF measure in environmental conditions perceiv | red as non- |
| stress and environmental conditions perceived as stress | |
| Table 5.2: A comparison of PhasicMax measure in environmental conditions percei | ved as non- |
| stress and environmental conditions perceived as stress | |
| Table 6.1: Optimum set of informative features for stress detection | |
| Table 6.2: Performance of the machine learning algorithms | |

| Table 6.3: (| Classification of participant's interaction with the environment into (1) non-stre | ess |
|---------------------|--|-----|
| 8 | and stress; and (2) low-stress and high-stress samples based on Ensemble bagg | ed |
| t | tree algorithm1 | 33 |
| Table 7.1: I | sovist indicators and corresponding experiential properties14 | 48 |
| Table 7.2: F | Parameters used to run multi-objective evolutionary fuzzy rule-based system1 | 60 |
| Table 7.3: (| Optimal hyperparameters settings for SOM and SOM validation result10 | 65 |
| Table 7.4: [| Dominant pattern in the SOM1 | 70 |
| Table 7.5: \ | Visuospatial configurations with physiological effect1 | 71 |
| Table 7.6: S | Summary of previous studies1 | 77 |
| | | |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

| AFCC | Age-friendly city and community |
|---------|--|
| AI | Artificial intelligence |
| ANS | Autonomic nervous system |
| AUROC | Area under the receiver operating characteristic |
| BMU | Best matching unit |
| BVP | Blood volume pulse |
| CMMSE | Cantonese version of the Mini-Mental State Examination |
| DBN | Deep belief network |
| DT | Decision tree |
| EAST-HK | Environment in Asia Scan Tool—Hong Kong version |
| ECG | Electrocardiogram |
| EDA | Electrodermal activity |
| EEG | Electroencephalography |
| EMG | Electromyography |
| GIS | Geographic Information System |
| GPS | Global Positioning System |
| GSR | Galvanic skin response |
| HR | Heart rate |
| HRV | Heart rate variability |
| HSESC | Human Subjects Ethics Sub-committee |
| IBI | Interbeat interval |
| IMU | Inertial measurement unit |
| KDE | Kernel density estimation |
| kNN | k-Nearest Neighbour |
| LR | Logistic regression |
| MMSE | Mini-Mental State Examination |
| NB | Naïve Bayes |

- PCA Principal component analysis
- PPG Photoplethysmography
- RF Random Forest
- SCL Skin conductance level
- SCR Skin conductance response
- SOM Self-organising map
- SRR Spatial relative risk
- STC Space-time cube
- SU Symmetrical uncertainty
- SVM Support Vector Machine
- SWEAT-R Older adults Senior Walking Environmental Assessment Tool—Revised
 - WHO World Health Organisation

PART I: INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

INTRODUCTION¹

1.1 Background

Ageing is not new to humans, but longevity is. The global population is ageing with increasing life expectancy. The proportion of the global population aged 65 years or over (referred to as older adults in this study) has increased substantially over the years. The people in the global population aged 65 and over are projected to increase from 9.3% in 2020 to 16.0% in 2050 (United Nations, 2020). Globally, one in six people is expected to age 65 years by 2050 (United Nations, 2020). With the changing age structure of the projected population, many countries are confronted with unprecedented challenges. An effective local approach for responding to population ageing is by creating environments that are inclusive and accessible to promote active ageing (WHO, 2007). Active ageing is a concept developed by the World Health

¹ This chapter is based on studies that are currently under consideration for publication.

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). The influence of urban visuospatial configuration on older adults' stress: A wearable physiological-perceived stress sensing and data mining based-approach, *Building and Environment*, 108298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.108298

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). Wearable sensing and mining of the informativeness of older adults' bodily responses to detect demanding environmental conditions, *Environment and Behavior*. (Under Review). E&B-20-0532.R2

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). Learning to detect older adults' environmental stress hotspots to improve neighbourhood mobility: A multimodal physiological sensing, machine learning and risk hotspot analysis-based approach, *Cities* (Under Review). JCIT-D-21-01443

Organisation (WHO), which emphasises creating an enabling environment for older adults to continue participating in social, economic, civic engagement and physical activity in order to enhance their quality of life as they age (WHO, 2018; Torku et al., 2021). Since the launch of the Global Network for Age-friendly Cities and Communities in 2010, an increasing number of cities, communities and organisations are committed to listening to the needs of their ageing population, assessing, and monitoring their age-friendliness and working collaboratively with older people and across sectors to create age-friendly physical and social environments (WHO, 2020). For instance, there are currently 1000 cities and communities in 41 countries, covering over 240 million people worldwide committed to becoming more age-friendly (WHO, 2020). The older adult's mobility-their ability to achieve access to their desired places (physical environment) and people (social environment)—is critical for such an enabling environment (referred to as age-friendly cities and communities) to adequately function. Mobility is essential to accessing commodities, using neighbourhood facilities, engaging in social, cultural, and physical activity; thus, fundamental to active ageing (Rantanen, 2013). Although mobility restrictions are more common in older adults, they are not typically the result of the individual's conditions but arise from interactions between individual factors and environmental demand (Webber et al., 2010; Verbrugge, 2020; WHO, 2001). The two main interventions to promote mobility is either by increasing capability or reducing demand (Verbrugge and Jette, 1994; Verbrugge, 2020). This study focuses on the modification of the built environment to reduce environmental demand. Environmental demand is the collective influence of elements constituting the environment to produce expectations for certain human actions and reactions (Hagedorn, 2001; Lee et al., 2020). When environmental demand meets a person's capability, the person can achieve successful mobility. On the other hand, the person experience stress and/or their mobility is limited when the environmental demand exceeds his

or her capability (Mair et al., 2011; Yang and Matthews, 2010; Lawton, 1982; Webber et al., 2010).

Stress is a type of relationship between person and environment which occurs when demands tax or exceed the person capability (Lazarus, 1990). Given that an individual's functional capability increases in childhood, peaks in early adulthood, and eventually decline (WHO, 2007; Kalache and Kickbusch, 1997), it more likely for older adults to experience stress in the urban environment than other age groups. In fact, recent studies have reported that the desire to reduce encounter with environmental barriers (an environmental barrier is an environmental condition or physical feature that can impede older adult's mobility [Rantanen, 2013]) has led to a significant reduction in mobility of older adults in the built environment (van Heezik et al., 2020; Portegijs et al., 2017). As a result, there has been a rapid decline in mobility indices, including trip frequency, trip distance, and unmet travel demands among older adults (Shumway-Cook et al., 2003; Portegijs et al., 2017). Therefore, detecting environmental barriers with excessive demand for older adults is an important step to alleviate stressful interactions with the urban environment; as a result, promoting mobility and the effectiveness of age-friendly cities and communities.

1.2 The Problem: Current Approaches to Assess and Detect Environmental Barriers

It is important to mention that previous approaches have been developed and deployed to detect environmental conditions that inhibit older adult's mobility. A common approach for detecting such conditions is the Older Adults Senior Walking Environmental Assessment Tool (Michael et al., 2009; Cunningham et al., 2005). This tool consists of four attributes: (1) functionality, (2) safety, (3) aesthetics, and (4) destination. This type of assessment is often completed by trained observers or older adults. Participatory assessment method such as interviews, visual inspection and photovoice are among the commonly used methods to assess people's perception of environmental condition (Aghaabbasi et al., 2018; Moura et al., 2017; Cerin et al., 2011). Although these methods have improved the detection of environmental barriers, thereby increasing older adult's mobility in neighbourhood environments, several issues limit its practicability in age-friendly cities. For instance, assessment tools with several attributes are time-consuming to complete and are not user-friendly for older adults and people without expert knowledge or skill (Michael et al., 2009). Visual inspections and photovoice are often influenced by the inspector or older adult's attachment with the environment, recent experiences, and momentary emotions. These assessment methods are also costly and labour intensive (e.g., trained inspectors and staff), which may limit the frequency and scope of the built environment assessment (Yameqani and Alesheikh, 2019). For a city or community to remain age-friendly, it needs to be continuously assessed (Van Hoof et al., 2018) and relying on these assessment methods will severely interfere and obstruct older people's daily lives.

Another emerging approach is the use of passively generated urban data such as infrastructure data, global positioning system (GPS) and street view imagery to audit neighbourhood environments (Yin, 2017; Wan et al., 2018; Knöll et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2019). Although passively generated urban data are less obstructive and can increase the frequency and scope of the built environment assessment, they cannot adequately capture older adult's stressful interaction with the environment. The dynamic nature of human-environment interactions significantly impacts what an individual will perceive as a stressful environmental feature with excessive demand (Kim et al., 2016). In this regard, passively generated data may be misleading since it depends on a specific objective criterion and cannot distinguish between an environmental feature that is stressful for one person and non-stressful for another person. Therefore, there is a need for a more human-centred assessment approach that takes into

consideration the individual older adult's interaction with the environment while facilitating a continuous assessment of the environment without obstructing older people's daily lives.

1.3 The Proposal and Research Aim: An Elderly-Centric and Wearable Sensing Approach

Presently, sensing technologies offer great potential to improve continuous monitoring, realtime measurement, and assessment of the built environment. The advancement in wearable sensing technology provides the opportunity to objectively study and collect continuous unbiased data on humans' bodily responses to their interactions with the environment (Birenboim et al., 2019; Twardzik et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2019; Neale et al., 2017). This concept is termed "human-centric sensing", which sought to transform human users' into sensors (Kim et al., 2016). However, the term "elderly-centric sensing" adapted from "humancentric sensing" is used in this study because the focus is on older adults. This concept is motivated by the fact that involving older adults is very important in evaluating the agefriendliness of the environment (WHO, 2007).

The human experience in the environment is the human state of being affected by the surrounding environments (Kaplan, 1988). Signals for inferring changes in demanding environmental conditions are regulated by the autonomic nervous system (ANS) (van den Berg et al., 2015; Ulrich et al., 1991). The ANS consist of the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems that usually act involuntarily to regulate human response to stress (Birenboim et al., 2019; van den Berg et al., 2015). When the body is stressed, the ANS provoke responses in humans which are reflected in the physiological, behavioural, and cognitive signals (Ulrich et al., 1991; Alberdi et al., 2016). The physiological signals are involuntary actions or responses that are almost impossible to notice by external observation because they relate to how a living

organism or bodily part functions. Behavioural signals are somewhat voluntary actions that can be externally observed. The cognitive signals relate to the activities of the brain or mental state (Alberdi et al., 2016).

This research harnesses the current advances in wearable sensing technologies to collect older adults' bodily responses to their interaction with the environment as a means of assessing and detecting environmental barriers. The bodily responses (i.e., physiological, behavioural, and cognitive responses) that the older adults naturally and unconsciously portray while interacting with different environmental conditions may offer vital information about the environment's condition. Given the rate of population ageing coupled with the ageing of built environment infrastructures, a phenomenon now referred to as "double ageing" (Ling and Lee, 2019), there is more likelihood of older adults encountering excessive environmental demands during their daily trips. Adopting an elderly-centric sensing approach is essential to efficiently and timely understand older adults-environment interactions to inform urban planning and design.

1.4 Research Aim and Objectives

This study aims to promote older adults' mobility by reducing environmental demands. Given the above proposal, the overall goal of this research is in two folds: (1) to enable practitioners to detect stressful older adults-environment interactions in near real-time and (2) to bring to limelight the influence of urban environment configurations on older adults' stress response. The specific objectives of this research are as follows.

 To assess the informative of people's bodily responses (i.e., physiological, behavioural, and cognitive responses) to different environmental conditions: Deploying wearable sensors in an ambulatory, real-world environment poses several challenges that can diminish the signals' informativeness. An approach to determine the informative bodily responses is essential for the effectiveness of elderly-centric sensing.

- 2. To examine the relationships in older adult's bodily responses resulting from their interaction with the environment: Human responses to environmental conditions are complicated and are inherently subject to greater variability. Therefore, it is important to understand the variability in older adults' bodily responses to different environmental conditions before adopting elderly-centric sensing.
- 3. To detect older adults' stressful environmental interactions in near-real time: Current approaches are costly and time-consuming when deployed on a large scale because they are manually planned. A smart and more efficient approach that enables a near real-time assessment is needed.
- 4. To examine the influence of visuospatial configuration of urban space on older adults' stress response: The affordance for older adults' involvement in the environment differs from the average person. In order to guide universal designs and the creation of age-friendly cities and communities, it is important to further our understanding of the relationship between the visuospatial configuration of urban space and older adults' stress.

1.5 Research Design and Approach

An interdisciplinary design and approach were used to achieve the research objectives. The flowchart depicting the research design, approach and the interrelations between the objectives is depicted in Figure 1.1. First, a field experiment was designed to collect three different types of data. The first data was from two observers who audited the conditions of the experimental path using an integrated version of the Environment in Asia Scan Tool—Hong Kong version and Older adults Senior Walking Environmental Assessment Tool—Revised developed in this

study (Appendix C). The second data were older adults' bodily response and location data collected using non-intrusive wearable sensors. The bodily responses included physiological response (heart rate, heart rate variability, and electrodermal activity), cognitive response (electroencephalography), behavioural response (foot plantar pressure distribution and contact forces, and 3-axis acceleration data), and location and environmental data (GPS coordinates, temperature, humidity, time-of-day, and recorded video). The third data type was older adults' perceived assessment of the conditions of the experimental path. Prior to the field data collection, older adults were recruited and screened to meet the eligibility criteria. Only ten eligible older adults participated in the research.

The collected bodily responses were pre-processed to remove artefacts and baseline normalised to reduce inter-individual variance. The first analytical approach in this research was based on information entropy. In information theory, the concept of entropy was introduced to quantify the amount of uncertainty involved in the value of a random variable or the outcome of a random process (Wehrl, 1978). This concept enabled the assessment of the relevance of the information in older adults' bodily response signals (Research Objective 1). Statistically analysis (Wilcoxon signed-rank test), spatial clustering analysis (Getis-Ord General G statistic and Getis-Ord Gi* statistics) and space-time pattern mining were used to infer the relationships in older adults' bodily responses to the environment (Research Objective 2). Several machine learning algorithms, including Gaussian Support Vector Machine, Ensemble bagged tree, and deep belief network were trained and tested to detect older adult's stressful interactions. The Ensemble bagged tree achieved the best performance. Kernel density estimation was used to estimate the density of the detected older adult's stressful interactions. A simulation-based approach was used to examine areas within the study area that are sufficiently powered to detect stress hot spots that pose higher risk to older adults (Research Objective 3). Finally, self-



Figure 1.1: Flowchart of research design, approach and the interrelations between the objectives. *Note.* HRV = heart rate variability; EDA = electrodermal activity; EEG =

electroencephalography; GPS = Global Positioning System.

organising maps, supervised machine learning and evolutionary fuzzy rule-based system were integrated to examine the influence of visuospatial configuration of urban space on older adults' stress response. The perceived visual elements of the urban environment were extracted using isovist analysis (Research Objective 4).

1.6 Research Significance

Overall, this research will enable urban planners and municipal decision-makers to detect and alleviate stressful environmental conditions more effectively, particularly in cities and communities whose built environment infrastructures are approaching their design life (e.g., old districts which require urban renewal). As a result, older adults will be more likely to achieve successful mobility within their neighbourhoods, thereby promoting active ageing.

1.7 Organisation of the Thesis

The rest of the thesis consists of five parts and eight chapters. The rest of PART I is a literature review on the conceptualisation of age-friendly cities and communities, and the current built environment assessment approaches. PART II describes the research methodology, which covers Chapter 3. The experiment design, field data collection of bodily responses, perceived response, and observers' audit, are presented in this chapter. The pre-processing and analysis of bodily responses are discussed in this chapter. PART III describes the detection of stressful older adults-environment interactions, where Chapter 4 presents an assessment of the informativeness of the bodily response, Chapter 5 focuses on understanding the relationships in older adults' physiological response resulting from their interaction with the environment, Chapter 6 introduces a machine learning approach for representing human-environment interaction using an optimum set of informative physiological features and for detecting older adults' stressful environmental hot spot. Part IV, which includes Chapter 7, aims to further our

understanding of the relationship between the visuospatial configuration of urban space and older adults' physiological stress response using current advances in machine learning and evolutionary computing. Finally, PART V, which includes Chapter 8, summarises the conclusions and recommendation drawn from the research. The future works that could overcome the limitations of this study are provided in this chapter.
LITERATURE REVIEW²

2.1 Introduction

This chapter review existing studies on the conceptualisation of age-friendly cities and communities and the current built environment assessment approaches. The sensors and bodily responses that have been used to represent human-environment interactions are presented in the chapter. Finally, research and methodological gaps are revealed.

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., and Yung, E.H.K. (2021). Implementation of age-friendly initiatives in smart cities: Probing the barriers through a systematic review, *Built Environment Project and Asset Management*, *11*(*3*), 412-426. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/BEPAM-01-2020-0008</u>

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., and Yung, E.H.K. (2021). Age-friendly cities and communities: A critical review and future directions, *Ageing & Society*, *41*(1), 2242-2279. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X20000239

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). Wearable sensing and mining of the informativeness of older adults' bodily responses to detect demanding environmental conditions, *Environment and Behavior*. (Under Review). E&B-20-0532.R2

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). Learning to detect older adults' environmental stress hotspots to improve neighbourhood mobility: A multimodal physiological sensing, machine learning and risk hotspot analysis-based approach, *Cities* (Under Review). JCIT-D-21-01443

 $^{^2}$ This chapter is based on studies that are published or currently under consideration for publication.

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). The influence of urban visuospatial configuration on older adults' stress: A wearable physiological-perceived stress sensing and data mining based-approach, *Building and Environment*, 108298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.108298

2.2 Age-friendly Cities and Communities

One of the fundamental questions posed by the change in demographics is how cities and communities will remain age-friendly. The WHO defined an age-friendly city and community (AFCC) as a city or community with

"policies, services, settings and structures support and enable people to age actively by: recognizing the wide range of capacities and resources among older people; anticipating and responding flexibly to ageing-related needs and preferences; respecting their decisions and lifestyle choices; protecting those who are most vulnerable; and promoting their inclusion in and contribution to all areas of community life" (WHO, 2007, p.5).

The term elder-friendly community was also used by Feldman and Oberlink (2003), Hanson and Emlet (2006) and Alley et al. (2007). An elder-friendly community is "a place where older people are actively involved, valued, and supported with infrastructure and services that effectively accommodate their needs" (Alley et al., 2007, p. 4). It is important to draw the attention of researchers and practitioners to the fact that different studies have adopted different terminologies to describe the concept WHO (2007) referred to as age-friendly cities. Other terminologies identified in the literature include elder-friendly community, age-friendly communities, liveable community and lifetime neighbourhood (Lui et al., 2009; Feldman and Oberlink, 2003; Kihl et al., 2005; Hanson and Emlet, 2006; Alley et al., 2007). The term age-friendly community was mainly used in Canada, liveable community was mainly used in the United States of America (USA), and lifetime neighbourhood was mainly used in the United Kingdom (UK) (Lui et al., 2009). Despite the differences in the terminologies, they all share a common theme with the WHO (2007) definition of age-friendly cities.

The sense of urgency to create AFCC was heightened by the statistical data of most of the developed countries. Responding to the demands of the ageing population led to the development of AFCC models highlighting the features, domains, or elements of AFCC. The Visiting Nurse Service of New York's AdvantAge Initiative identified four main domains of an elder-friendly community. The domains are presented in Table 2.1 (Feldman and Oberlink, 2003). AARP introduced eight elements of a liveable community that is friendly to older adults (Kihl et al., 2005). These elements shown in Table 2.1 were identified through focus groups with older residents and caregivers in 13 cities in five areas of the USA (Kihl et al., 2005). Furthermore, Alley et al. (2007) identified 15 characteristics of an elder-friendly community based on the perception of practitioners. These characteristics are presented in Table 2.1.

Also, the WHO (2007) identified eight features of an age-friendly city and community (Table 2.1). The WHO (2007) further added a checklist of features of age-friendly cities and communities based on the Vancouver Protocol to serve as a guide for self-assessment and comparison of findings. The features were derived by working with older adults in 33 cities and communities worldwide (WHO, 2007). Building on the WHO (2007) framework, Menec et al. (2011) applied ecological theory to the concept of age-friendly cities proposed by WHO (2007). Menec et al. (2011) framework was based on the premise that the conditions of the environment are interrelated, and a fit between the person and the environment is crucial to promoting social connectivity. As a result, Menec et al. (2011) proposed seven AFCC domains; these are presented in Table 2.1.

| Author (c) | Concept | Characteristics |
|---|---|--|
| Elder-friendly community (University of Calgary, Canada) Austin et al. (2001) | A place to call home Building community Making ends meet Being valued and respected Staying active Getting what you need Getting around Feeling safe | This concept focused on the assessment of the assets, capacities and needs of older adults. However, this concept is not statistically generalisable to other locations. |
| Elder-friendly community (AdvantAge Initiative) Feldman and Oberlink (2003, p. 269) | Maximises independence for frail and disabled Addresses basic needs Promotes social and civic engagement Optimises physical and mental health and well-being | This concept solely focused on older adults and included both physical and social elements that sustain active participation, independence and engagement. This concept is unique in that it includes items such as the "percentage of people age 65+ who would like to be working for pay" and "percentage of people age 65+ who had problems paying for medical care". This concept provides quantified results of lacking needs, and it is easier to identify and prioritise ageing issues that need immediate attention. |
| Liveable community (American Association of Retired Persons) Kihl et al. (2005) | Transportation Walking Housing Shopping Safety and security Recreation and culture Health services Caring and mutual support | This concept emphasised more on the availability, suitability and affordability of the physical environment and the supportive community services for facilitating independence and social engagement for dependent (frail) and independent older adults and the general population. It includes items such as "Are the sidewalks adequately lighted at night?" and "Does your community have an information hotline or a directory of services for older persons?". Unlike Feldman and Oberlink (2003, p. 269)'s concept, this concept only identifies the presence or absence of physical and social environmental needs. |
| Elder-friendly community Alley et al. (2007, p. 7) | Accessible and affordable transportation Housing Safety Health care Community involvement opportunities | This concept stressed on important characteristics of becoming age-prepared. This concept is in alignment with Feldman and Oberlink (2003, p. 269) and Kihl et al. (2005) concepts. Although this concept captured a wide variety of physical and social environmental factors, it may be limited in that it only included the most important characteristics which may limit its adoption in different settings. The other concepts presented various physical and social environmental age-friendly features for communities to identify issues that its members consider as important. |

| Table 2.1: AFCC concepts | ; |
|---------------------------------|---|
|---------------------------------|---|

| Author (s) | Concept | Characteristics |
|-----------------|--|---|
| Age-friendly | Outdoor spaces and | This concept includes physical settings and |
| city and | buildings | structures, social policies, and services for |
| community | • Transportation | active ageing. This concept consists of eight |
| | • Housing | domains (physical and social environment) |
| WHO (2007) | Social participation | with several items within each domain. |
| | Bospact and inclusion | Unlike Feldman and Oberlink (2003, p. 269), |
| | • Respect and inclusion | Kini et al. (2005) and Alley et al. (2007) , p. |
| | • Civic participation and | 7) s concepts, this concept is very hexible, |
| | | quantitative results of lacking needs |
| | • Communication and | depending on the users' preference. As a |
| | information | result, it captures essential information that |
| | • Community supports and | can be rigorously analysed for developing |
| | health services | age-friendly interventions and policies. |
| Lifetime | • Built environment | The concept is underpinned by the principle |
| neighbourhood | • Housing | of inclusive design, sustainability and |
| (Department for | Social inclusion | participation. Similar to the lifetime home |
| Communities & | • Social cohesion and sense of | concept and the WHO (2007)'s concept, this |
| Local | • Social concision and sense of | concept focus on the neighbourhood with a |
| Government, | • Innovation and cross | number of key features to plan a sustainable |
| UK) | • Innovation and cross- | community. |
| Handing (2007) | • Services and emenities | |
| Positive agoing | • Services and amenities | This concept is underpipped by the principle |
| fositive ageing | • Housing | that the years of old age are viewed and |
| frame work | • Iransport | experienced positively The concept |
| New Zealand | • Access to facilities and | embraces ten domains with unique desired |
| Ministry of | services | outcomes. However, the indicators are |
| Social | • Income | generally limited to aspects of older adult's |
| Development | • Employment | lives at a particular time. |
| (2007) | Opportunities | |
| | • Health | |
| | • Living in the community | |
| | Cultural identity | |
| | • Attitudes | |
| Menec et al. | Physical environment | Menec et al. (2011, p. 484)'s concept is |
| (2011, p. 484) | Housing | consistent with the WHO (2007)'s concept. |
| | • Transportation options | However, this concept focused on the |
| | • Communication and | interaction between older adults and the |
| | information | environmental conditions (social |
| | Social environment | decisions. This concent argued that some of |
| | • Opportunities for | these domains proposed in previous concepts |
| | narticipation | such as respect and inclusion (WHO 2011) |
| | • Informal and formal | and safety (Alley et al. 2007, p. 7; Kihl et al. |
| | • mormar and format | 2005) do not fit as an aspect of the |
| | health services | environment but rather the outcome of |
| | | implementing age-friendly interventions. |

All the models share a central theme which is to develop cities and communities that support active ageing, reduce isolation, sustain independence, improve accessibility and affordability for the older adults and general population. The AFCC features broadly span from the physical environment to social environment. However, this study focuses on the outdoor environment, which is one of the elements of the physical environment in AFCC.

2.3 Why the Outdoor Environment

The AFCC concept was proposed in pursuit of developing communities and cites that support active ageing (WHO, 2002). Physical activity and independent mobility are critical determinants of active ageing, healthy longevity, and maintenance of the quality of life in older adults (Holliday et al., 2017; WHO, 2009; US Department of Health and Human Services, 2008). Findings of the WHO (2009) affirmed that a lack of physical activity is the fourth globally ranked risk factor for mortality and burden of disease attributable. For this reason, advocates of physical activity promotion, including public health researchers and practitioners, recommend environmental interventions that support and encourage people to engage in physical activity (Sallis et al., 1998; Brownson et al., 2008; Kelly et al., 2013). The outdoor environment is one of the key features of the city and community's physical environment that strongly influence personal mobility, safety from injury, security from crime, health behaviour and social participation (WHO, 2007). Therefore, the outdoor environment has the potential to facilitate physical activity and independent mobility, thus promoting active ageing among older adults (Van Cauwenberg et al., 2011; Timmermans et al., 2016; Inclusive Design for Getting Outdoors, 2013).

The outdoor environment generally works well for healthy and able-bodied people. An individual's functional capacity increases in childhood, peaks in early adulthood and eventually

decline (WHO, 2007; Kalache and Kickbusch, 1997). People with declined functional capacity, such as older adults, must contend with many physical environmental barriers that may hinder older adults' participation in outdoor activities. Evidence indicates that the frequency and time older adults spend outdoors depends on the age-friendliness of the outdoor environment features (Inclusive Design for Getting Outdoors, 2013). Research has shown that older adults residing in areas with environmental barriers, such as poor sidewalk conditions, are at greater risk of reporting mobility limitations (Twardzik et al., 2019). An age-friendly environment has a crucial influence on older adults' activity levels, general health and overall satisfaction with life (Inclusive Design for Getting Outdoors, 2010; WHO, 2007). An age-friendly living environment can influence and may reverse the speed of decline in the functional capacity of older adults (WHO, 2007; Kalache and Kickbusch, 1997).

In this research, the outdoor environment, built environment, and physical environment are used interchangeably. The outdoor environment, built environment, or physical environment is the physical form of cities and communities (Brownson et al., 2009).

2.4 Built Environment Determinant of Walking

The main evidence-based framework of physical environmental factors that may influence walking in the local neighbourhood was developed by Pikora et al. (2003). Based on published evidence and policy literature, interviews with experts and a Delphi study, Pikora et al. (2003) identified four built environmental domains: functionality, safety, aesthetics, and destination. Functionality relates to the physical attributes of the street and path that reflects the condition of the structural elements of the built environment (Pikora et al., 2003; Cunningham et al., 2005; Michael et al., 2009).

| Domain | Element |
|---------------|---|
| Functionality | • Path condition (wet and slippery streets) |
| | • Path slope |
| | • Path obstruction |
| | Major barriers (roadwork, steep staircases) |
| | • Minor barriers (cracks, holes, bumps, parking meters) |
| | • Street crowd |
| | Motor vehicles parked on footpath |
| | • Hawkers and shops on streets |
| | • Path width |
| | • Path material |
| | • Curb cut features |
| | • Permeability |
| Safety | Pedestrian crossing |
| | • Traffic load |
| | • Traffic calming devices |
| | • Streetlight |
| | • Directional sign |
| | • Presence of people |
| | • Signs of crime/disorder |
| | • Stray dogs /other animals |
| Aesthetics | • Views |
| | • Building attractiveness |
| | Attractive natural sights |
| | • Streetscape |
| | • Litter |
| | • Graffiti |
| | • Pollution |
| | • Greenery |
| Destination | • Transport-related |
| | • Public open space |
| | • Recreational |
| | Government/public services |
| | • Public facilities |
| | Commercial destinations |

Table 2.2: Built environment factors that may influence walking

Source: Pikora et al., (2003); Cunningham et al. (2005); Michael et al. (2009); Cerin et al. (2011).

Safety reflects elements of the environment that strengthen the feeling of safeness and increase the degree of comfort of the older pedestrians (Pikora et al., 2003; Rebecchi et al., 2019; Michael et al., 2009). Aesthetics reflects elements of the environment relating to the human scale, are visually interesting, appealing, and increase the attractiveness of the environment (Pikora et al., 2003; Rebecchi et al., 2019; Michael et al., 2009). The destination domain relates to the availability of community and commercial facilities in the neighbourhood (Pikora et al., 2003). The built environmental domains and factors that contribute to each of these domains are presented in Table 2.2.

2.5 Assessing the Built Environment to Promote Mobility

Since the 1980s, urban planners and travel behaviour researchers have studied how the built environment affects people's outdoor physical activities, recreational behaviours, and quality of life (Sallis, 2009; Handy et al., 2002; Papas et al., 2007; Brownson et al., 2009). In recognition of the importance of physical activity, planners have developed conceptualisations of community design such as walkability, that is, the extent to which the built environment supports and encourages mobility by walking (Forsyth, 2015). Mobility is defined as the ability to achieve access to the desired place (Rantanen, 2013). Conceptual models on the built environment and mobility postulate that mobility is affected by different built environment attributes (Pikora et al., 2003; Ramirez et al., 2006). To understand the effect of the built environment on mobility, it is of paramount importance to develop a high-quality assessment approach (Brownson et al., 2009). Of central concern among the active living researcher is developing accurate and efficient built environment assessment approaches (Sallis, 2009; Brownson et al., 2009). Four categories of built environment assessment approaches are being used: perceived environment assessment approach, systematic observational assessment approach, Geographical information systems (GIS)-based assessment approach, and bodily response-based assessment approach.

The perceived (also known as self-report) environment assessment approach often requires untrained raters to judge the extent to which the built environment promotes or hinder their mobility (Sallis, 2009). The perceived environment assessment approach is mainly collected using interview or self-administered questionnaires (Brownson et al., 2009; Hoehner et al., 2005). The systematic observational assessment approach, also known as environmental audit, often requires trained observers to quantify the attributes of the built environment. Trained observers use pre-defined protocols or tools to assess the built environment attributes as it is directly observed (in-person observation) (Sallis and Saelens, 2000; Brownson et al., 2009; Cerin et al., 2011). These audit tools have enabled a systematic and objective assessment of the built environment. The GIS-based assessment often relies on archived (existing) data that have spatial reference to assess the built environment (Li et al., 2021). Data such as infrastructure-based data (e.g., air quality and sound level), user-generated data (e.g., GPS) and street view imagery (e.g., Google Street View, Google Earth, and Bing Map) are often used to audit built environment (Gullón et al., 2015; Yin, 2017; Knöll et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2019; Kelly et al., 2013). GIS-based assessment enables an objective assessment of built environment dispersed across a large area (Brownson et al., 2009). The fourth category of assessment approach involves data collected from users' direct bodily responses to assess the built environment objectively and continuously (Kim et al., 2016; Birenboim et al., 2021; Birenboim et al., 2019). The bodily responses (i.e., physiological, behavioural, or cognitive responses) collected using sensing technologies are spatially matched with GPS data to assess the built environment.

Each of the built environment assessment approaches has its own advantage and disadvantage, which could affect its effectiveness. Because the perceived environment assessment involves interview or self-administered questionnaires, its main drawback is declining response rates (Brownson et al., 2009). Also, interviewing or administering questionnaires to older adults might obstruct their daily lives; especially in large scale neighbourhood assessment that takes

a longer period to complete. The subjectivity of individual reported perception can influence built environment assessment (Aghaabbasi et al., 2018). Although the observational assessment approach is objective, it involves in-person observation, which is time-consuming and costly (Brownson et al., 2009). Observational assessment demands investment in staff, training of observers, transportation to the assessment site, among others. Because this approach is time-consuming, labour intensive and costly, it may limit the scope and frequency of conducting neighbourhood assessment. Although the GIS-based assessment can provide an objective, less obstructive, less labour intensive, less time consuming, and large-scale assessment of the built environment (Chiang et al., 2017), it is inefficient in detecting older adults' environmental barriers. By definition, an environmental barrier is a relative concept; dependent on the interaction between an individual's capability and environmental demand (Mair et al., 2011; Yang and Matthews, 2010; Lawton, 1982; Webber et al., 2010). Therefore, an environment may be a barrier for one person and not a barrier for another person. Sensing people's direct bodily responses to the environment can detect such environmental barriers that could not be detected using the GIS-based or observational assessment approach (Kim et al., 2016; Birenboim et al., 2019; Twardzik et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2019; Neale et al., 2017). The bodily response-based assessment provides a continuous assessment of the built environment and less obstructive depending on the sensing technology adopted. The bodily response-based approach is the main focus of this study because of its potential to detect older adults' environmental barriers more efficiently.

2.6 Sensing of Bodily Responses to the Environment

Researchers have been using various sensors for monitoring bodily responses to represent human-environment interaction. Signals identified from the literature are illustrated in Figure 2.1. Many of the signals fall into one of two categories. The first category of sensors is



Figure 2.1: Bodily response sensors.

laboratory sensors, and the second category is wearable sensors (Ragot et al., 2017). Laboratory sensors are stationary and deployed in a controlled environment with restrictions on human

movements (e.g., subjects are connected to multiple electrodes and wires, usually in the laboratory). In contrast, wearable sensors are mobile, wireless and can be deployed in a naturalistic environment (Milstein and Gordon, 2020). Utilising wearable sensors to monitor bodily responses rather than laboratory sensors are more desirable for elderly-centric sensing because they provide more realistic insights into natural human reactions to the environment (van Beers et al., 2020). These wearable sensing devices have enabled elderly-centric sensing to be less interruptive because individuals can go about their daily routines while their bodily responses are monitored and collected. As a result, researchers have been deploying wearable sensors to collect a continuous stream of bodily responses linked to spatiotemporal information, such as GPS data, to detect demanding environmental conditions.

2.7 Bodily Response for Assessing Environmental Features

The specific bodily responses and features that have been studied in relation to the environmental features are presented in Table 2.3. However, only a few of these signals have been proven to have a statistically significant correlation or association with the built environment features. This section reviews signals that have shown a statistically significant relationship with the built environment features, that can be continuously acquired using commercially available non-intrusive wearable sensors in ambulatory settings and the results can be analysed in real-time or semi-real time.

2.7.1 Electrodermal Activity (EDA)

EDA is also known as Galvanic skin response (GSR) or Skin Conductance. EDA measures the activation of the sympathetic nervous system non-invasively and is one of the most frequently employed signals for detecting physiological arousal (Kleckner et al., 2018; Boucsein, 2012). The sympathetic nervous system can be stimulated physically and emotionally, which intends

trigger variation in the eccrine sweat gland activity which is controlled by the sympathetic nervous system (Melander, et al., 2018; Chittaro and Sioni, 2014; Boucsein, 2012). An increase in the eccrine sweat gland activity is observed (emotional sweating) when the sympathetic nervous system is stimulated with a high-level arousal stimulus, thus changing the conductivity of the skin (Zhang et al., 2018; Kleckner et al., 2018). An increase in sweating results in a sharp increase in the conductivity of the skin (Chittaro and Sioni, 2014). High levels of EDA correlate with stress, and lower levels of EDA correlate with the relaxed state (Birenboim et al., 2019). Statistically significant positive associations were found between participant EDA in favourable features—such as greenery and attractive natural sights—of the environment (Chrisinger and King, 2018; Ojha et al., 2019; Saitis and Kalimeri, 2018). Statistically significant negative associations were found between participant EDA in stressful features—such as stressful crossing—of the environment (Chrisinger and King, 2018; Ojha et al., 2019; Saitis and Kalimeri, 2018). Statistically significant negative associations were found between participant EDA in stressful features—such as stressful crossing—of the environment (Chrisinger and King, 2018; Ojha et al., 2019; Saitis and Kalimeri, 2018).

EDA is statistically analysed using mean and standard deviation of the amplitude, minimum and maximum values (Chrisinger and King, 2018; Osborne and Jones, 2017). The raw EDA signal decomposes into two components: the tonic component or skin conductance level (SCL) and the phasic component or the skin conductance response (SCR) (Ojha et al., 2019; Birenboim et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2018). The tonic component reflects the baseline level of skin conductivity and changes slowly over time –it correlates to the basic physiological state. The tonic component is not related to emotional responses or emotion-inducing stimuli (Chen et al., 2018; Birenboim et al., 2019). The phasic component increases in the amplitude of skin conductive, which reflects human reactions to discrete environmental stimuli (Birenboim et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2018). The common parameters used to analyse the phasic component are Number of significant phasic SCRs (nSCRs), Sum of SCR-amplitudes of significant SCRs (AmpSum), Maximum value of phasic activity (PhasicMax), Mean skin conductivity value (GlobalMean) and Maximum level of skin conductivity (MaxDeflection). nSCRs, AmpSum and PhasicMax are more useful indicators of momentary changes in the outdoor environment because it considers the magnitude of local deflection (Birenboim et al., 2019; Ojha et al., 2019). However, GlobalMean and MaxDeflection consider the absolute values of EDA levels. Both GlobalMean and MaxDeflection may be useful in a controlled environment such as the laboratory. But, less useful in an uncontrolled environment such as an outdoor environment because absolute EDA can change rapidly to basic physiological state such as sweating due to increased heat regardless of a person's cognitive state (Birenboim et al., 2019).

2.7.2 Electrocardiogram (ECG or EKG) and Blood Volume Pulse (BVP)

Electrocardiogram (ECG) measures present digital wave patterns of the morphological and temporal parameters of the rhythm and electrical activity generated by the heart (Zhang et al., 2018; Walford et al., 2017). The raw ECG signal has not been used in built environment research. However, information about Heart Rate (HR) and Heart Rate Variability (HRV) has been extracted from the ECG data.

Photoplethysmography (PPG) is also used to measure the blood volume pulse, and it indicates the quantity of blood flowing into the peripheral vessel. The blood volume pulse is determined from the difference in light absorption that illuminates the skin from a pulse oximeter. The amount of light that returns to the PPG sensor is proportional to the volume of blood in the tissue (Peper et al., 2017). An increase in BVP amplitude indicates decreased sympathetic arousal and greater blood flow to peripheral vessels (Chang et al., 2008). The amplitude of the raw BVP has been used to study the restorative value of natural environments (Chang et al., 2008). Also, HR and HRV parameters have been extracted from the raw BVP to assess environmental features (Kim et al. 2019).

HR measures the number of heart beats per minute and is commonly used to distinguish between positive and negative emotions (Zhang et al., 2018). Several researchers have studied the relationship between HR and different environmental features. However, the findings from these studies are inconsistent. For example, South et al. (2015) identified a significant relationship between the HR level and different walking segments (greening site and no greening site). Also, studies showed that HR was significantly lower when participants walked in a green environment than when they walked in the urban environment (Song et al., 2015a; Song et al., 2015c; Song et al., 2014; Song et al., 2013). A more recent study proved that no significant difference was detected in the HR level between different walking environment, including stressful and less stressful walking segments (Birenboim et al., 2019). The most effective HR parameters are the average heart rate and heart rate reserve (Walford et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2016).

HRV is the variation between the heart's inter-beat intervals (Birenboim et al., 2019; Li and Sullivan, 2016). A stimulated sympathetic system is associated with a decrease in HRV. In a relaxed state, the parasympathetic activity increases which are associated with an increase in HRV (Birenboim et al., 2019; Gladwell et al., 2016). The time-domain parameters, frequency domain parameters and non-linear HRV analysis methods were used to calculate various HRV indices associated with the environment. A statistically significant correlation has been established between HRV—specifically the frequency domain parameters—in detecting the difference between stressful environmental conditions and neutral conditions (Birenboim et al., 2019; Song et al., 2015c).

2.7.3 Gait

Gait is an individual movement pattern that can reflect the individual's emotional state, cognition, intent, personality, attitude, and health (Sun et al., 2017; Agmon and Armon, 2016). The gait pattern of individuals has been continuously monitored to detect the disturbance caused by environmental features, and studies have proved that the mobility of an individual is directly a function of the environment under the individual's feet (Twardzik et al., 2019; Duchowny et al., 2019). Human gait activities to environmental features have been monitored using camera-based systems and wearable motion sensors in real-time (Twardzik et al., 2019; Pedersen and Johansson, 2018). The gait features extracted from camera-based systems in relation to environmental features include walking speed, spacing behaviour, path change behaviour, step frequency, step length, platoon, rolling behaviour. The motion sensors measure the linear and angular motion of the body. The gait features extracted from the sensors in relation to the environmental features include gait speed, cadence, stride length, gait stability, gait acceleration. The gait features are most effective in predicting the presence of a built environment feature or the physical condition of a walking path. Gait speed, cadence, stride length, gait stability and gait acceleration are significantly associated with the conditions of a walking path such as slope, width, presence of holes, grooves, bumps, and curb cut (Twardzik et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2016).

2.7.4 Eye Movement

Eye movements are the visual perception that can be tracked to measure the allocation of visual attention over a visual stimulus (Cottet et al., 2018). Eye movements are normally recorded during cognitive processing tasks such as scene perception, reading, visual search tasks and recognition tasks (Berto et al., 2008). The widely used eye-related measures to acquire visual information about the environment include fixation, fixation count, fixation duration, saccade

amplitude, blink count, blink duration and scanpath length (Stevenson et al., 2019; Hollander et al., 2019; Crosby and Hermens, 2018). A fixation happens when the eyes are relatively stationary for visual perception of information, and saccades is the eye movements between fixations (Dupont et al., 2017; Miyasike-daSilva et al., 2011). The scanpath is the length of oculomotor event when perceiving a stimulus within a timespan (Dupont et al., 2017). The sequences of fixations and saccades are indicators of a person's internal state, such as cognitive load (Hollander et al., 2019; Elsadek et al., 2019) and emotional state (Matsuda et al., 2018; Crosby and Hermens, 2018), and external state such as the salience and organisation of a stimulus (Dupont et al., 2017; Valtchanov and Ellard, 2015). The effect of the natural and built environment was most predicted by the number of fixations per minute (Stevenson et al., 2019). Eye movement indicators is an effective substitute for visual aesthetic quality and tranquillity rating evaluation (Liu et al., 2019).

2.7.5 Electroencephalogram (EEG)

EEG refers to the measurement of voltage changes in the brain's electrical field produced by the flow of ions in the neurons of the brain (Seo et al., 2019; Subramanian et al., 2018). Recent studies have proven that different environmental features are associated with distinctive patterns of brain activity or brain wave production, which means humans interact differently with varying environments (Kim et al., 2019b; Bailey et al., 2018; Tilley et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2016). EEG spectral analysis is the common method to quantify brain activity when exposed to environmental features. The spectral analysis involves decomposing the raw EEG signal into oscillations of the different frequency band (Grassini et al., 2019).

The widely used frequency bands for assessing environmental features are the Delta (0.5–3 Hz), Theta (4–7 Hz), Alpha (8–15 Hz), Beta (16–31 Hz) and Gamma (32–100 Hz)

| Environmental features | Reference (s) | Bodily response | Feature | Parameter (s) | | |
|--|--|-------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Outdoor walking route | | Physiologi | ical | | | |
| (urban busy, urban green, pedestrian traffic, length, gradient, noise, planted trees, gardens) | Birenboim et al. (2019), Kim et al. (2019), Gidlow et al. (2016b), South et al. (2015), Song et al. (2015a); Song et al. (2015c); Song et al. (2014); Song et al. (2013) | HR | | Mean, Standard deviation, Minimum and maximum values of HR, Heart rate reserve | | |
| | Birenboim et al. (2019), Gladwell et al. (2016), Song et al. (2015a), Song et al. (2015c); Song et al. (2014); Song et al. (2013) | HRV | | RR or NN interval, SDNN, RMSSD, pNN50, LF, HF, LF/HF, CCV-LF, CCV-HF, CCV-LF/HF, SD1 | | |
| | Walford et al. (2017), Chen et al. (2018) | ECG | HR HRV | Average HR RR or NN interval, SDNN, RMSSD, QT variability index (QTVI) | | |
| | Birenboim et al. (2019), Chrisinger and King (2018), Chen et al. (2018), Osborne and Jones (2017) | EDA/GSR | | nSCR; AmpSum; PhasicMax; Global Mean; Max Deflection | | |
| | Chen et al. (2018), Osborne and Jones (2017) | ST | | Mean, Minimum and maximum values, Standard deviation | | |
| | Osborne and Jones (2017) | BVP | | Amplitude, IBI, HR, HRV | | |
| | Gidlow et al. (2016b) | Salivary cortisol | | Salivary cortisol concentrations | | |
| | Song et al. (2015b) | BP | | SBP and DBP | | |
| | Chen et al. (2018) | EMG | Facial muscles | EMG amplitude | | |
| | Behaviour | | | | | |
| | Twardzik et al. (2019); Kim et al. (2019), Dixon et al. (2018), Matsuda et al. (2018); Kim et al. (2016), Ottosson et al. (2015) | Gait | Gait speed Cadence Stride length Gait stability | Mean gait speed Mean left foot cadence Left foot stride length Maximum Lyapunov exponent (Max LE) | | |

Table 2.3: Bodily response for assessing environmental features

| Environmental features | Reference (s) | Bodily response | Feature | Parameter (s) |
|------------------------|---|--------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | Acceleration | Signal vector |
| | | | | magnitude (SVM) |
| | | | Turning gait | |
| | Stevenson et al. (2019), Matsuda et al. | Eye movement | | Fixations per minute, Fixation |
| | (2018) | | | duration, Intensity of eye |
| | | | | movement |
| | Matsuda et al. (2018) | Facial expressions | AUs | FACS |
| | Matsuda et al. (2018) | Head movement | Head tilt | Head tilt per second, average |
| | | | (Looking | and standard deviation of the |
| | | | up/down, | time interval looking at each |
| | | | right/left) | direction |
| | | Cognitiv | e | |
| | Bailey et al. (2018), Tilley et al. (2017), | EEG | | Delta (0.5–3 Hz), Theta (4–7 |
| | Neale et al. (2017), Hollander and | | | Hz), Alpha (8–15 Hz), Beta (16– |
| | Foster (2016), Chen et al. (2016), Chen | | | 31 Hz), Gamma (32–100 Hz) |
| | et al. (2018) | | | |
| | | | | Levels of excitement, |
| | | | | engagement, and frustration (as |
| | | | | interpreted by Emotiv Affectiv |
| | | | | Suite proprietary EEG software) |
| | | | | Levels of meditation/relaxation |
| | | | | (as interpreted by NeuroSky |
| | | | | proprietary EEG software) |
| | | Physiologi | cal | |
| Landscape – Natural | Chang et al. (2008), Ulrich et al. (1991) | EMG | Facial muscles | EMG amplitude |
| (Water bodies, Green | | | | |
| vegetation, mountain, | | | | |
| forest) and Urban | | | | |
| (Built environment) | | | | |
| | Chang et al. (2008) | BVP | | BVP amplitude |

| Environmental features | Reference (s) | Bodily response | Feature | Parameter (s) |
|------------------------|---|-------------------|---------|----------------------------------|
| | Lacuesta et al. (2017), Sahlin et al. | HR | | Mean, Standard deviation, |
| | (2016), Greenwood and Gatersleben | | | Minimum and maximum values |
| | (2016), Valtchanov et al. (2010), | | | of HR |
| | Laumann et al. (2003), Triguero-Mas et | | | |
| | al. (2017), Yu et al. (2018), | | | |
| | Elsadek et al. (2019), Yu et al. (2018), | HRV | | LF, HF, LF/HF, CCV-LF, CCV- |
| | Song et al. (2018), Triguero-Mas et al. | | | HF, CCV-LF/HF |
| | (2017), Lacuesta et al. (2017), Li and | | | |
| | Sullivan (2016), Kobayashi et al. | | | |
| | (2015), Lee et al. (2015) | | | |
| | Valtchanov et al. (2010), Li and | Skin-conductance | | |
| | Sullivan (2016), Ulrich et al. (1991) | level | | |
| | Hunter (2019), Triguero-Mas et al. | Salivary cortisol | | Salivary cortisol level |
| | (2017), Kobayashi et al. (2017), Lee et | | | |
| | al. (2015), Tyrväinen et al. (2014), | | | |
| | Markevych et al. (2014), Jiang et al. | | | |
| | (2014), Roe et al. (2013), Beil and | | | |
| | Hanes (2013), Thompson et al. (2012), | | | |
| | Lee et al. (2009) | | | |
| | Gidlow et al. (2016a) | Hair cortisol | | Hair cortisol concentration |
| | Hunter (2019), Yu et al. (2018), Beil and Hanes (2013) | Alpha-amylase | | Salivary amylase levels |
| | Yang et al. (2019), Yu et al. (2018), | BP | | SBP and DBP |
| | Triguero-Mas et al. (2017), Stigsdotter | | | |
| | et al. (2017), Sahlin et al. (2016), | | | |
| | Greenwood and Gatersleben (2016), | | | |
| | Lee et al. (2015), Tsunetsugu et al. | | | |
| | (2013), Beil and Hanes (2013), Lee et | | | |
| | al. (2009) | | | |
| | Song et al. (2018) | oxyhemoglobin | | oxy-Hb concentration in the |
| | | (oxy-Hb) | | right and left prefrontal cortex |

| Environmental features | Reference (s) | Bodily response | Feature | Parameter (s) |
|------------------------|--|--------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| | Song et al. (2017), Qin et al. (2013), | ECG | HR | Mean, Standard deviation, |
| | Gladwell et al. (2012), Ulrich et al. | | | Minimum and maximum values |
| | (1991), Ulrich (1981) | | | of HR |
| | | | HRV | RR or NN interval, SDNN, |
| | | | | RMSSD, LF, HF, LF/HF |
| | Laumann et al. (2003) | IBI | 5.0.1 | |
| | van den Berg et al. (2015) | ECG | RSA | Peak-valley RSA |
| | | | | |
| | | ICG | PEP | |
| | Li and Sullivan (2016) | BT | | |
| | Lee et al. (2015), Lee et al. (2009) | Pulse rate | | |
| | $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{a}} + $ | Behaviou | ir | Einstiene Einstien Demetiene |
| | Hollander et al. (2019) , Elsadek et al. (2010) , Crosby and Hormons (2018) | Eye movement | | Fixations, Fixation Durations, |
| | (2019), Closby and Hermens (2018), Frančk et al. (2018a). Cottet et al. | | | sounts and Scannath lengths |
| | (2018) Dupont et al. (2017) | | | counts, and Scanpath lengths |
| | Valtchanov and Ellard (2015) Berto et | | | |
| | al (2008) | | | |
| | Korpela et al. (2002) | Vocal expressions | | Reaction times to vocal |
| | | of joy, anger, and | | expressions |
| | | emotional | | 1 |
| | | neutrality | | |
| | Franěk and Režný (2017) | Gait | | Walking speed |
| | Hietanen et al. (2007), Svoray et al. | Facial expressions | | |
| | (2018) | | | |
| | Willis et al. (2004) | Video-based | Microscopic | Walking behaviour (walking |
| | | observational/ | movement | speed and spacing behaviour) |
| | | Video camera | patterns | |
| | | recorded captured | | |
| | | behaviour | | |

| Environmental features | Reference (s) | Bodily 1 | response | Feature | Parameter (s) |
|------------------------|--|----------|---------------|---------|--|
| | | - | Cognitive | | |
| | Kim et al. (2019b), Grassini et al. (2019), Qin et al. (2013), Yang et al. (2011), Chang et al. (2008), Ulrich (1981) | EEG | | | Delta (0.5–4 Hz), Theta (4–8 Hz), low Alpha (8–11 Hz), high Alpha (11–13 Hz), Beta (13–30 Hz), and low Gamma (30–45 Hz) |
| | Tang et al. (2017), Kim et al. (2014), | fMRI | | | Levels of excitement, engagement, and frustration (as interpreted by Emotiv Affectiv Suite proprietary EEG software) Brain activation (frontal lobe, |
| | Martínez-Soto et al. (2013), Kim et al. (2010a), Kim et al. (2010b) | | | | temporal lobe, parietal lobe and occipital lobe) |
| Soundscape - Nature | |] | Physiological | | 1 / |
| sound (bird song) | Dai and Lian (2018), Irwin et al. (2011) | ECG | HR | | |
| Noise (traffic noise) | Hedblom et al. (2019), Alvarsson et al. (2010) | Skin con | ductance | | Skin conductance levels |
| | Lu et al. (2018) | BP | | | SBP and DBP |
| | | | Behaviour | | |
| | Franěk et al. (2018b) | Gait | | | Walking speed |
| | | | Cognitive | | ~ |
| | Dai and Lian (2018), Irwin et al. (2011) | fMRI | | | Cerebellum posterior activity; Parahippocampal gyrus activity; Cingulate gyrus activity; Progunous activity; |
| Landmark and | | | Rehaviour | | r reculicus activity |
| Navigation | Wenczel et al. (2017), Aspinall et al. (2014) | Eye mov | ement | | Visual acuity, fixation count, fixation duration and pupil diameter |
| | Gaire et al. (2017) | Gait | | | Walking speed pattern |

| Environmental features | Reference (s) | Bodily response | Feature | Parameter (s) |
|-------------------------|--|--------------------|---------|---|
| | | Cognitive | | |
| | Slone et al. (2016) | fMRI | | Brain (precuneus, retrosplenial cortex, and hippocampus) activity |
| Graffiti and Sculptures | | Behaviour | | - |
| | James and O'Boyle (2019), Mitschke et al. (2017) | Eye movement | | Fixation duration |
| | | Cognitive | | |
| | James and O'Boyle (2019) | fMRI | | Parahippocampal gyrus (PH) activation level, Fusiform gyrus (FF) activation level |
| Outdoor/ Pedestrian | | Physiological | | |
| lighting | Castro-Toledo et al. (2017) Behaviour | HR | | Mean, Standard deviation |
| | Rahm and Johansson (2018); Pedersen and Johansson (2018) | Gait | | Walking speed |
| | | Ability to perform | | Obstacle detection, Facial |
| Stair walking | | Behaviour | | recognition, Signpost reading |
| | Miyasike-daSilva et al. (2011); Zietz and Hollands (2009) | Eye movement | | number of fixations, fixation time, fixation duration |
| | Fujiyama and Tyler (2010) | Gait | | Walking speed |
| Crosswalk | | Behaviour | | |
| | Tageldin and Sayed (2019), Kadali and | Pedestrian | | Step frequency, step length, |
| | Vedagiri (2016), Havard and Willis | behaviour | | platoon, rolling behaviour, speed |
| | (2012) | recorded on | | and path change condition of |
| | | camera | | pedestrian |
| Plant | | Cognitive | | |
| | Oh et al. (2019) | EEG | | Frequency band: Alpha wave (8–13 Hz); Theta wave (4–8 Hz) |
| Floral scent | | Physiological | | |

| Environmental features | Reference (s) | Bodily response | Feature | Parameter (s) |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------|---|
| | Jo et al. (2013) | HRV | | |
| | Jo et al. (2013) | Pulse rate | | |
| | Jo et al. (2013) | BP | | |
| | | Cognitive | | |
| | Jo et al. (2013) | NIRS | | Cerebral activity |
| Plant colour | | Cognitive | | |
| | Sadek et al. (2013) | NIRS | | Brain activity in the frontal, temporal, parietal and occipital lobes |
| Air pollution | | Physiological | l | 10005. |
| 1 | Shields et al. (2013) | HRV | | SDNN, LF, HF, LF/HF |
| | Cognitive | | | |
| | Pujol et al. (2016) | fMRI | | Brain activation |

Note. HR = heart rate; HRV = heart rate variability; ECG or EKG = electrocardiogram; EDA = electrodermal activity; GSR = galvanic skin response; ST = skin temperature; BVP = blood volume pulse; BP = blood pressure; EMG = electromyography; SDNN = standard deviation of the NN interval; RMSSD = square root of the mean of the sum of difference of successive NN intervals; SDSD = standard deviation of difference between adjacent NN intervals; pNN50 = percentage of NN pairs that differ by 50 milliseconds in the entire recording, TINN = HRV triangular index (TI) and triangular interpolation of RR interval histogram; TP = total spectral power (0–0.4 Hz); VLF = spectral power in very low range frequencies (0.003–0.04 Hz); LF = spectral power in low range frequencies (0.04–0.15 Hz); HF = spectral power in high range frequencies (0.15 Hz); LF/HF = ratio between LF and HF power; CCV-LF = coefficient component variance of LF; CCV-HF = coefficient component variance of HF; CCV-LF/HF = coefficient component variance of LF/HF; AU = action units; FACS = facial action coding system; SBP = systolic blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; ICG = impedance cardiogram; PEP = cardiac pre-ejection period; RSA = respiratory sinus arrhythmia; fMRI = functional magnetic resonance imaging; NIRS = near-infrared spectroscopy.

frequency bands. The lower frequency bands (Delta and Theta) correlate with less intense brain functions such as sleep, meditation, and daydreaming (Bailey et al., 2018). Precisely, the Delta band features slow and loud brainwaves and is generated in deepest meditation, and dreamless sleep and the Theta band occurs most often in light sleep or extreme relaxation (Kim et al., 2019b). Alpha frequency band correlates with a relaxed brain and is generated during quietly flowing thoughts and in some meditative states (Kim et al., 2019b; Bailey et al., 2018). The higher frequency bands: Beta band dominates our normal waking state of consciousness when attention, is directed towards cognitive tasks and is generated when anxious or stressed (Kim et al., 2019b) and Gamma band correlates with heavier mental loads such as concentration and stress (Bailey et al., 2018).

2.8 Summary and Research Gaps

This finding indicates that momentary stressful situations and abnormalities within the environment can evoke physiological (HR and HRV), behaviour (gait, eye movement) and cognitive (EEG) responses that could potentially be detected through wearable sensors. However, given the inconsistency in the previous findings, several necessary research and methodological gaps need to be addressed before adopting bodily response-based assessment for older adults.

2.8.1 Research Gap One: Informativeness of Bodily Response

Deploying wearable sensors in an ambulatory, real-world environment poses several challenges that can diminish the signals' informativeness. For instance, a recent study reported that their EDA data collected with a wearable sensor in an ambulatory, urban environment did not show any variation due to the low sampling rate (Birenboim et al., 2019). Another study using a wearable EEG sensor also reported stability issues (Saitis and Kalimeri, 2018). Even

stable wearable sensors with sufficient sampling rates usually have fluctuations in their raw signals caused by physiological factors, human variability, sensor variability, environmental condition, and physical effort resulting from walking (Kyriakou et al., 2019). Although the raw signal can be filtered to remove external interferences, it is still ambiguous what bodily responses contain relevant information about human-environment interaction in an ambulatory, real-world environment.

Prior studies adopting human-centric sensing used a modality (e.g., EEG, HRV, EDA, or gait) or a feature extracted from a modality (e.g., mean EDA, arousal, HR, or signal vector magnitude) to represent people's interaction and experience in the environment (Kim et al., 2020; Birenboim et al., 2019; Chrisinger and King, 2018; Triguero-Mas et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2016). Generally, all these modalities and features contain relevant information. However, the relevance of the information is determined by the task to be performed, the environmental stimuli, and the prevailing conditions (Hall, 1999; Muzammal et al., 2020; Mursalin et al., 2017). For example, Birenboim et al. (2019) used EDA, HR, and HRV to represent people's interaction with the environment. Their findings revealed that only EDA and HRV were consistent in detecting stressful environmental situations. This implies that although each feature or modality contains information about people's interactions, some of the features or modalities could be more informative than others. As a result, human-centric sensing can become ineffective and unreliable when people's interaction and experience with the environment is represented with an uninformative modality or feature. Therefore, the first objective of this research is to present an approach to assess the relevance and informativeness of people's bodily responses.

2.8.2 Research Gap Two: Relationships in Older Adult's Bodily Responses Resulting from their Interaction with the Environment

Older adults usually achieve mobility in outdoor neighbourhood environments either by walking on foot or with mobility aids. The common mobility aids include walking stick, walking frame and wheelchair (Grimmer et al., 2019). Most of the existing studies that use wearable sensing technologies focus only on humans or the interaction between humans. A few of these studies that focus on human-environment interaction often attach the sensors to the mobility aid to assess the environmental condition (Mascetti et al., 2020; Barbosa et al., 2018; Mourcou et al., 2013). An example is a recent study that attached inertial sensors to the users' wheelchair to detect urban features like curb ramps, steps, or other obstacles along a path (Mascetti et al., 2020). Although these works prove the feasibility of using sensor data collected during human movement (mobility) to assess an environmental condition, they might not be a good representation of human-environment interaction. Human responses to environmental conditions are more complicated than mobility aid usage; thus, sensors attached to humans are inherently subject to greater variability (than sensors attached to mobility aids), which could affect built environment assessment. Therefore, it is essential to understand the variability in older adults' responses to different environmental conditions before adopting elderly-centric sensing. Therefore, the second objective of this study is to examine the relationships in older adult's bodily responses resulting from their interaction with the environment.

2.8.3 Research Gap Three: Optimised Environmental Stress Detection

Although the older adults' perceived stress assessment and observers' audit provide a good assessment of an environmental condition, they will be less efficient, costly, and time-consuming when deployed on a large scale because they are manually planned. Given the rate

of population ageing and the likelihood of older adults encountering excessive environmental demands during their daily trips, such optimisation is important to efficiently and timely understand their relationship with the environment to inform urban planning and design. Therefore, the third objective of this study is to detect older adults' stressful environmental interactions in near-real time.

2.8.4 Research Gap Four: Influence of Visuospatial Configuration of Urban Space on Older Adults' Stress Response

There has been a rapid decline in mobility indices, including trip frequency, trip distance, and unmet travel demands among older adults (Shumway-Cook et al., 2003; Portegijs et al., 2017). In a sense, this may indicate that the affordance (i.e., what a perceived element or scene has to offer the perceiver [Gibson, 1977]) for older adults' involvement in the environment might be different from that of the average person. However, there is little to no research into understanding how the perceived elements (specifically, the visuospatial configuration) of the environment influence older adults' involvement-most studies focused on younger adults. A few studies have been conducted to understand the relationship between the visuospatial configuration of urban space and human physiological response (Li et al., 2016; Hijazi et al., 2016; Knöll et al., 2018; Ojha et al., 2019; Xiang et al., 2020). All of these studies focused on younger adults with an average age of about 25 years. Drawing on these findings to guide urban planning and design may discriminate against older adults even though they are more susceptible to stressful urban environment encounters. This could further hinder current efforts in creating universal designs and age-friendly cities and communities. Therefore, the fourth objective of this research is to examine the influence of visuospatial configuration of urban space on older adults' stress response.

PART II: TOWARDS ELDERLY-CENTRIC AND WEARABLE

SENSING

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY³

3.1 Introduction

The research methodology to achieve the goal of this study is presented in this chapter. Older adults aged 65 and above were recruited to participate in an outdoor environmental walk on a predefined path while equipped with non-intrusive wearable sensors. The conditions of the path were assessed using older adults' perceived rating and observers' audit. Details of the experiment design, field data collection, summary of the collected data, wearable sensors, data pre-processing and methods are presented in this chapter.

³ This chapter is based on studies that are published or currently under consideration for publication.

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). The influence of urban visuospatial configuration on older adults' stress: A wearable physiological-perceived stress sensing and data mining based-approach, *Building and Environment*, 108298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.108298

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). Wearable sensing and mining of the informativeness of older adults' bodily responses to detect demanding environmental conditions, *Environment and Behavior*. (Under Review). E&B-20-0532.R2

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). Learning to detect older adults' environmental stress hotspots to improve neighbourhood mobility: A multimodal physiological sensing, machine learning and risk hotspot analysis-based approach, *Cities* (Under Review). JCIT-D-21-01443

3.1.1 Methodological Underpinning: Ecological Validity

Understanding human behaviour and cognition in the 'real-world' setting has been a longsought-after goal in psychological science. However, most of the existing studies in this field were conducted in the laboratory—they often employed stationary sensors (see literature review in Chapter 2). The experiment design used in laboratory or controlled settings to assess human reaction to the environment lack sufficient realism to produce adequately meaningful findings of a person's interaction with the environment in real life. This study aims to approximate the real world as much as possible and ensure ecological validity, hence the reason for designing the experiment in a natural setting and using wearable sensors.

Ecological validity refers to the extent to which a situation or task within a study can be generalised beyond the present situation (Schmuckler, 2001; Adolph, 2020). A prominent definition of ecological validity within the environment context was provided by Bronfenbrenner (1977). According to Bronfenbrenner (1977), "ecological validity refers to the extent to which the environment experienced by the subjects in a scientific investigation has the properties it is supposed or assumed to have by the experimenter" (Bronfenbrenner, 1977, p. 516). The nature of the stimuli is another component of ensuring ecological validity (Schmuckler, 2001). For instance, Neisser (1976) stated that ecologically valid stimuli consist of spatially, temporally and multimodal information.

Using an environment that is natural or normal to the participant has higher ecological validity. It is more likely to obtain a result representing everyday life; in that way, results are more generalisable to the target population and other environment settings (Holleman et al., 2020; Adolph, 2020; Schmuckler, 2001). However, if a study is set up in laboratory settings or where there is high control, it is not in the participants' natural settings. It, therefore, does not reflect everyday life, so the ecological validity is low (Holleman et al., 2020; Adolph, 2020; Schmuckler, 2001). Also, conducting this type of research in laboratory settings or controlled setting is arguably reductionist since the situation is very controlled and only looks at one factor; it simplifies complex human behaviour by isolating the independent variable and does not look at the combination of factors (Adolph, 2020). It is also usually more complicated for the researcher to generalise and apply the results with low ecological validity; this is because there are likely to be demand characteristics or social desirability bias where the participants change their behaviour to what they think the researcher wants to see (Schmuckler, 2001).

In many ways, this work is firmly ecologically valid, examining naturalistic behaviour in natural settings and employing spatially and temporally rich stimuli that extend multimodally as well.

3.2 Experiment Design

The experiment design and procedures are in three main phases: (1) enrolment phase; (2) practice phase; and (3) experimental phase. The flowchart depicting the three phases is presented in Figure 3.1. A detailed explanation of each phase is presented in the following sections.

3.2.1 Enrolment

The enrolment phase and experimental phase were conducted between September and November 2019. Participant recruitment and data collection took place in Hong Kong (Hung Hom and Ho Man Tin). Recruitment posters and emails were distributed to potential participants in the networks of the Institute of Active Ageing, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University. The Institute of Active Ageing is an interdisciplinary research and academic centre for the advancement of knowledge and practice to facilitate active ageing.



Figure 3.1: Flowchart of experiment procedure.

3.2.2 Screening: Eligibility Criteria

The eligibility criteria were set to ensure that all participants share a common characteristic. The following eligibility criteria were used to screen the participants: age, walking ability, and cognitive state. All participants must meet these criteria to be eligible to participate in this study. A detailed explanation of these criteria is provided in the following.

Age

In Hong Kong and many other countries, older adults are characterised as people aged 65 years or over (Elderly Commission, 2020; United Nations, 2020). Therefore, only people aged 65 years or over were eligible to participate in the study.

Walking Ability

This experiment involves walking on a predefine path for about 15 min walking distance. Hence, the physical ability to walk the path was assessed based on the participant's ability to walk unassisted by another person for at least 15 min. Furthermore, the Tinetti Assessment Tool (Tinetti, 1986) was used to assess the older adults' functional gait and balance. The Tinetti Assessment Tool is a valid, reliable, simple, easily administered task-performance test that measures older adults' gait and balance and requires 10 to 15 min to complete (Tinetti, 1986; Lewis, 1993; Wong, 2019). The Tinetti Assessment Tool is shown in Appendix A.

Cognitive Status

This experiment involves older adults self-reporting their experience (i.e., perceived assessment of the path). The prevalence of subjective cognitive decline among older adults could affect their assessment (Elderly Health Service, 2016; CDC, 2019). Therefore, the participants had to meet the recommended cut-off score for The Mini-Mental State

Examination (MMSE) to be eligible to participate in this study. The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) (Folstein et al., 1975) was used to assess the cognitive mental status of older adults. The MMSE is quick, easy to use, acceptable, valid, reliable and widely used screening instruments for assessing cognitive functions both in clinical and research settings (Folstein et al., 1975; Bilgel et al., 2019; Pagliai, et al., 2019). The MMSE comprises eleven questions and requires only 5 to 10 min to administer. The MMSE consists of two main parts. Part one examines the participants' oral responses focusing on orientation, memory, and attention of the participants. Part two examines the participants' ability to name objects, follow verbal and written commands, write a sentence, and copy a complex polygon similar to a Bender-Gestalt Figure. The maximum score for part one is 21, the maximum score for part two is nine, and the maximum total score is 30. A cut-off score of 23/24 distinguishes between cognitive impairment and normal participants. The original version (Folstein et al., 1975) is shown in Appendix B.

The Cantonese version of the MMSE (CMMSE) (Chiu et al., 1994) was used to screen the older adults in Hong Kong. The CMMSE is readily comprehensible to the older adults in Hong Kong. The scale has been proven to have good reliability and validity to detect cognitive impairment among Hong Kong elderly (Chiu et al., 1994; Lao et al., 2019). A cut-off score of 19/20 is recommended as an indication of cognitive impairment among Hong Kong older adults. According to Chiu et al. (1994) the educational level of the participants has a significant effect on the MMSE scores. In order to factor in this difference, three different cut-off scores were recommended: cut-off score ≥ 18 points for the illiterate elders, cut-off score ≥ 20 points with 1–2 years of education; and cut-off score ≥ 22 points with more than 2 years of education (Chiu et al., 1998; Lao et al., 2019). The CMMSE is shown in Appendix B.
3.2.3 Eligible Participants

A total of 136 people responded to the invitation to participate in the experiment. Only 61 participants met the age requirement for this experiment (i.e., 65 years or over). These participants were scheduled for further screening, the practice phase and the experiment phase based on their availability. Two participants were scheduled for each working day (Monday to Friday) in November and October 2019. During the first week in November, a total of ten people aged 65 years or over were screened for their walking ability and cognitive status. They all met the eligibility criteria; hence they proceeded to the practice and experimental phase of the study. Details of their screening are provided in the following.

Unfortunately, there was political unrest in Hong Kong, and the University had to suspend all Teaching and Research activities (the University closure started from the second week in November). The university closure lasted for several months-this significantly affected this experiment. The COVID-19 restrictions in Hong Kong also affected the resumption of the experiment – especially because the participants in this study were among the COVID-19 vulnerable population.

Due to all these unforeseen and uncontrollable events and the completion time for this study, this study proceeded to analyse the data collected from only ten participants. All ten participants were able to walk unassisted by another person for at least 15 min. Nine participants achieved a total score between 25-28 points on the Tinetti Assessment Tool, indicating low fall risk. Only one participant (participant seven) achieved 19-24 points on the Tinetti Assessment Tool, indicating medium fall risk. Participant seven used a walking stick for mobility during the environment walk. All ten participants achieved a score ≥ 22 points on the CMMSE. A cut-off score of 19/20 is recommended to indicate cognitive impairment among

Hong Kong older adults (Chiu et al., 1998; Lao et al., 2019). The demographic information of the participants is presented in Table 3.1. Despite the small number, each participant provided rich multimodal data; the multimodal data was harnessed to enhance the generalisation of the study. More details about using multimodal data to improve generalisation are provided in Chapter 6.

| Participant | Gender | Age (years) | Height (cm) | Weight (kg) | Body mass index (kg/m ²) |
|-------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | Female | 65 | 162.0 | 57.0 | 21.7 |
| 2 | Female | 65 | 158.0 | 62.0 | 24.8 |
| 3 | Male | 66 | 160.0 | 71.0 | 27.7 |
| 4 | Female | 75 | 161.1 | 67.5 | 26.0 |
| 5 | Male | 68 | 173.0 | 83.0 | 27.7 |
| 6 | Female | 72 | 157.5 | 54.4 | 21.9 |
| 7 | Female | 71 | 152.4 | 60.5 | 26.0 |
| 8 | Female | 66 | 157.5 | 59.0 | 23.8 |
| 9 | Female | 66 | 154.9 | 60.0 | 25.0 |
| 10 | Male | 66 | 175.0 | 77.7 | 25.4 |

Table 3.1: Demographic information of participants

3.2.4 Practice Session

The practice session served as an opportunity to demonstrate the wearable sensors and familiarise the participants with the experiment procedures. The participants completed and signed an informed consent form after obtaining written and spoken information about the experiment procedures. The demographic information of the participants (Table 3.1) was collected during the practice session.

3.2.5 Path for Environmental Walk

An approximate 570 m path was carefully selected in the neighbourhood of Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong, to capture a range of environmental conditions. The path consists of



Figure 3.2: Field experiment overview. (a) Predefined path for environmental walk. (b) Older adult equipped with wearable sensors. *Note.* Basemap data copyrighted Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Air bus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community. Photographs by author.

spacious and narrow streets, green and high-density building areas, playgrounds, a gas station, a car wash, a car fitting shop, crosswalks (with and without traffic or pedestrian signal), sidewalks with even and uneven slopes, different street materials, among other features as shown in Figure 3.2, Figure 3.3, and Figure 3.4. Previous research efforts on human-environment interaction have proven that these environmental conditions stimulate unique human experiences (Birenboim et al., 2019; Duchowny et al., 2019; Triguero-Mas et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2016).



Figure 3.3: Photo description of path segment A to D. *Note.* Photographs by author.



Figure 3.4: Photo description of path segment E to H. *Note.* Photographs by author.

3.3 Field Data Collection: Observers' Audit of Path Condition

The environmental condition on the path was documented by two trained observers using the Environment in Asia Scan Tool—Hong Kong version (EAST-HK) (Cerin et al., 2011). The EAST-HK is a 91-item validated audit tool for assessing the walkability of neighbourhoods in Hong Kong. It was developed and validated in Hong Kong by researchers from The University of Hong Kong (Cerin et al., 2011). Other researchers have used the EAST-HK tool to assess

neighbourhood environments in Hong Kong (Barnett et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2019). The EAST-HK tool was supplemented with the Older adults Senior Walking Environmental Assessment Tool—Revised (SWEAT-R) (Michael et al., 2009). The SWEAT-R is a 162-item validated tool for auditing the presence and quality of built environment features that are significant for older adult mobility (Michael et al., 2009; Cunningham et al., 2005). The SWEAT-R was validated in Portland, Oregon, by researchers from Oregon Health and Science University, The Center for Health Research, Kaiser Permanente, Portland, Oregon, Simon Fraser University- Harbour Centre, University of California – Irvine, and Oregon State University. Recent researchers that adopted the SWEAT-R tool for neighbourhood assessment include Moniruzzaman and Páez (2016) and Duchowny et al. (2019). Both tools are organised into four built-environment multidimensional domains: functionality; safety; aesthetics; and destination as shown in Appendix C (Cerin et al., 2011; Michael et al., 2009).

The EAST-HK was chosen because it contains walking-related environmental attributes common to East Asian ultra-dense cities, particularly relevant to Hong Kong. Additionally, SWEAT-R contains walking-related environmental attributes common to most urban environment, designed to be specific to older adults needs, but lacks most of the attributes in East Asian ultra-dense cities. Therefore, integrating the EAST-HK with SWEAT-R (Appendix C) is necessary for assessing the conditions of the path for older adults in Hong Kong. The integrated audit tool and the location of the path was presented to the observers before the path audit. Both observers have an MSc in urban planning. One of the observers works in The Hong Kong Polytechnic School of Design and the other in the Department of Building and Real Estate, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University. The observers assessed the path at the same time but worked independently. The average assessment time was 1 hr 13 min. The observers

compared their assessment and any differences in their assessment were discussed to reach a consensus.

The path was divided into 24 sections grouped in eight distinct environment scenarios segment A to segment H—as shown in Figure 3.2. The length of each section is about 23.75 m. The segments were defined to cluster sections with a similar environmental condition expected to stimulate similar human experiences. For instance, the participants had to walk through an alley (segment A), walk along a busy street with bus stops (segment C), use a crosswalk with high traffic (segment D), pass through a green space (segment G), and walk through a subway with graffiti (segment H). Following previous research (Duchowny et al., 2019; Michael et al., 2009), each 23.75 m section was classified according to the level of built environmental demand (high or low) for older adults' mobility based on the integrated EAST-HK and SWEAT-R tool. Sections of the path that were assessed as high demand totalled 372.57 m (65%). The high-demand sections consist of existing built environment features such as path obstructions (32%), unattractive buildings and sights (49%), cracked, uneven and inconsistent path surfaces (4%), parked and moving vehicles (5%), crosswalk (4%), graffiti (4%). The overall conditions of the path in high-demand sections were rated as poor/moderate, while lowdemand sections were rated as moderate/good. The path audit is presented in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2: Observers' path audit

| Environmental feature | Segment A | Segment B | Segment C | Segment D |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| | | Functionality | | |
| Buildings | (1) floors an articlant | (1) floors on orthogen | C 20 flagers another out | 6.20 floors anorthmost blooks |
| Building type | blocks | blocks | blocks | 6-20 moors apartment blocks |
| Walking surface | | | | |
| Type of path | Footpath | Footpath | Footpath | Crosswalk |
| Path condition | Poor condition, wet and slippery | Well-maintained | Well-maintained | Well-maintained |
| Path slope | Flat | Flat | Flat | Flat |
| Path obstructions | Bin, household items, bamboo scaffold, cracked path surface | Inconsistent path surface quality, cracked surface, and pothole, motor vehicles parked on footpath, shops on street | Bus stops, traffic cones, bollard barricade, shops on street | None |
| Path material | Concrete | Concrete | Brick | Concrete |
| Curb cut features | - | Yes, no colour and material contrast with ground surface | Yes, colour and material contrast with ground surface | Yes, colour and material contrast with ground surface |
| Permeability | | | | |
| Street connectivity | Two connecting streets | Five connecting streets | Three connecting streets | Three connecting streets |
| Rating for functionality | Poor | Moderate Safety | Moderate | Good |
| Personal | | 5 | | |
| Street lighting | Inadequate | Good | Good | Good |

| Environmental feature | Segment A | Segment B | Segment C | Segment D |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|
| Stray dogs /other animals | Stray dogs | None | None | None |
| Presence of people | Yes | Yes | Yes, crowded | Yes, crowded |
| Signs of crime/disorder | None | None | None | None |
| Traffic | | | | |
| Traffic load | - | - | - | Crossing aids |
| Pedestrian safety | - | Parked vehicles make it difficult to see incoming traffic | Vehicles moving to and fro gas station and car wash | Traffic calming devices |
| Rating for safety | Poor | Moderate | Moderate | Good |
| | | Aesthetics | | |
| Views | | | | |
| Attractive buildings | None | Few | Few | Few |
| Abandoned/vacant buildings | None | None | None | None |
| Attractive natural sights | None | None | None | None |
| Streetscape | | | | |
| Litter | Yes, dominant feature | Yes, but not dominant feature | None | None |
| Broken bottles and cans | Yes, dominant feature | None | None | None |
| Dog/animal fouling | Yes, but not dominant feature | None | None | None |
| Graffiti | None | None | None | None |
| Noise pollution | None | Low | Moderate | Moderate |
| Air pollution | None | None | Low | Low |
| Presence of trees | None | None | None | None |

| Environmental feature | Segment A | Segment B | Segment C | Segment D | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Rating for aesthetics | Poor | Moderate | Moderate | Moderate | | |
| Destinations | | | | | | |
| Transport-related | - | Bus stop | Bus stop | Bus stop | | |
| Public open space | - | - | - | - | | |
| Recreational | - | - | Gym/fitness facility | Gym/fitness facility | | |
| Government/public | - | - | Community/elderly centre, | Community/elderly centre, | | |
| services | | | Health services | Health services, Religious places | | |
| Public facilities | - | Benches/places for sitting | - | - | | |
| Commercial | Convenience store, | Convenience store, | Convenience store, | Convenience store, | | |
| destinations | Chained fast food, | Clothing, Pharmacy, | Supermarket, Fresh food, | Supermarket, Fresh food, | | |
| | Chinese coffee/tea, | Chained fast food, | Clothing, Pharmacy, | Clothing, Pharmacy, Chained | | |
| | Chinese non-fast food, | Chinese coffee/tea, | Chained fast food, Chinese | fast food, Chinese coffee/tea, | | |
| | office buildings | Chinese non-fast food, | coffee/tea, Chinese non-fast | Chinese non-fast food, | | |
| | _ | Office buildings, | food, Bakery, Banks, Hotel, | Bakery, Banks, Laundry, | | |
| | | Laundry | Office buildings, | Salon/barber | | |
| | | - | Salon/barber | | | |
| Overall rating for segment | Poor | Moderate | Moderate | Good | | |

Table 3.2: Observers' path audit (continued)

| Environmental feature | Segment E | Segment F | Segment G | Segment H |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------|
| | | Functionality | | |
| Buildings | | | | |
| Building type | 6-20 floors apartment blocks | 7-12 floors apartment blocks | None | None |
| Walking surface | | | | |
| Type of path | Footpath | Footpath | Footpath and crosswalk | Subway |
| Path condition | On-going construction | Poor condition, wet and slippery | Well-maintained | Well-maintained |
| Path slope | Flat | Flat | Moderate | Moderate |
| Path obstructions | Inconsistent path surface material and quality, cracked surface and pothole | Stair, inconsistent path surface quality, cracked surface, and pothole | None | None |
| Path material | Brick and Steel | Concrete | Concrete | Concrete |
| Curb cut features | Yes, colour and material contrast with ground surface | None | Yes, no colour and material contrast with ground surface | None |
| Permeability | | | | |
| Street connectivity | Two connecting streets | Two connecting streets | Two connecting streets | One connecting street |
| Rating for functionality | Poor | Poor | Good | Good |
| - • | | Safety | | |
| Personal | | | | |
| Street lighting | Good | Inadequate | Good | Good |
| Stray dogs /other animals | None | None | None | None |

| Environmental feature | Segment E | Segment F | Segment G | Segment H |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Presence of people | Yes, crowded | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Signs of | None | None | None | None |
| crime/disorder | | | | |
| Traffic | | | | |
| Traffic load | - | - | No crossing aids | - |
| Pedestrian safety | Vehicles moving to | - | Incoming traffic is abrupt | - |
| | and fro construction | | | |
| | site | | | |
| Rating for safety | Poor | Poor | Moderate | Good |
| | | Aesthetics | | |
| Views | | | | |
| Attractive buildings | Few | None | Some | None |
| Abandoned/vacant | None | None | None | None |
| buildings | | | | |
| Attractive natural sights | None | None | Some | None |
| Streetscape | | | | |
| Litter | Yes, dominant feature | Yes, dominant feature | None | None |
| Broken bottles and | None | None | None | None |
| cans | | | | |
| Dog/animal fouling | None | None | None | None |
| Graffiti | None | None | None | Yes, dominant feature |
| Noise pollution | Moderate | Low | Moderate | Moderate |
| Air pollution | Moderate | None | None | Low |
| Presence of trees | None | None | Yes | None |
| Rating for aesthetics | Poor | Poor | Good | Poor |
| - | | Destinations | | |
| Transport-related | Bus stop | - | Bus stop | Bus stop |
| Public open space | - | Parks, Playground | Parks, Playground | Parks, Playground |

| Environmental feature | Segment E | Segment F | Segment G | Segment H |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Recreational | - | Outdoor sport fields | Outdoor sport fields | - |
| Government/public | Community/elderly | - | - | - |
| services | centre, Religious | | | |
| | places | | | |
| Public facilities | - | - | Benches/places for sitting | Benches/places for sitting |
| Commercial | Convenience store, | - | - | - |
| destinations | Supermarket, Fresh | | | |
| | food, Clothing, | | | |
| | Pharmacy, Chained | | | |
| | fast food, Chinese | | | |
| | coffee/tea, Chinese | | | |
| | non-fast food, Banks, | | | |
| | Hotel, Office | | | |
| | buildings | | | |
| Overall rating for | Poor | Poor | Good | Moderate |
| segment | | | | |

3.4 Field Data Collection: Bodily Response and Perceived Response Collection

A field experiment was designed for older adults to participate in an environmental walk. Older adults' bodily responses and perceived stress assessment were collected during the environmental walk to achieve the aim of this study. The environment walk was conducted between 10 a.m. and 4 p.m. on dry days free from high winds or rain. The baseline measurements of the participants' bodily responses were recorded during a 10 min rest period. After the baseline measurement, the participants walked the predefined path at a self-directed pace (comfortable pace) to optimise their experience on the path. The self-pacing enabled ecological validity and ensured that the walking activity was of mostly light intensity. The participants were instructed to behave how they usually would on a walking path. Two researchers accompanied the participants. One of the researchers was responsible for providing direction if needed, troubleshoot any technical malfunction with the wearable sensors and also present for safety and health purposes. The other researcher recorded a video of the environmental walk and took notice of any abnormal activity or event (ground truth provided by researcher). The accompanied researchers remained half a stride behind the participants to allow the participants to determine the pace. The researchers did not talk or walk along with the participant unless the participant called for assistant.

After completing the first walk, the participants were asked to walk the same route again without wearing the sensors. Instead, the participants were asked to identify locations where they experienced stressful interactions with the environment (ground truth provided by participants). The participants also stated the intensity of their perceived stress (low or high intensity). A researcher accompanied and assisted the participants to document their responses. This approach was adopted to ensure that older adults accurately recall their experience. A shopping voucher of HK\$100 was offered as compensation for participation.

3.4.1 Collected Bodily Responses and Environmental Data

Only non-intrusive wearable sensing technologies were adopted in this study in order not to inhibit the older adults' daily activity. For example, older adults may find it more comfortable walking with a smart band on their wrist and an insole sensor in their shoes than an inertial measurement unit (IMU) sensor attached to their ankle, or an electromyography (EMG) sensor attached to their arm. These smart non-intrusive wearable devices were used to collect physiological, behavioural, and cognitive data without any significant obstruction and discomfort to the older adults. The total sample of the collected data is shown Table 3.3. The walking activity affected the stability and functioning of the electroencephalography (EEG) sensor for five participants. Participant seven did not have valid data for further analysis.

| | | Physiolo | ogical | Cognitive | Beh | avioural | GPS |
|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|--------------|-------|
| | | data | ı | data | | data | |
| Partici | Time to | HR | EDA | EEG | Pressure | Acceleration | (φ,λ) |
| pant | walk path | (b/min) | (μS) | (μV) | (N/cm^2) | (g) | |
| | (MM: SS) | | - | - | | _ | |
| | | 1 Hz | 4 Hz | 128 Hz | 50 Hz | 50 Hz | 1 Hz |
| 1 | 11:31 | 700 | 2801 | 76741 | 35019 | 35019 | 700 |
| 2 | 8:47 | 527 | 2108 | - | 26300 | 26300 | 527 |
| 3 | 9: 59 | 599 | 2397 | - | 29899 | 29899 | 599 |
| 4 | 8: 55 | 535 | 2140 | 60745 | 26750 | 26750 | 535 |
| 5 | 13: 47 | 827 | 3309 | 93023 | 41498 | 41498 | 827 |
| 6 | 9: 56 | 596 | 2384 | 73592 | 29750 | 29750 | 596 |
| 7 | 15:01 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8 | 10: 57 | 657 | 2628 | 58423 | 32850 | 32850 | 657 |
| 9 | 8: 57 | 537 | 2148 | - | 26850 | 26850 | 537 |
| 10 | 9:00 | 540 | 2160 | - | 27001 | 27001 | 540 |

Table 3.3: Sample of collected bodily response and location data during environmental walk

Note. Ten min baseline measurements were recorded for each participant. HR = instantaneous heart rate computed from the inter-beat interval obtained from a PPG signal; b/min = beats per minute; μS = Microsiemens; μV = Microvolts; N/cm^2 = Newton per square metre; g = Acceleration of gravity; equivalent to 9.806 m/s^2 ; (ϕ, λ) = (Latitude, Longitude) in degree; n Hz = n data points per second.

3.4.2 Older Adults' Perceived Stress During Environmental Walk

All participants reported their perceived stress. The path was labelled using the commonly perceived stress reported by the participants (Figure 3.5).



Figure 3.5: The commonly perceived stress among the participants. The path label is the perception of at least four participants out of ten.

Note. Basemap data copyrighted Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Air bus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community.

| Segment | Total distance (m) | Non-stress (m) | Stress (m) | Low stress (m) | High stress (m) |
|---------|--------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| А | 85.65 | 0 | 85.65 | 43.01 | 42.64 |
| В | 72.60 | 72.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| С | 100.77 | 0 | 100.77 | 49.76 | 51.01 |
| D | 15.45 | 0 | 15.45 | 15.45 | 0 |
| E | 68.47 | 0 | 68.47 | 0 | 68.47 |
| F | 78.48 | 0 | 78.48 | 57.98 | 20.50 |
| G | 127.87 | 111 | 16.87 | 0 | 16.87 |
| Н | 19.88 | 0 | 19.88 | 0 | 19.88 |
| Total | 569.17 | 32.26%* | 67.74%* | 29.20%* | 38.54%* |

Table 3.4: Perceived stress distribution on path

Note. * = Percentage of the total path; m = metre.

The path label is the perception of at least four participants (out of ten). This indicate that there is somewhat commonality in older adults' perception of the path, and that each segment influenced their reaction. The proportion of the perceived stress along the path is presented in Table 3.4. The participants perceived 32.26% of the path as non-stress, 67.74% of the path as stress, 29.20% of the path as low stress, and 38.54% of the path as high stress.

3.5 Wearable Sensors for Collecting Bodily Response and Environmental Data

3.5.1 Physiological Response Sensors

Heart rate (HR) measures, heart rate variability (HRV) measures and electrodermal activity (EDA) were recorded using a wristband-type sensor (Empatica E4). The Empatica E4 wristband is a wearable research device that offers real-time physiological data acquisition (Empatica, 2019a). The technical specifications of the Empatica E4 wristband are provided in Figure 3.6. The Empatica E4 has four sensors: (1) Photoplethysmography sensor, (2) Electrodermal activity sensor, (3) 3-axis accelerometer and (4) Optical thermometer. These sensors produce the following data: (1) Blood volume pulse, at 64 Hz, (2) Inter beat interval: time, IBI (time) pair, (3) Electrodermal activity at 4 Hz, (4) XYZ raw acceleration at 32 Hz and (5) Skin temperature at 4 Hz (Empatica, 2019b).

This device was chosen for the study because it is comfortable, lightweight, reliable, has many sensors, easy to install and use for older adults in outdoor conditions without interfering with their daily living activities. To the best of my knowledge, the E4 wristband is the only certified device to offer such characteristics at present time. The E4 wristband has been recently used to measure heart-related parameters (Birenboim et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2019) and EDA (Ojha et al., 2019; Birenboim et al., 2019) in urban and rural environment settings. The Empatica E4 wristband was worn on the participant's non-dominant hand (i.e., a right-handed participant

would wear it on their left wrist) to minimise motion artifacts and allowed to adjust for 10 min (Empatica, 2019c; Picard et al., 2016).



Figure 3.6: The physiological sensor used in the experiment. *Note.* Image source: Empatica (2019b).

3.5.2 Cognitive Response Sensors

The brain electrical activity was recorded non-invasively from the scalp using a wearable EMOTIV EPOC+ 14 channel mobile EEG headset (Emotiv, 2019). The 14 channels

correspond to the international 10-20 position system (AF3, AF4, F3, F4, F7, F8, FC5, FC6, T7, T8, P7, P8, O1 and O2); P3 and P4 are the reference electrodes as shown in Figure 3.7. The EMOTIV EPOC+ headset records EEG at 128 Hz.



Figure 3.7: The cognitive sensor used in the experiment. (a) 14 channel mobile EEG headset. (b) The position of the 14 channels corresponds to the international 10-20 position system. *Note.* Image source: Emotiv (2019).

3.5.3 Behavioural (Gait and Motion) Response Sensors

Gait and motion data were captured in real-time during the walking course using a commercial wearable Moticon SCIENCE insole sensor (Moticon, 2019) as shown in Figure 3.8. Each left and right insole contains 16 pressure sensors and 6-axis IMU. The position of the IMU sensor is the origin of the coordinate system; three dimensions in space for acceleration and angular rate. The insole sensor records data at 50 Hz.

The Moticon SCIENCE insole sensor was chosen because it weighs no more than 80 grams, looks, and feels like a regular insole, reliable, easy to install and use for older adults in outdoor condition without interfering their gait. The validity and reliability of the Moticon SCIENCE insole sensor is verified in previous studies (Oerbekke et al., 2017; Stöggl and Martiner, 2017; Braun et al., 2015). The participants wore an approximately sized standardised neutral shoe

with the Moticon SCIENCE insole sensor sandwiched between the foot and the inside of the shoe. The original insole of the shoe was replaced with the Moticon SCIENCE insole sensor. The insole sensor was placed in both left and right shoes.



Figure 3.8: The behavioural (gait and motion) sensor used in the experiment. (a) Positions of pressure sensors and inertial measurement unit (IMU) in the insole sensor. (b) Top view and bottom view of insole sensor. (c) Thickness of insole sensor. *Note*. Image source: Moticon (2019).

3.5.4 Environmental Data Sensors

Generally, the infrastructure of the urban environment and season where the path is located is uniform. However, the experiment was conducted on different days and different time-of-day, which may affect the participants' bodily responses. Therefore, the environment temperature (°C) and humidity (%) for each experiment day and time-of-day were recorded from the Hong Kong Observatory. The environment temperature ranges from 24°C-29°C and the humidity ranges from 41%-55%. A belt-clip-type GPS sensor (Qstarz, 2019) as shown in Figure 3.9 was used to record GPS coordinates in latitude and longitude.



Figure 3.9: The GPS sensor used in the experiment. *Note.* Image source: Qstarz (2019).

3.6 Ethics Statement

Ethical approval was obtained from the Human Subjects Ethics Sub-committee (HSESC) of The Hong Kong Polytechnic University (Reference Number: HSEARS20190826002). All the participants signed a written informed consent. Prior to signing the consent form, the project information was presented to the participants.

3.7 Data Analysis

3.7.1 Pre-Processing of Bodily Response Data

HRV Detection and Signal Pre-processing

Artefacts including missing, extra, or misaligned beats and ectopic beats such as premature ventricular contractions or other arrhythmias were corrected, and HRV analysis was conducted,

respectively, from the instantaneous heart rate using a proprietary algorithm (Tarvainen et al., 2014; Tarvainen et al., 2002).

EDA Signal Pre-processing

The raw EDA data was low pass filtered using a Butterworth filter with a cut-off frequency of 0.28 Hz and smoothed with a moving average filter to remove non-EDA related sensor readings. A low cut-off frequency of 0.28 Hz is recommended when data is recorded during a low-intensity activity such as walking (Posada-Quintero et al., 2018). Each participant's EDA data were first normalised against the baseline period to reduce inter-individual variance.

EEG Signal Pre-processing

A bandpass filter with a lower cut-off frequency of 0.5 Hz and a higher cut-off frequency of 60 Hz was used to remove external interference from the EEG signal. A discrete wavelet transformation—Daubechies wavelet with eight vanishing moments—with decomposition level 8 was adopted to remove ocular artefacts and extract relevant frequency bands. The following relevant frequency bands were extracted from each of the 14 EEG channels: delta (δ) (0.5–4 Hz), theta (θ) (4–7 Hz), alpha (α) (7–13 Hz), beta (β) (13–30 Hz) and gamma (γ) (30–60 Hz) frequency bands.

Plantar Pressure and Acceleration Signal Pre-processing

Human gait signals energy are low-frequency components; thus, the pressure and acceleration signals are easily corrupted by instrumentation noise, random noise, electric and magnetic noise (Wang et al., 2011). The presence of noise in the pressure and acceleration signals may result in an inaccurate estimation of gait. The raw data from the pressure and IMU sensors were denoised using a discrete wavelet transformation—Symlet wavelet with two vanishing

moments—with decomposition level four. A sure shrink with a soft thresholding technique was adopted to decompose and reconstruct the signals.

3.7.2 Baseline Normalisation

To reduce individual variability, the bodily responses were baseline normalised by subtracting the minimum value and dividing by the range from their baseline measurement values, in accordance with previous research (Healey and Picard, 2005).

3.7.3 Methods

The methods adopted or adapted in this study includes information entropy symmetric uncertainty, correlation analysis, and Random Forest algorithm (for Research Objective 1); statistically analysis (Wilcoxon signed-rank test), spatial clustering analysis (Getis-Ord General G statistic and Getis-Ord Gi* statistics) and space-time pattern mining (for Research Objective 2); supervised machine learning, deep machine learning, kernel density estimation, simulation-based statistical power estimation of spatial relative risk (for Research Objective 3); and isovist analysis, self-organising maps, supervised machine learning and evolutionary fuzzy rule-based system (for Research Objective 4). A detailed explanation of these methods, model developments, and validations are presented in their respective chapters.

3.8 Chapter Summary

This chapter provided a comprehensive overview of the experiment design, data collection and data analysis. Ten eligible older adults aged 65 and above participated in an environmental walk while equipped with non-intrusive wearable sensors. The participants' perceived stress was also recorded. Two trained observers provided an assessment of the conditions of the path. Heart rate and EDA were recorded using E4 wristband from Empatica. The brain electrical

activity was recorded non-invasively from the scalp using a wearable EMOTIV EPOC+ 14 channel mobile EEG headset. The gait and motion data were captured in real-time during the walking course using a commercial wearable Moticon SCIENCE insole sensor. GPS coordinates were logged using a belt-clip-type GPS sensor. The raw data were pre-processed to remove artefacts and baseline normalised to compensate for inter-individual variance. The data analysis methods were also presented.

PART III: DETECTING STRESSFUL OLDER ADULTS-ENVIRONMENT INTERACTIONS

ASSESSMENT OF THE INFORMATIVENESS OF OLDER ADULTS' BODILY RESPONSE⁴

4.1 Introduction

This chapter aims to achieve research objective one: to assess the informative of people's bodily responses (i.e., physiological, behavioural, and cognitive responses) to different environmental conditions. The most informative bodily responses can be used to detect subtle and hidden changes in physiological, behavioural, and cognitive states between different environment settings and situations. Such knowledge about people's physiological, behavioural, or cognitive responses can be linked to different outdoor environmental conditions. The links will enable municipal officers, policymakers, and engineers to continuously assess and understand environmental conditions that trigger people's state of being and will be essential in determining what and when environmental interventions are needed to promote walkability.

⁴ This chapter is based a study that is currently under consideration for publication.

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). Wearable sensing and mining of the informativeness of older adults' bodily responses to detect demanding environmental conditions, *Environment and Behavior*. (Under Review). E&B-20-0532.R2

4.2 Methodological Framework: Assessing Informativeness of Bodily Response

This section presents a methodological framework for assessing the relevance and informativeness of people's bodily responses. The framework, as shown in Figure 4.1, includes data collection, data pre-processing, AI-based information mining, and validation. The bodily response collection and pre-processing to remove noise is discussed in Chapter 3.



Figure 4.1: Methodological framework for assessing informativeness of bodily responses.

4.2.1 Feature Extraction

Feature extraction builds valuable information from the raw data by reformatting, combining, and transforming the raw data—the primary feature—into new features. The sensors deployed in human-centric sensing represent people's interaction and experience in the environment as a series of data points ordered in time. The temporal changes and fluctuations in the signal data are reflected in the time-domain, frequency-domain, and nonlinear domain features (Antwi-Afari et al., 2018). Based on a literature review, several time-domain, frequency-domain, and nonlinear domain features commonly used in human-centric sensing were extracted from the physiological (Birenboim et al., 2019; Walford et al., 2017; Triguero-Mas et al., 2017),

behavioural (Twardzik et al., 2019; Duchowny et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2016), and cognitive signals (Bailey et al., 2018; Tilley et al., 2017; Neale et al., 2017). Because the data points for the recorded signals are large (i.e., 4 data points per second for EDA signal; 128 data points per second for EEG signal; and 50 data points per second for the plantar pressure sensor and accelerometer signal), extracting the features from one single signal reading is not informative (Jebelli et al., 2018). To address this problem, features were extracted from blocks of continuous readings referred to as windows. Selecting an appropriate window size impacts the informativeness of the features (Antwi-Afari et al., 2018).

The possible features that reflect the conditions of the environment would be a physiological, behaviour, or cognitive feature that continuously fluctuated, proportional to the older adult's experience throughout the environmental walk. To extract features with such attribute, continuous calculations were conducted using the optimal window size of the respective bodily response and advanced by 1 s for each second of the entire duration of each participant's walk on the path.

Features Extracted from HRV Signal

A continuous time series of HRV features were extracted from a window size of 60 s using Welch's periodograms (Tarvainen et al., 2014). Based on previous studies, a short-term window of 60 s can produce informative HRV features (Shaffer and Ginsberg, 2017). The frequently used features for human-centric sensing in the time-domain, frequency-domain, and nonlinear domain were computed, as presented in Table 4.1. A total of 31 HRV features were extracted for each participant.

| Feature | Description (Unit) |
|----------------------|--|
| Time domain | |
| HR | Instantaneous heart rate values (1/min) |
| Mean RR | The mean of RR intervals (ms) |
| STD RR (SDNN) | Standard deviation of RR interval (ms) |
| Mean HR | The mean heart rate (1/min) |
| STD HR | Standard deviation of instantaneous heart rate values (1/min) |
| Min HR | Minimum heart rate (1/min) |
| Max HR | Maximum heart rate (1/min) |
| RMSSD | Square root of the mean squared differences between successive RR intervals (ms) |
| NN50 | Number of successive RR interval pairs that differ more than 50 ms (beats) |
| pNN50 | NN50 divided by the total number of RR intervals (%) |
| HRV triangular index | The integral of the RR interval histogram divided by the height of the histogram |
| TINN | Baseline width of the RR interval histogram (ms) |
| Frequency domain | |
| Absolute power | Absolute powers of very low frequency (VLF), low frequency (LF), and |
| - | high frequency (HF) bands (ms ²) |
| Absolute power | Natural logarithm transformed values of absolute powers of VLF, LF, and HF bands (log) |
| Total power | Total spectral power (ms^2) |
| LF/HF | Ratio between LF and HF band powers |
| Nonlinear | - |
| SD1 | In Poincaré plot, the standard deviation perpendicular to the line-of- |
| | identity (ms) |
| SD2 | In Poincaré plot, the standard deviation along the line-of-identity (ms) |
| SD2/SD1 | Ratio between SD2 and SD1 |

Table 4.1: Features extracted from HRV signal

Features Extracted from EDA Signal

Continuous decomposition analysis was conducted to decompose the processed EDA signal into two components: skin conductance level (SCL) (tonic component) and the skin conductance response (SCR) (phasic component) (Benedek and Kaernbach, 2010). The SCL reflects the baseline level of skin conductivity (tonic stimulus) and changes slowly over time, while the SCR increases in the amplitude of skin conductivity due to sympathetic stimulation. SCL and SCR features (Table 4.2) were extracted from a window size of 10 sec, with a minimum amplitude threshold of 0.05 μ S to eliminate external interferences due to the experimental conditions and movement artefacts (Posada-Quintero and Chon, 2020; Benedek and Kaernbach, 2010). Because a 10 s latency is sufficient for EDA reaction to extinguish after a stimulus (Posada-Quintero and Chon, 2020), it is expected that the informative EDA features will be produced within a window size of 10 s. A total of nine features were extracted from the EDA signal for each participant (Table 4.2).

| Table 4.2:] | Features | extracted | from | EDA | signal |
|---------------------|----------|-----------|------|-----|--------|
|---------------------|----------|-----------|------|-----|--------|

| Feature | Description (unit) |
|-------------|---|
| nSCR | Number of significant (= above-threshold) SCRs within response window |
| Latency | Response latency of first significant SCR within response window (s) |
| AmpSum | Sum of SCR-amplitudes of significant SCRs within response window |
| | (reconvolved from corresponding phasic driver-peaks) (muS) |
| SCR | Average phasic driver within response window. Equals ISCR divided by size |
| | of response window; units are muS. This score represents phasic activity |
| | within response window most accurately but does not fall back on classic SCR |
| | amplitudes (muS) |
| ISCR | Area (i.e., time integral) of phasic driver within response window. It equals |
| | SCR multiplied by size of response window (muS*s) |
| PhasicMax | Maximum value of phasic activity within response window (muS) |
| Tonic | Mean tonic activity within response window of decomposed tonic component |
| Global mean | Mean skin conductance (SC) value within response window |
| Global max | Maximum positive deflection within response window |
| deflection | |

Features Extracted from EEG Signal

Based on previous studies, a suitable window size for EEG feature extraction might be within a window size less than 12 s (Candra et al., 2015). To find the optimal window size, features were extracted from different window sizes ranging from 1 to 12 sec. The window size of 2 s produced the most informative feature; hence 2 s was selected as the optimum window size for this EEG dataset. Time-domain features were computed from each of the 14 EEG channels. Frequency domain features were computed from each of the 14 EEG channels in the delta (δ) (0.5–4 Hz), theta (θ) (4–7 Hz), alpha (α) (7–13 Hz), beta (β) (13–30 Hz) and gamma (γ) (30– 60 Hz) frequency bands. A total of 339 features were extracted from the EEG signal for each participant. The extracted features are listed in Table 4.3.

| Feature | Equation | Description |
|---|--|---|
| Time domain | | |
| Mean value | $Mean_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} EEG_{ij}}{N}$ | Average value of EEG signal within window for EEG channel <i>j</i> . |
| Variance | $VAR_{j} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} EEG_{ij}^{2}$ | Variance of the EEG signal within window for EEG channel <i>j</i> . |
| Minimum window elements | $Min_j = \min EEG_{ij}$ | Minimum EEG signal within window for EEG channel <i>i</i> . |
| Maximum window elements | $Max_j = \max EEG_{ij}$ | Maximum EEG signal within window for EEG channel <i>i</i> . |
| Range | $Range_j = maxEEG_{ij} - minEEG_{ij}$ | Difference between maximum and minimum of EEG signals within window for EEG channel <i>j</i> . |
| Standard deviation (STD) | $STD_{j} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} EEG_{ij}^{2}}$ | Deviation of EEG signals within window for EEG channel <i>j</i> . |
| Root-mean- square level (RMS) | $RMS_j = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} EEG_{ij}^2}{N}}$ | Norm 2 of the EEG signals divided by the square root of the number of samples within window for EEG channel <i>j</i> . |
| Root-sum-of- squares level (RSSQ) | $RSSQ_j = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} EEG_{ij} ^2}$ | Norm of the EEG signals within window for EEG channel <i>j</i> . |
| Kurtosis (K) | $K_{j} = \frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i}(EEG_{ij} - MAV_{j})^{4}}{(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i}(EEG_{ij} - MAV_{i})^{2})^{2}}$ | Shows the sharpness of EEG signals peak within window for EEG channel <i>j</i> . |
| Frequency domain Normalised power <i>P_j(i)</i> | $P_j(w_i) = \frac{1}{N} X(w_i) ^2$ | The mean normalised power $[P_j(i)]$ of the power spectrum density $[P_j(w_i)]$ of signal spectrum $[X(w_i)]$ within a window for |
| Spectral entropy (SE) | $SE_j = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_j(i) \log_2 P_j(i)$ | channel <i>j</i> . Entropy of the normalised power spectrum within window across frequency bands of EEG channel <i>j</i> . |
| Energy | $Energy_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} FFT_{ji}^{2}}{N} - Mean_{j}$ | Energy of the power spectrum within window across frequency bands EEG channel <i>j</i> . |
| Valence | $V = \frac{\alpha(F4)}{\beta(F4)} - \frac{\alpha(F3)}{\beta(F3)}$ | Level of happiness. |
| Arousal | $A = \alpha(AF3 + AF4 + F3 + F4)$ | Level of excitement. |
| Dominance | $= \frac{\beta(FC6)}{\beta(FC6)} + \frac{\beta(F8)}{\alpha(F8)}$ $D = \frac{\beta(FC6)}{\alpha(FC6)} + \frac{\beta(F8)}{\alpha(F8)}$ $\beta(P8)$ | Level of control over emotion. |
| | $+\frac{1}{\alpha(P8)}$ | |

| Table 4.3: Features extracted from EEG sign |
|---|
|---|

Features Extracted from Plantar Pressure and Acceleration Signal

The window size of 5.12 s for pressure data and 10.24 s for acceleration data were the optimum window size for these datasets upon testing different window sizes. Time-domain and frequency-domain features for the foot plantar pressure sensor (Table 4.4) and acceleration signals (Table 4.5) were extracted from the segmented data. A total of 326 features from 32 pressure sensors and 70 features from 3-axes acceleration sensor were extracted.

4.2.2 AI-based Information Mining

A feature contains informative and measurable property of a detected signal (Jebelli et al., 2018). In this study, the relevance of a feature was determined by measuring the symmetrical uncertainty of information gain from people's interaction with the outdoor environment. First, the impurities in the features are measured using entropy. Entropy is a measure of uncertainty or lack of information of a random variable in a system (Hall, 1999; Wehrl, 1978). The entropy is computed as follows (Hall, 1999)

$$H(Y) = -\sum_{y \in Y} p(y) \log_2 p(y).$$

$$(4.1)$$

The entropy of Y after observing values of another variable X is computed using

$$H(Y \mid X) = -\sum_{x \in X} p(x) \sum_{y \in Y} p(y \mid x) \log_2 p(y \mid x),$$
(4.2)

where X and Y are discrete random variables. p(y) is the prior probabilities for all values of Y and p(y | x) is the posterior probabilities of Y when the values of X are given. Information gain is the amount by which the entropy of Y decreases reflect the additional information about Y provided by X (Doshi and Chaturvedi, 2014). Information gain is computed using (Hall, 1999; Muzammal et al., 2020; Mursalin et al., 2017)

$$Gain = H(Y) - H(Y | X) = H(X) - H(X | Y)$$
(4.3)

$$Gain = H(Y) + H(X) - H(X, Y).$$
(4.4)

| Time domain Mean $Mean_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{ij}}{N}$ Average foot plantar pressure sensor j .Variance $VAR_j = \frac{i}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{ij}$ Average foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor j .Maximum $Max_{ij} = \max(P_{ij})$ Variance of the foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor j .Minimum $Max_{ij} = \max(P_{ij})$ Maximum foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor j .Minimum $Min_{ij} = \min(P_{ij})$ Maximum foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor j .Range $Range_j = \max_i P_{ij} - \min_i P_{ij}$ Difference between maximum and minimum of the foot plantar pressure sensor j .Standard deviation (STD) STD_j Difference between maximum and minimum of the foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor j .Kurtosis (K) $K_j = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in I} (P_{ij} - Mean_i)^2$ Shows the sharpness of the pressure signal peaks within window for pressure sensor j .Pressure time integral (PTI) $PTI_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{ij}(t) \times \Delta t$ PTI indicates the cumulative foot loading over time N is the total number of pressure value of sensor j at time t , and Δt is the duration of the window.Centre of pressure (CoP) mean $Mean_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} FFT_{ij}}{N}$ Total force (TF) mean $Mean_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} FFT_{ij}^2}{N} - Mean_j$ Frequency domain Energy $Energy_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} FFT_{ii}^2}{N} - Mean_j$ Spectral entropy (SE) $SE_j = -\sum^{N} P(i) \log_2 P(i)$ | Feature | Equation | Description |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Mean $Mean_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{ij}$ Average foot plantar pressure sensorVariance $VAR_j = \frac{i}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{ij}$ Average foot plantar pressure sensorMaximum $Max_{ij} = \max(P_{ij})$ Variance of the foot plantar pressure sensor j.Minimum $Min_{ij} = \min(P_{ij})$ Maximum foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor j.Mange $Range_j = \max_i P_{ij} - \min_i P_{ij}$ Maximum foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor j.Range $Range_j = \max_i P_{ij} - \min_i P_{ij}$ Difference between maximum and minimum of the foot plantar pressure sensor j.Standard deviation (STD) STD_j $\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_{ij} - Mean_j)^2$ Deviation of foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor j.Kurtosis (K) $K_j = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_{ij} - Mean_j)^2$ Shows the sharpness of the pressure signal peaks within window for pressure sensor j.Pressure time integral (PTI) $PTI_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{ij}(t) \times \Delta t$ PTI indicates the cumulative foot loading over time. N is the total number of pressure data samples in a window, P_{ij} is pressure value of sensor j at time t, and Δt is the duration of the window.Centre of pressure (CoP) mean $Mean_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} TE_{ij}}{N}$ Mean_j = $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} FET_{ii}^2}{N}$ Frequency domain Energy $Energy_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} FFT_{ii}^2}{N}$ Mean_jFrequency domain Energy $SE_i = -\sum^{N} P(i) \log_2 P(i)$ N is the total number of pressure nor the pressure sensor j.N is the total anumber of pressure form the otop treasure form the otop treasure form the otop treas | Time domain | | |
| Variance $VAR_j = \frac{i}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{ij}$ 'Variance of the foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor j.Maximum $Max_{ij} = \max(P_{ij})$ Maximum foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor j.Minimum $Min_{ij} = \min(P_{ij})$ Maximum foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor j.Range $Range_j = \max_i P_{ij} - \min_i P_{ij}$ Minimum foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor j.Range $Range_j = \max_i P_{ij} - \min_i P_{ij}$ Difference between maximum and minimum of the foot plantar pressure sensor j.Standard deviation (STD) STD_j Difference between maximum and minimum of the foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor j.Kurtosis (K) $K_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_{ij} - Mean_j)^2$ Shows the sharpness of the pressure signal peaks within window for pressure sensor j.Pressure time integral (PTI) $PTI_j = \sum_{t=1}^{N} P_{ij}(t) \times \Delta t$ Shows the sharpness of the duration of the window. $A verage centre of foot plantarpressure value ofsensor j at time t, and \Delta t is theduration of the window.A verage centre of foot plantarpressure within window for axis j.X and Y axesA verage cotal force within windowfor oto plantarPrequency domainEnergyEnergy_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} FFT_{ij}^2}{N} - Mean_jN is the total number of pressuredat within window, FTT_i is thetransformed ith foot pressure fromtime to frequency domain of thepressure sensor j.Spectral entropy(SE)SE_i = -\sum^N P(i) \log_2 P(i)N is the total anount of footpressure formtime of the quantitic window D(i)$ | Mean | $Mean_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{ij}}{N}$ | Average foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor |
| Maximum $Max_{ij} = \max(P_{ij})$ $Maximum foot plantar pressure sensor j.MinimumMin_{ij} = \min(P_{ij})Maximum foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor j.RangeRange_j = \max_{l} P_{lj} - \min_{l} P_{lj}Maximum foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor j.RangeRange_j = \max_{l} P_{lj} - \min_{l} P_{lj}Difference between maximum and minimum of the foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor j.Standard deviation (STD)STD_jDifference between maximum and minimum of the foot plantar pressure sensor j.Kurtosis (K)sTD_jDifference between maximum and minimum of the foot plantar pressure sensor j.Kurtosis (K)s_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_{ij} - Mean_j)^2Shows the sharpness of the pressure signal peaks within window for pressure sensor j.Pressure time integral (PTI)PTI_j = \sum_{t=1}^{N} P_{ij}(t) \times \Delta tPTI indicates the cumulative foot loading over time. N is the total number of pressure value of sensor j at time t, and \Delta t is the duration of the window.Centre of pressure (CoP) meanMean_j = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{N} TE_{ij}}{N}PTI indicates the cumulative foot loading over time. N is the total number of pressure value of sensor j at time t, and \Delta t is the duration of the window.Frequency domain EnergyEnergy_j = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{N} FFT_{j,t}^2}{N} - Mean_jN is the total number of pressure data within window, FFT_{ij} is the transformed if hot pressure from time to frequency domain of the pressure data within window, FFT_{ij} is the transformed if hot pressure data within window for pressure data within window $ | Variance | $VAR_j = \frac{i}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{ij}$ | Variance of the foot plantar pressure within window for |
| Minimum $Min_{ij} = \min(P_{ij})$ $Minimum foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensorRangeRange_j = \max_i P_{ij} - \min_i P_{ij}Minimum foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensorRangeRange_j = \max_i P_{ij} - \min_i P_{ij}Difference between maximum andminimum of the foot plantarpressure within window for pressure sensor j.Standard deviation(STD)STD_jDeviation of foot plantar pressurewithin window for pressure sensor j.Kurtosis (K)\int_{-1}^{1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_{ij} - Mean_i)^2Deviation of foot plantar pressure sensor j.Kurtosis (K)K_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_{ij} - Mean_i)^2Shows the sharpness of thepressure signal peaks withinwindow for pressure sensor j.Pressure timeintegral (PTI)PTI_j = \sum_{t=1}^{N} P_{ij}(t) \times \Delta tPTI indicates the cumulative footloading over time. N is the totalnumber of pressure data samples ina window. R_{ij} is pressure value ofsensor j at time t, and \Delta t is theduration of the window.Centre of pressure(CoP) meanMean_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} TF_{ij}}{N}Mean_i = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} FF_{ij}^2}{N} - Mean_jFrequency domainEnergyEnergy_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} FFT_{ji}^2}{N} - Mean_jN is the total number of pressuredata within window, FFT_{ji} is thetransformed ith foot pressure fromtim to total amount of foottransformed ith foot pressure formto total amount of foottotal sensor j.Spectral entropy(SE)SE_i = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} P(i) \log_2 P(i)N is the total amount of footpressure data within window.$ | Maximum | $Max_{ij} = \max{(P_{ij})}$ | Maximum foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor |
| Range $Range_{j} = \max_{i} P_{ij} - \min_{i} P_{ij}$ j .Range $Range_{j} = \max_{i} P_{ij} - \min_{i} P_{ij}$ j .Standard deviation STD_{j} $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_{ij} - Mean_{j})^{2}$ Deviation of foot plantar pressure sensor j .Standard deviation STD_{j} $= \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_{ij} - Mean_{j})^{2}}$ Deviation of foot plantar pressure sensor j .Kurtosis (K) $K_{j} = \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} (P_{ij} - Mean_{j})^{4}}{\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} (P_{ij} - Mean_{j})^{2}\right)^{2}}$ Shows the sharpness of the pressure signal peaks within window for pressure sensor j .Pressure time integral (PTI) $PTI_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{ij}(t) \times \Delta t$ PTI indicates the cumulative foot loading over time. N is the total number of pressure value of sensor j at time t , and Δt is the duration of the window.Centre of pressure (CoP) mean $Mean_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} CoP_{ij}}{N}$ PTI indicates the cumulative foot loading over time. N is the total number of pressure value of sensor j at time t , and Δt is the duration of the window.Total force (TF) mean $Mean_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} FFT_{ij}}{N} - Mean_{j}$ N is the total number of pressure data within window for foot plantar N verage total force within window for foot plantar N is the total number of pressure data within window, FFT_{ji} is the transformed ith foot pressure from time to frequency domain of the pressure sensor j .Spectral entropy (SE) $SE_{j} = -\sum^{N} P(i) \log_{2} P(i)$ N is the total amount of foot pressure sensor j . | Minimum | $Min_{ij} = \min\left(P_{ij}\right)$ | J. Minimum foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor |
| Standard deviation (STD) STD_j = $\sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(P_{ij} - Mean_j)^2}$ Deviation of for plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor j.Kurtosis (K) $K_j = \frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum_i(P_{ij} - Mean_j)^4}{(\frac{1}{N}\sum_i(P_{ij} - Mean_j)^2)^2}$ Shows the sharpness of the pressure signal peaks within window for pressure sensor j.Pressure time integral (PTI) $PTI_j = \sum_{t=1}^{N} P_{ij}(t) \times \Delta t$ Shows the sharpness of the pressure sensor j.Centre of pressure (CoP) mean $Mean_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} CoP_{ij}}{N}$ PTI indicates the cumulative foot | Range | $Range_j = \max_i P_{ij} - \min_i P_{ij}$ | <i>J.</i> Difference between maximum and minimum of the foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor <i>i</i> . |
| Kurtosis (K) $K_j = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (P_{ij} - Mean_j)^4}{\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_i (P_{ij} - Mean_j)^2\right)^2}$ Shows the sharpness of the pressure signal peaks within window for pressure sensor j.Pressure time integral (PTI) $PTI_j = \sum_{t=1}^{N} P_{ij}(t) \times \Delta t$ PTI indicates the cumulative foot loading over time. N is the total number of pressure data samples in a window, P_{ij} is pressure value of sensor j at time t, and Δt is the duration of the window.Centre of pressure (CoP) mean $Mean_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} CoP_{ij}}{N}$ Average centre of foot plantar pressure within window for axis j. X and Y axesTotal force (TF) mean $Mean_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} TF_{ij}}{N}$ Average total force within window for foot plantar j. Left and right foot plantarFrequency domain Energy $Energy_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} FFT_{ji}^2}{N} - Mean_j$ N is the total number of pressure from time to frequency domain of the pressure sensor j.Spectral entropy $SE_j = -\sum^{N} P(i) \log_2 P(i)$ N is the total amount of foot plantar $P(i)$ | Standard deviation (STD) | $STD_{j} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_{ij} - Mean_{j})^{2}}$ | Deviation of foot plantar pressure within window for pressure sensor j . |
| Pressure time integral (PTI) $PTI_j = \sum_{t=1}^{N} P_{ij}(t) \times \Delta t$ PTI indicates the cumulative foot loading over time. N is the total number of pressure data samples in a window, P_{ij} is pressure value of sensor j at time t, and Δt is the duration of the window.Centre of pressure (CoP) mean $Mean_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} CoP_{ij}}{N}$ Average centre of foot plantar pressure within window for axis j. X and Y axesTotal force (TF) mean $Mean_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} TF_{ij}}{N}$ Average total force within window for foot plantar j. Left and right foot plantarFrequency domain Energy $Energy_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} FFT_{ji}^2}{N} - Mean_j$ N is the total number of pressure data within window, FFT_{ji} is the transformed <i>ith</i> foot pressure from | Kurtosis (K) | $K_{j} = \frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i}(P_{ij} - Mean_{j})^{4}}{\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i}(P_{ij} - Mean_{j})^{2}\right)^{2}}$ | Shows the sharpness of the pressure signal peaks within window for pressure sensor <i>j</i> . |
| Centre of pressure (CoP) mean $Mean_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} CoP_{ij}}{N}$ Total force (TF) mean $Mean_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} TF_{ij}}{N}$ Frequency domain Energy $Energy_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} FFT_{ji}^{2}}{N} - Mean_{j}$ $Mean_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} FFT_{ji}^{2}}{N} - Mean_{j}$ $K = \sum_{i=1}^{N} FFT_{ij} + Mean_{i}$ $K = \sum_{i=1}^{N} FFT_{i}$ $K = $ | Pressure time integral (PTI) | $PTI_j = \sum_{t=1}^{N} P_{ij}(t) \times \Delta t$ | PTI indicates the cumulative foot loading over time. N is the total number of pressure data samples in a window, P_{ij} is pressure value of sensor j at time t, and Δt is the |
| Total force (TF) mean $Mean_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} TF_{ij}}{N}$ Average total force within window for foot plantar j. Left and right foot plantarFrequency domain Energy $Energy_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} FFT_{ji}^2}{N} - Mean_j$ N is the total number of pressure data within window, FFT_{ji} is the transformed <i>ith</i> foot pressure from time to frequency domain of the pressure sensor j. N is the total amount of foot pressure data within window | Centre of pressure (CoP) mean | $Mean_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} CoP_{ij}}{N}$ | duration of the window. Average centre of foot plantar pressure within window for axis <i>j</i> . X and Y axes |
| Frequency domain Energy $Energy_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} FFT_{ji}^{2}}{N} - Mean_{j}$ N is the total number of pressure data within window, FFT_{ji} is the transformed <i>ith</i> foot pressure from time to frequency domain of the pressure sensor <i>j</i> . N is the total amount of foot pressure data within window, $P(i)$ | Total force (TF) mean | $Mean_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} TF_{ij}}{N}$ | Average total force within window for foot plantar <i>j</i> . Left and right foot plantar |
| Spectral entropy (SE) $SE_{i} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} P(i) \log_{2} P(i)$ N is the total amount of foot pressure data within window $P(i)$ | Frequency domain Energy | $Energy_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} FFT_{ji}^{2}}{N} - Mean_{j}$ | <i>N</i> is the total number of pressure data within window, FFT_{ji} is the transformed <i>ith</i> foot pressure from time to frequency domain of the pressure sensor <i>i</i> |
| (5L) pressure data within window, $F(t)$ | Spectral entropy (SE) | $SE_j = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} P(i) \log_2 P(i)$ | N is the total amount of foot pressure data within window, $P(i)$ |

Table 4.4: Features extracted from plantar pressure signal

| Feature | Equation | Description |
|---------|----------|--|
| | | is the normalised power spectrum |
| | | of signal <i>i</i> of the pressure sensor <i>j</i> . |
| | | |

However, information gain is biased toward features with more values. Thus, symmetrical uncertainty (SU) is used to compensate for information gain's bias, and the resulting value is normalised to the range of [0, 1] using (Hall, 1999)

$$SU = 2.0 \times \left[\frac{Gain}{H(Y) + H(X)}\right].$$
(4.5)

The Ranker algorithm in Java (Witten et al., 2017) was used to sort the features into rank order of the evaluation based on the SU. The Ranker algorithm returns an array of sorted (highest evaluation to lowest evaluation) features. The top ten ranked features are reported in this study. To determine the optimum number of features that gained the most information without overfitting, the merit of a subset of features were computed using (Hall, 1999; Muzammal et al., 2020; Mursalin et al., 2017)

$$Merit_{S} = \frac{k\overline{r_{cf}}}{\sqrt{k + k(k-1)\overline{r_{ff}}}}.$$
(4.6)

where $Merit_S$ is the heuristic merit of a feature subset S containing k features, $\overline{r_{cf}}$ is the average correlation value between feature and class labels, and $\overline{r_{ff}}$ represents the average correlation value between two features (feature-feature intercorrelation). The feature-feature intercorrelation was computed using symmetrical uncertainty. The heuristic merit discards irrelevant and redundant features because these features could decrease the information gained from human-environment interaction. A genetic algorithm was employed to search for each subset of features based on the $Merit_S$ in order to determine the optimum number of features.

| Feature | Equation | Description |
|---|--|--|
| Time domain | | |
| Mean | $Mean_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} IMU_{ij}}{N}$ | Average IMU data within window for acceleration axis <i>j</i> . |
| Variance | $u_{AB} = i \sum^{N} u_{AB}$ | <i>N</i> is the total amount of IMU data within window. IMU_i is the <i>i</i> th acceleration for axis <i>j</i> . Variance of the IMU data within |
| | $VAR_j = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} IMO_{ij}$ | window for each axis. |
| Maximum | $Max_{ij} = \max\left(IMU_{ij}\right)$ | Maximum IMU data within window for acceleration axis <i>j</i> . |
| Minimum | $Min_{ij} = \min(IMU_{ij})$ | Minimum IMU data within window for acceleration axis <i>i</i> . |
| Range | $Range_j = \max_i IMU_{ij} - \min_i IMU_{ij}$ | Difference between maximum and minimum of the IMU data within |
| Standard deviation (STD) | STD _j | Deviation of IMU data within window for acceleration axis <i>j</i> . |
| | $= \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (IMU_{ij} - Mean_j)^2}$ | |
| Root-mean- square (RMS) | $RMS_{j} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} IMU_{ij}^{2}}{N}}$ | Norm 2 of the IMU data within window divided by the square root of the number of samples for acceleration axis <i>j</i> . |
| Root-sum-of- squares level (RSSQ) | $RSSQ_j = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} IMU_{ij} ^2}{N}}$ | Norm of the IMU data within window for acceleration axis <i>j</i> . |
| Kurtosis (K) | $K_{j} = \frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i}(IMU_{ij} - Mean_{j})^{4}}{\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i}(IMU_{ij} - Mean_{i})^{2}\right)^{2}}$ | Shows the sharpness of the IMU signal peaks within window for acceleration axis <i>j</i> . |
| Signal vector magnitude (SVM) | $SVM = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2 + z_i^2}}{N}$ | SVM of the IMU signal within window. N is the total amount of IMU data, x_i is the <i>ith</i> acceleration of the maximum data is the interval of the maximum data is the second data. |
| Signal magnitude area (SMA) | $SMA = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i + y_i + z_i)}{N}$ | of the x-axis, y_i is the <i>i</i> th acceleration of the y-axis, and z_i is the <i>i</i> th acceleration of the z-axis. SMA of the IMU signal within window. N is the total amount of IMU data, x_i is the <i>i</i> th acceleration of the x-axis, y_i is the <i>i</i> th acceleration of the x-axis, and z_i is the <i>i</i> th acceleration of the z-axis. |
| Frequency | | |
| uomam | | |

 Table 4.5: Features extracted from acceleration signal

| Feature | Equation | Description |
|------------------|---|---|
| Energy | $\sum_{i=1}^{N} FFT_{ji}^2$ | N is the total amount of IMU data |
| | $Energy_j = \frac{1}{N} - Mean_j$ | within window, FFT_{ji} is the |
| | | transformed ith acceleration from |
| | | time to frequency domain of the |
| | | acceleration axis <i>j</i> . |
| Spectral entropy | $SE = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P(i) \log P(i)$ | N is the total amount of IMU data |
| (SE) | $SE_j = -\sum_{i=1}^{r} r(i) \log_2 r(i)$ | within window, $P(i)$ is the |
| | | normalised power spectrum of |
| | | signal <i>i</i> of the acceleration axis <i>j</i> . |

4.2.3 Validation

The walking path was divided into 24 sections grouped in eight distinct environment scenarios—segment A to segment H—as shown in Figure 3.2, Chapter 3. The length of each section is about 23.75 m. The segments were defined to cluster sections with a similar environmental condition expected to stimulate similar human experiences. The human experience in the environment is the human state of being affected by the surrounding conditions (Kaplan, 1988). It is expected that each segment of the path (the path was divided into 24 sections grouped in eight distinct environment scenarios—segment A to segment H as shown in Figure 3.2) presents a unique experience to the older adults, and this unique experience can be captured through their bodily responses while interacting with the path segment. This means that the most informative bodily responses should capture a distinctive representation of the older adults' experience in each path segment. A more informative bodily response should achieve a higher prediction performance of people's interaction in each segment. Therefore, a supervised classification was performed using the path segments A to H as class labels. Each time point of the corresponding bodily response was signalled using a binary schema per second, where "1" signalled the presence of the participant in a segment at a specific time and "0" otherwise. A Random Forest (RF) classifier was used; RF is an ensemble of different trees. Each decision tree in the forest gives a classification, and the forest
chooses the final classification with the most votes (Lou et al., 2014). The RF classifier is chosen because it can accommodate models with imbalanced class labels, and it can provide an assessment of the variable importance (Saitis and Kalimeri, 2018). 10-fold cross-validation was conducted to validate the performance of the classification model over the selected features.

Accuracy represents the percentage of path segments that are correctly classified based on the selected features. Sensitivity is the true positive (TP) rate based on the selected features. That is the proportion of path segments that are actually positive and were predicted positive. Specificity is the true negative (TN) rate based on the selected features. That is the proportion of path segments that are actually negative and were predicted negative. Accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity are benefit criteria meaning the highest value is the most preferred. The performance measures are computed using the following equations

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN},$$
(4.7)

$$Sensitivity = \frac{TP}{TP + FN},$$
(4.8)

$$Specificity = \frac{TN}{TN + FP},$$
(4.9)

where FN is the false negative, and FP is the false positive.

4.3 Results and Discussion

4.3.1 Physiological Response

The physiological signals are involuntary actions or response that are almost impossible to notice by external observation because it relates to how a living organism or bodily part functions (Alberdi et al., 2016). In this experiment, two main physiological responses were

collected and analysed—HRV and EDA. The HRV and EDA features that gained the most information are presented in Table 4.6 and Table 4.7, respectively.

For HRV features, minimum HR (with an accuracy of 75.96%, sensitivity of 76% and specificity of 95.7%) and maximum HR (with an accuracy of 71.77%, sensitivity of 71.8% and specificity of 95.4%) gained the most information about older adults' experience in the environment. It is important to mention the inconsistency reported in previous studies considering the use of HR and HRV to represent people's interaction with the environment. For example, some studies reported that HR and HRV contain relevant information, and other studies reported otherwise (Triguero-Mas et al., 2017; Birenboim et al., 2019). In this study, HR was ranked third but did not gain sufficient information about older adults' experience in the environment (accuracy of 23.59%, sensitivity of 23.6%, and specificity of 87.4%). Similarly, all HRV features (Table 4.6) gained very little information about older adults' experience in the environment. For example, the highest-ranked HRV feature is HF(Hz) achieved an accuracy of 29.43%, sensitivity of 29.4%, and specificity of 84.3%. A plausible explanation for this is that the physiological cardiovascular bodily responses are more susceptible to physical activity, and the influence of the walking activity in the experiment might dominate subtle environmental effects.

The findings from this study clarify the inconsistency in the reliability of HR and HRV features in measuring physiological responses by taking into account the information gained by several features. The AI-based information mining model further provided a subset of five HR and HRV features that can collectively gain more information than any single feature. This feature subset (Table 4.6) attained an accuracy of 92.31%, sensitivity of 98.7%, and specificity of 98.7%. The performance of this feature subset can be attributed to the fact that each feature

| Top ten | PPG feature | Rank | Single feature | Cumulative |
|---------|----------------------|----------|----------------|-------------|
| - | | | performance | performance |
| 1 | Min HR | 0.36225 | Acc. 75.96 | Acc. 71.94 |
| | | | Sen. 76.00 | Sen. 71.90 |
| | | | Spec. 95.70 | Spec. 95.40 |
| 2 | Max HR | 0.35472 | Acc. 71.77 | Acc. 86.22 |
| | | | Sen. 71.80 | Sen. 86.20 |
| | | | Spec. 95.40 | Spec. 97.80 |
| 3 | HR | 0.15806 | Acc. 23.59 | Acc. 90.09 |
| | | | Sen. 23.60 | Sen. 90.10 |
| | | | Spec. 87.40 | Spec. 98.40 |
| 4 | HF (Hz) | 0.09242 | Acc. 29.43 | Acc. 92.28 |
| | | | Sen. 29.40 | Sen. 92.30 |
| | | | Spec. 84.30 | Spec. 98.70 |
| 5 | SD1 | 0.08184 | Acc. 52.14 | Acc. 93.34 |
| | | | Sen. 52.10 | Sen. 93.30 |
| | | | Spec. 92.00 | Spec. 98.90 |
| 6 | RMSSD | 0.07823 | Acc. 50.94 | Acc. 93.53 |
| | | | Sen. 50.90 | Sen. 93.50 |
| | | | Spec. 91.70 | Spec. 98.90 |
| 7 | pNN50 | 0.07481 | Acc. 32.01 | Acc. 94.05 |
| | | | Sen. 32.00 | Sen. 94.10 |
| | | | Spec. 86.60 | Spec. 99.00 |
| 8 | STD HR | 0.07281 | Acc. 49.36 | Acc. 94.54 |
| | | | Sen. 49.40 | Sen. 94.50 |
| | | | Spec. 91.50 | Spec. 99.10 |
| 9 | NN50 | 0.0721 | Acc. 27.91 | Acc. 94.75 |
| | | | Sen. 27.90 | Sen. 94.70 |
| | | | Spec. 83.60 | Spec. 99.20 |
| 10 | LF (Hz) | 0.06962 | Acc. 27.20 | Acc. 94.97 |
| | | | Sen. 27.20 | Sen. 95.00 |
| | | | Spec. 84.80 | Spec. 99.20 |
| | | | Acc. 92.31 | |
| Optimun | n subset of PPG feat | eatures) | Sen. 92.30 | |
| | | | | Spec. 98.70 |
| HR | Max HR | Min HR | VLF (ms^2) | SD2/SD1 |

 Table 4.6: Most informative HRV features

Note. Min HR = minimum heart rate; Max HR = maximum heart rate; HR = instantaneous heart rate; HF = absolute power of high frequency band; LF = absolute power of low frequency band; VLF = absolute power of very low frequency band; SD1 = standard deviation perpendicular to the line-of-identity in Poincaré plot; SD2 = standard deviation along the line-of-identity in Poincaré plot; STD HR = standard deviation of instantaneous heart rate values; RMSSD = square root of the mean squared differences between successive RR intervals; NN50 = Number of successive RR interval pairs that differ more than 50 ms; Acc. = accuracy; Sen. = sensitivity; Spec. = specificity.

gained specific information about older adults' experience in the environment. For example, minimum HR and maximum HR captures environmental conditions that stimulate a state of serenity and stress, respectively (Kreibig, 2010). HR, VLF, and SD2/SD1 reflect the parasympathetic-sympathetic balance (Triguero-Mas et al., 2017). The implication drawn from the results is that future studies should consider a subset of features instead of a specific feature in interpreting physiological cardiovascular bodily responses in the outdoor environment.

Considering the EDA features (Table 4.7), PhasicMax gained the most information about older adults' experience in the outdoor environment with an accuracy of 99.07%, sensitivity of 99.1%, and specificity of 99.9%. Although several features gained sufficient information (except nSCR and Latency), only PhasicMax was subsequently selected as the optimum feature. This signifies that the AI-based information mining model was able to avoid overfitting by discarding redundant EDA features in order to reduce computational cost and time while achieving high performance. Furthermore, the result from the study is in support of the growing consensus that the phasic component of the EDA signal represents an individual's response to discrete environmental stimuli (Birenboim et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2018). In comparison to the cognitive and behavioural responses, the physiological signal performed better in gaining information about older adults' interaction. The result proves that physiological signals can be monitored from wristband type sensors in an ambulatory, realworld setting and can be extended to capture older adult's response to subtle environmental stimuli.

| Top ten | EDA feature | Rank | Single feature | Cumulative |
|--|-----------------------|---------|----------------|--------------|
| | | | performance | performance |
| 1 | PhasicMax | 0.425 | Acc. 99.07 | Acc. 99.07 |
| | | | Sen. 99.10 | Sen. 99.10 |
| | | | Spec. 99.90 | Spec. 99.90 |
| 2 | AmpSum | 0.33725 | Acc. 82.00 | Acc. 99.58 |
| | | | Sen. 82.00 | Sen. 99.60 |
| | | | Spec. 97.40 | Spec. 99.90 |
| 3 | Tonic | 0.3062 | Acc. 99.78 | Acc. 99.91 |
| | | | Sen. 99.80 | Sen. 99.90 |
| | | | Spec. 100.00 | Spec. 100.00 |
| 4 | Global mean | 0.28601 | Acc. 99.77 | Acc. 99.91 |
| | | | Sen. 99.80 | Sen. 99.90 |
| | | | Spec. 100.00 | Spec. 100.00 |
| 5 | Global max deflection | 0.25437 | Acc. 92.56 | Acc. 99.91 |
| | | | Sen. 92.60 | Sen. 99.90 |
| | | | Spec. 98.90 | Spec. 100.00 |
| 6 | ISCR | 0.11883 | Acc. 95.98 | Acc. 99.91 |
| | | | Sen. 96.00 | Sen. 99.90 |
| | | | Spec. 98.90 | Spec. 100.00 |
| 7 | SCR | 0.11883 | Acc. 95.98 | Acc. 99.91 |
| | | | Sen. 96.00 | Sen. 99.90 |
| | | | Spec. 98.90 | Spec.100.00 |
| 8 | nSCR | 0.02122 | Acc. 24.34 | Acc. 99.91 |
| | | | Sen. 24.30 | Sen. 99.90 |
| | | | Spec. 81.00 | Spec. 100.00 |
| 9 | Latency | 0.00135 | Acc. 22.56 | Acc. 99.91 |
| | | | Sen. 22.60 | Sen. 99.90 |
| | | | Spec. 77.40 | Spec. 100.00 |
| | | | | Acc. 99.15 |
| Optimum EDA feature (1 selected feature) | | | | Sen. 99.10 |
| | | | Spec. 99.90 | |
| | PhasicMax | | | |

Table 4.7: Most informative EDA features

Note. PhasicMax = maximum value of phasic activity; SCR = average phasic driver; nSCR = number of significant (= above-threshold) SCRs; AmpSum = Sum of SCR-amplitudes of significant SCRs; ISCR = Area (i.e., time integral) of phasic driver; Acc. = accuracy; Sen. = sensitivity; Spec. = specificity.

4.3.2 Cognitive Response

The cognitive signals relate to the activities of the brain or mental state. All the EEG features gained insufficient information about older adults' experience in the environment. The highest-ranked EEG feature is variance in the F3 channel, achieving an accuracy of 22.46%, sensitivity

| Top ten | EEG feature | Rank | Single feature | Cumulative |
|----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| - | | | performance | performance |
| 1 | Variance (F3) | 0.10626 | Acc. 22.46 | Acc. 21.64 |
| | | | Sen. 22.50 | Sen. 21.60 |
| | | | Spec. 86.60 | Spec. 86.60 |
| 2 | RMS (F3) | 0.10469 | Acc. 21.57 | Acc. 21.64 |
| | | | Sen. 21.60 | Sen. 21.60 |
| | | | Spec. 86.50 | Spec. 86.50 |
| 3 | RSSQ (F3) | 0.10469 | Acc. 21.57 | Acc. 22.28 |
| | | | Sen. 21.60 | Sen. 22.30 |
| | | | Spec. 86.50 | Spec. 86.50 |
| 4 | RMS (AF3) | 0.09472 | Acc. 18.53 | Acc. 32.94 |
| | | | Sen. 18.50 | Sen. 32.90 |
| | | | Spec. 86.10 | Spec. 88.10 |
| 5 | RSSQ (AF3) | 0.09472 | Acc. 18.53 | Acc. 34.94 |
| | | | Sen. 18.50 | Sen. 34.90 |
| | | | Spec. 86.10 | Spec. 88.20 |
| 6 | Variance (AF3) | 0.09208 | Acc. 20.74 | Acc. 36.66 |
| | | | Sen. 20.70 | Sen. 36.70 |
| | | | Spec. 86.50 | Spec. 88.50 |
| 7 | STD (AF3) | 0.09208 | Acc. 20.78 | Acc. 36.23 |
| | | | Sen. 20.80 | Sen. 36.20 |
| | | | Spec. 86.50 | Spec. 88.40 |
| 8 | Range (AF3) | 0.09066 | Acc. 37.94 | Acc. 38.63 |
| | - | | Sen. 37.90 | Sen. 38.60 |
| | | | Spec. 89.40 | Spec. 88.70 |
| 9 | STD (FC6) | 0.08716 | Acc. 19.92 | Acc. 47.50 |
| | | | Sen. 19.90 | Sen. 47.50 |
| | | | Spec. 86.30 | Spec. 90.30 |
| 10 | Variance (FC6) | 0.08716 | Acc. 20.03 | Acc. 49.14 |
| | | | Sen. 0.20 | Sen. 49.10 |
| | | | Spec. 86.40 | Spec. 90.70 |
| | | | | Acc. 80.69 |
| O | otimum EEG features (96 selected f | | eatures) | Sen. 80.70 |
| | L | ` | , | Spec. 96.20 |
| Mean (AF3) | Minimum (F7) | STD (T7) | RSSO (FC5) | Entropy beta (T8) |
| Mean (F7) | Minimum (T7) | STD (O1) | RSSO (T7) | Energy alpha (T8) |
| Mean (F3) | Minimum (O1) | STD (O2) | RSSO (P7) | Energy alpha (FC6) |
| Mean (P7) | Minimum (O2) | STD (T8) | RSSO (T8) | Energy beta (FC6) |
| Mean (O2) | Minimum (P8) | STD (FC6) | Kurtosis (P7) | Entropy theta (F4) |
| Mean (FC6) | Minimum (T8) | RMS (AF3) | Energy delta (AF3) | Energy theta (F4) |
| Mean (AF4) | Minimum (F8) | RMS (F7) | Energy gamma | Energy gamma (F4) |
| × ·/ | () | | (AF3) | U U U U U U U U U U |
| Variance (T7) | Minimum (AF4) | RMS (F3) | Entropy_gamma (F7) | Energy_beta (F8) |
| Variance (O1) | Range (AF3) | RMS (FC5) | Entropy_theta (F3) | Energy_alpha (AF4) |
| Variance (FC6) | Range (F7) | RMS (P7) | Energy_delta (F3) | Energy_beta (AF4) |
| Variance (AF4) | Range (FC5) | RMS (01) | Energy_alpha (FC5) | Power_gamma (F7) |
| Maximum (AF3) | Range (P7) | RMS (O2) | Entropy_theta (P7) | Power_delta (FC5) |

 Table 4.8: Most informative EEG features

| Maximum (F7) | Range (O2) | RMS (P8) | Energy_delta (P7) | Power_gamma (T7) |
|---------------|------------|------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Maximum (FC5) | Range (T8) | RMS (FC6) | Energy_theta (P7) | Power_beta (P7) |
| Maximum (P7) | Range (F4) | RMS (F4) | Energy_gamma (P7) | Power_gamma (O1) |
| Maximum (O1) | Range (F8) | RMS (F8) | Entropy_gamma (O1) | Power_beta (O2) |
| Maximum (T8) | STD (AF3) | RMS (AF4) | Energy_delta (O1) | Power_gamma (O2) |
| Maximum (F4) | STD (F7) | RSSQ (AF3) | Energy_alpha (O1) | Power_beta (FC6) |
| Minimum (AF3) | STD (FC5) | RSSQ (F3) | Entropy_theta (T8) | Power_theta (FC6) |
| | | | | Power theta $(AF4)$ |

Note. RMS = root-mean-square level; RSSQ = root-sum-of-squares level; STD = standard deviation; Acc. = accuracy; Sen. = sensitivity; Spec. = specificity.



Figure 4.2: Distribution of the EEG channels across the scalp.

of 22.5%, and specificity of 86.6% (Table 4.8). All the top 10 ranked EEG features only capture the activity of the cortical neurons in the frontal lobe (Figure 4.2). The low performance of the EEG features proves that the urban environment is becoming more complex and cognitively demanding to older adults. Therefore, the brain will require more cognitive resources to gain sufficient information. As a result, the proposed AI-based information mining model identified a subset of 96 features (Table 4.8) that gained more information than any single EEG feature.

The feature subset achieved an accuracy of 80.69%, sensitivity of 80.7%, and specificity of 96.2%. The optimum subset of EEG features is dominated by features extracted from the frontal lobe, followed by the occipital lobe, temporal lobe, and parietal lobe.

Considering the frequency bands, the optimum EEG feature subset is dominated by the gamma band, followed by theta band, beta band, alpha, and delta band. The higher frequency band (gamma and beta) correlates with heavy mental loads such as concentration, anxiousness, and stress; the alpha band correlates with a relaxed state of mind; the lower frequency bands (delta and theta) correlate with less intense brain function (Bailey et al., 2018). The results confirmed that walking in different environmental conditions activates millions of cortical neurons and produces an electrical field that can be measured from the human scalp using wearable EEG. The information gained by the cortical neurons is encoded in EEG signal amplitudes, specific frequency bands, and different brain regions; this explains why today's urban environment is more cognitively demanding. Furthermore, different environmental conditions are associated with distinctive brain activity patterns, which means that humans interact differently with varying environmental conditions.

Although the EEG signal is somewhat informative, monitoring people's brain activity using current EEG sensors in an ambulatory, real-world setting is still a major challenge. It can lead to the loss of informative data. Current wearable and mobile EEG sensors are not stable in the wild. For instance, the walking activity during the environmental walk affected the stability and functioning of the EEG sensor for five participants. Therefore, developers should focus on improving the stability of wearable and mobile EEG sensors.

4.3.3 Behavioural Response

Behavioural signals are somewhat voluntary actions that can be externally observed (Alberdi et al., 2016). The foot plantar pressure distribution and acceleration of both left and right feet were observed while older adults interact with the environment. The foot plantar pressure and acceleration features that gain the most information are presented in Table 4.9 and Table 4.10, respectively.

For the foot plantar pressure features (Table 4.9), pressure-time integral (PTI) gained the most information about older adults' experience in the environment with an accuracy of 41.1%, sensitivity of 41.1%, and specificity of 88.7%. All the top 10 ranked features are the PTI in different sensor locations and mostly from the right foot. Although the PTI features were not very informative, the AI-based information mining model identified a feature subset (comprised of 91 plantar pressure features) that was able to gain more information about older adults' experience in the environment. The feature subset achieved an accuracy of 82.05%, sensitivity of 82.1%, and specificity of 96.6%.

For the acceleration features (Table 4.10), signal magnitude area (SMA) (17.16%, sensitivity of 17.2%, and specificity of 85.6%) and signal vector magnitude (SVM) (17.16%, sensitivity of 17.2%, and specificity of 85.6%) were the most informative features. The AI-based information mining model identified a subset of 15 acceleration features that gained more information than any single acceleration feature with an accuracy of 35.84%, sensitivity of 35.8%, and specificity of 86.5%. Generally, the acceleration signal and the foot plantar pressure features performed poorly in gaining information about older adults' experience in the environment.

| Top ten | Pressure sensor | Rank | Single feature | Cumulative |
|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| - | feature | | performance | performance |
| 1 | PTI_Sensor4 (R) | 0.2603 | Acc. 41.10 | Acc. 41.10 |
| | | | Sen. 41.10 | Sen. 41.10 |
| | | | Spec. 88.70 | Spec. 88.70 |
| 2 | PTI_Sensor11 (R) | 0.2375 | Acc. 43.61 | Acc. 53.31 |
| | | | Sen. 43.60 | Sen. 53.30 |
| | | | Spec. 87.00 | Spec. 91.00 |
| 3 | PTI_Sensor10 (L) | 0.2233 | Acc. 40.58 | Acc. 58.14 |
| | | | Sen. 40.60 | Sen. 58.10 |
| | | | Spec. 86.50 | Spec. 91.80 |
| 4 | PTI_Sensor11 (L) | 0.1996 | Acc. 37.83 | Acc. 59.71 |
| | | | Sen. 37.80 | Sen. 59.70 |
| | | | Spec. 86.30 | Spec. 92.40 |
| 5 | PTI_Sensor9 (R) | 0.1950 | Acc. 36.60 | Acc. 62.17 |
| | _ 、 , | | Sen. 36.60 | Sen. 62.20 |
| | | | Spec. 85.80 | Spec. 93.20 |
| 6 | PTI Sensor10 (R) | 0.1940 | Acc. 35.22 | Acc. 64.96 |
| - | <u>-</u> | | Sen. 35.20 | Sen. 65.00 |
| | | | Spec. 84.70 | Spec. 93.70 |
| 7 | PTL Sensor15 (R) | 0 1844 | Acc. 36 74 | Acc. 67 57 |
| , | | 0.1011 | Sen 36.70 | Sen 67.60 |
| | | | Spec 84.60 | Spec 94 20 |
| 8 | PTI Sensor (\mathbf{R}) | 0 1830 | $\Delta_{\rm CC} = 35.51$ | Acc. 68.80 |
| 0 | 1 11_Sensor5 (K) | 0.1050 | Sen 35.50 | Sen 68.80 |
| | | | Sell. 55.50 Spac. 85.80 | Sell. 00.00 Spec. 94.40 |
| 0 | DTL Sancord (L) | 0 1721 | $A_{22} = 24.00$ | Spec. 94.40 |
| 9 | r II_Selisol4 (L) | 0.1/21 | Acc. 54.09 | Acc. 09.40 |
| | | | Sen. 54.10 | Sen. 69.50 |
| 10 | | 0.1.000 | Spec. 84.90 | Spec. 94.60 |
| 10 | PTT_Sensor1 (R) | 0.1632 | Acc. 33.62 | Acc. 73.48 |
| | | | Sen. 33.60 | Sen. 73.50 |
| | | | Spec. 87.70 | Spec. 95.20 |
| | | | | Acc. 82.05 |
| Optimum pressure s | ensor features (91 selec | cted features) | | Sen. 82.10 |
| | | | | Spec. 96.60 |
| Mean_Sensor5 (L) | Variance_Sensor14 | Minimum_Sensor7 | STD_Sensor11 | PTI_Sensor7 (R) |
| | (L) | (L) | (R) | |
| Mean_Sensor6 (L) | Variance_Sensor15 | Minimum_Sensor10 | Kurtosis_Sensor1 | PTI_Sensor9 (R) |
| | (L) | (L) | (L) | |
| Mean_Sensor9 (L) | Variance_Sensor1 | Minimum_Sensor11 | Kurtosis_Sensor2 | PTI_Sensor11 (R) |
| _ 、 / | (R) | (L) | (L) | _ 、 , |
| Mean Sensor11 | Variance Sensor6 | Minimum Sensor12 | Kurtosis Sensor5 | PTI Sensor14 (R) |
| (L) | (R) | (L) | (L) | _ () |
| Mean Sensor12 | Variance Sensor7 | Minimum Sensor13 | Kurtosis Sensor2 | PTI Sensor15 (R) |
| (L) | (R) | (L) | (R) | (1) |
| Mean Sensor16 | Variance Sensor10 | Minimum Sensor16 | Kurtosis Sensor10 | PTI Sensor16 (R) |
| | | | | (II) |

(L)

(R)

 Table 4.9: Most informative plantar pressure features

(R)

(L)

| Mean_Sensor1 (R) | Variance_Sensor11 | Minimum_Sensor3 | PTI_Sensor1 (L) | Energy_Sensor7 |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| | (R) | (R) | | (L) |
| Mean_Sensor19 | Variance_Sensor13 | Minimum_Sensor11 | PTI_Sensor3 (L) | Energy_Sensor9 |
| (R) | (R) | (R) | | (L) |
| Mean_Sensor3 (R) | Variance_Sensor15 (R) | Range_Sensor3 (L) | PTI_Sensor4 (L) | Energy_Sensor1 (R) |
| Mean_Sensor6 (R) | Maximum_Sensor1 (L) | Range_Sensor5 (L) | PTI_Sensor7 (L) | Energy_Sensor2 (R) |
| Mean_Sensor8 (R) | Maximum_Sensor8 (L) | Range_Sensor8 (L) | PTI_Sensor9 (L) | Energy_Sensor9 (R) |
| Mean_Sensor9 (R) | Maximum_Sensor10 (L) | Range_Sensor11 (L) | PTI_Sensor10 (L) | Entropy_Sensor1 (L) |
| Mean_Sensor14 | Maximum_Sensor11 | Range_Sensor10 | PTI_Sensor11 (L) | Entropy_Sensor6 |
| (R) | (L) | (R) | | (L) |
| Mean_Sensor15 | Maximum_Sensor13 | STD_Sensor7 (L) | PTI_Sensor13 (L) | Entropy_Sensor9 |
| (R) | (L) | | | (L) |
| Variance_Sensor1 | Maximum_Sensor15 | STD_Sensor9 (L) | PTI_Sensor16 (L) | Entropy_Sensor10 |
| (L) | (L) | | | (L) |
| Variance_Sensor3 | Maximum_Sensor14 | STD_Sensor12 (L) | PTI_Sensor1 (R) | Entropy_Sensor11 |
| (L) | (R) | | | (L) |
| Variance_Sensor7 | Minimum_Sensor1 | STD_Sensor14 (L) | PTI_Sensor3 (R) | Entropy_Sensor16 |
| (L) | (L) | | | (R) |
| Variance_Sensor13 | Minimum_Sensor6 | STD_Sensor7 (R) | PTI_Sensor6 (R) | CoP_X axis (L) |
| (L) | (L) | | | |
| | | | | CoP_X axis (R) |

Note. PTI = pressure time integral; CoP = centre of pressure; STD = standard deviation; (R) = right foot; (L) = left foot; X-axis = anterior-posterior; Acc. = accuracy; Sen. = sensitivity; Spec. = specificity.

The poor performance can be attributed to the characteristics of the population being studied older adults aged 65 or above. Gait usually changes with ageing (Salzman, 2010); as a result, older adults tend to have a diverse abnormal gait, which affected the informativeness of the foot plantar and acceleration features. The gait abnormality among older adults affected the acceleration signal more than the foot plantar pressure signal. This is because the IMU used to extract acceleration features track movement in 3-axes. The X-axis (anterior-posterior) and Y-axis (medial-lateral), as shown in Figure 4.3, are directed towards space and are more susceptible to gait abnormality among older adults. In contrast, all the foot plantar pressure sensors are directed toward the ground surface and were able to gain distinct information from the ground surface. For example, the plantar pressure distributions between a person's foot and

| feature performance performance 1 SMA (L) 0.0722 Acc. 17.16 Acc. 15.54 Sen. 17.20 Sen. 15.50 Spec. 85.60 Spec. 85.60 2 SVM (L) 0.0674 Acc. 19.54 Acc. 19.16 Sen. 19.50 Sen. 19.50 Sen. 19.20 Spec. 86.00 Spec. 85.40 | Top ten | pp ten Acceleration signal Rank Single feature | | Cumulative | |
|--|-------------------|--|--------------------|-------------|----------------|
| 1 SMA (L) 0.0722 Acc. 17.16 Acc. 15.54 2 SVM (L) 0.0674 Spec. 85.60 Spec. 85.60 2 SVM (L) 0.0674 Acc. 19.54 Acc. 19.16 Sen. 19.50 Sen. 19.20 Spec. 86.00 Spec. 85.40 | | feature | | performance | performance |
| Sen. 17.20 Sen. 15.50 SVM (L) 0.0674 Spec. 85.60 Spec. 85.60 Sen. 19.54 Acc. 19.16 Acc. 19.50 Sen. 19.20 Spec. 86.00 Spec. 85.40 Spec. 85.40 | 1 | SMA (L) | 0.0722 | Acc. 17.16 | Acc. 15.54 |
| 2 SVM (L) 0.0674 Spec. 85.60 Spec. 85.60 2 SVM (L) 0.0674 Acc. 19.54 Acc. 19.16 Sen. 19.50 Sen. 19.20 Spec. 86.00 Spec. 85.40 | | | | Sen. 17.20 | Sen. 15.50 |
| 2 SVM (L) 0.0674 Acc. 19.54 Acc. 19.16 Sen. 19.50 Sen. 19.20 Spec. 86.00 Spec. 85.40 | | | | Spec. 85.60 | Spec. 85.60 |
| Sen. 19.50 Sen. 19.20 Spec. 86.00 Spec. 85.40 | 2 | SVM (L) | 0.0674 | Acc. 19.54 | Acc. 19.16 |
| Spec. 86.00 Spec. 85.40 | | | | Sen. 19.50 | Sen. 19.20 |
| | | | | Spec. 86.00 | Spec. 85.40 |
| 3 SVM (R) 0.0633 Acc.18.97 Acc. 20.78 | 3 | SVM (R) | 0.0633 | Acc.18.97 | Acc. 20.78 |
| Sen. 19.00 Sen. 20.80 | | | | Sen. 19.00 | Sen. 20.80 |
| Spec. 86.50 Spec. 85.60 | | | | Spec. 86.50 | Spec. 85.60 |
| 4 Mean_Z axis (R) 0.0629 Acc. 16.30 Acc. 21.35 | 4 | Mean_Z axis (R) | 0.0629 | Acc. 16.30 | Acc. 21.35 |
| Sen. 16.30 Sen. 21.40 | | | | Sen. 16.30 | Sen. 21.40 |
| Spec. 85.60 Spec. 85.80 | | | | Spec. 85.60 | Spec. 85.80 |
| 5 RSSQ_X axis (L) 0.0604 Acc. 17.73 Acc. 22.59 | 5 | RSSQ_X axis (L) | 0.0604 | Acc. 17.73 | Acc. 22.59 |
| Sen. 17.70 Sen. 22.60 | | | | Sen. 17.70 | Sen. 22.60 |
| Spec. 86.00 Spec. 85.50 | | | | Spec. 86.00 | Spec. 85.50 |
| 6 RMS_X axis (L) 0.0604 Acc. 17.73 Acc. 22.31 | 6 | RMS_X axis (L) | 0.0604 | Acc. 17.73 | Acc. 22.31 |
| Sen. 17.70 Sen. 22.30 | | | | Sen. 17.70 | Sen. 22.30 |
| Spec. 86.00 Spec. 85.60 | | | | Spec. 86.00 | Spec. 85.60 |
| 7 RMS_Z axis (R) 0.0597 Acc. 18.50 Acc. 22.88 | 7 | RMS_Z axis (R) | 0.0597 | Acc. 18.50 | Acc. 22.88 |
| Sen. 18.50 Sen. 22.90 | | | | Sen. 18.50 | Sen. 22.90 |
| Spec. 86.1 Spec. 85.60 | | | | Spec. 86.1 | Spec. 85.60 |
| 8 RSSQ_Z axis (R) 0.0597 Acc. 18.50 Acc. 25.55 | 8 | RSSQ_Z axis (R) | 0.0597 | Acc. 18.50 | Acc. 25.55 |
| Sen. 18.50 Sen. 25.50 | | | | Sen. 18.50 | Sen. 25.50 |
| Spec. 86.10 Spec. 85.80 | | | | Spec. 86.10 | Spec. 85.80 |
| 9 SMA (R) 0.0565 Acc. 16.78 Acc. 26.12 | 9 | SMA (R) | 0.0565 | Acc. 16.78 | Acc. 26.12 |
| Sen. 16.80 Sen. 26.10 | | | | Sen. 16.80 | Sen. 26.10 |
| Spec. 85.70 Spec. 85.80 | | | | Spec. 85.70 | Spec. 85.80 |
| 10 Entropy_Z axis (R) 0.0548 Acc. 15.54 Acc. 25.93 | 10 | Entropy_Z axis (R) | 0.0548 | Acc. 15.54 | Acc. 25.93 |
| Sen. 15.50 Sen. 25.90 | | | | Sen. 15.50 | Sen. 25.90 |
| Spec. 85.60 Spec. 85.70 | | | | Spec. 85.60 | Spec. 85.70 |
| Acc. 35.84 | | | | | Acc. 35.84 |
| Optimum acceleration signal features (15 selected features) Sen. 35.80 | Optimum accelerat | ion signal features (15 | selected features) |) | Sen. 35.80 |
| Spec. 86.50 | | | | | Spec. 86.50 |
| Mean_Z axis (R) Minimum_Z axis RMS_X axis RSSQ_Y axis SMA (L) | Mean_Z axis (R) | Minimum_ Z axis | RMS_X axis | RSSQ_Y axis | SMA (L) |
| (R) (L) (L) | | (R) | (L) | (L) | |
| Variance_Z axis Range_Z axis (R) RMS_Z axis SVM (L) Energy_Z axis | Variance_Z axis | Range_Z axis (R) | RMS_Z axis | SVM (L) | Energy_Z axis |
| (R) (L) | (R) | , | (R) | | (L) |
| Minimum_Z axis STD_X axis (L) RSSQ_X axis SVM (R) Entropy_Z axis | Minimum_Z axis | STD_X axis (L) | RSSQ_X axis | SVM (R) | Entropy_Z axis |
| (L) (L) (R) | (L) | | (L) | | (R) |

Table 4.10: Most informative acceleration features

Note. SMA = signal magnitude area; SVM = signal vector magnitude; RSSQ = root-sum-of-squares level; RMS = root-mean-square; (R) = right foot; (L) = left foot; X-axis = anterior-posterior; Y-axis = medial-lateral; Z-axis = vertical axis; Acc. = accuracy; Sen. = sensitivity; Spec. = specificity.



Figure 4.3: Plantar pressure sensors and IMU sensor positions.

footpaths with tarmac, paving slab, or gravel surfacing material are different. This distinctive information from the ground surfacing reflected in the Z-axis (vertical axis) of the acceleration signal which is directed towards the ground surface. As a result, the top 10 most informative acceleration features and the optimum subset of informative acceleration features were dominated by features extracted from the Z-axis. Nevertheless, researchers should be cautious when using older adults' gait to represent their interaction with the environment because older adults' gait abnormality can overwhelm the result.

4.4 Chapter Summary

This chapter aimed to achieve research objective one: to assess the informativeness of the bodily response collected in the ambulatory, real-world environment. This objective was achieved using information entropy, symmetric uncertainty, correlation analysis, RF algorithm. In summary, older adults' physiological response is more informative than the cognitive and

behavioural responses. The informativeness of the EEG sensor was affected by the walking activity, and the gait abnormality among older adults affected their behavioural response. Researchers should be cautious when using older adults' gait to represent their interaction with the environment because older adults' gait abnormality can overwhelm the result. With more advances in wearable technologies, it is hoped that future EEG sensors will be more stable in the wild. The result proves that physiological signals can be monitored from wristband type sensors in an ambulatory, real-world setting and can be extended to capture older adults' response to subtle environmental stimuli. The analysis in the subsequent chapters will be based on only the older adults' physiological response. A computational approach for representing people's interaction with the environment using the optimum feature set will be introduced. However, before this can be achieved, it is crucial to examine the statistical, spatial, and temporal associations in older adults' physiological response.

Interaction of Older Adults' Physiological Response with the Built Environment: Statistical, Spatial and Temporal Relationships⁵

5.1 Introduction

This chapter aims to achieve research objective two: to examine the relationships in older adult's bodily responses resulting from their interaction with the environment. Because older adults' behavioural and cognitive responses are not very informative, this chapter only focuses on the physiological response. Figure 5.1 is an overview of the study presented in this chapter. The methods adopted in this chapter are illustrated in Figure 5.2.



Figure 5.1: Overview of the study.

⁵ Parts of this chapter has been published in a journal.

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). The influence of urban visuospatial configuration on older adults' stress: A wearable physiological-perceived stress sensing and data mining based-approach, *Building and Environment*, 108298. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.108298</u>



Figure 5.2: Methodological flow chart.

Note. EDA = electrodermal activity; HRV = heart rate variability; ANS = autonomic nervous system; LF/HF = The ratio of absolute spectral power of the low frequency (LF) band (0.04-0.15) and high frequency (HF) band (0.15-0.4); SCR = skin conductance response; PhasicMax = The maximum value of phasic activity within 10 s response window; GPS = Global Positioning System.

5.2 Methods

5.2.1 Physiological Reflectors of Human-Environment Stressful Interactions

The path for the environmental walk was classified into two categories using the older adults' perceived stress as presented in Chapter 3. The first category represents environmental conditions that older adults perceive as non-stress, and the second category represents environmental conditions perceived as stress. Older adults' physiological responses to these environment conditions were analysed by assessing the dynamics of their autonomic nervous system (ANS). The ANS is one of the major neural pathways activated by stress (Won et al., 2016; Boucsein, 2012). Heart rate variability (HRV) and electrodermal activity (EDA) are reliable indicators of the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous system (Acharya et al., 2006; Boucsein, 2012). The parasympathetic nervous system modulates heart rate at all frequencies between 0.15 and 0.4 Hz. The sympathetic nervous system modulates heart rate (with significant gain) at frequencies between 0.04 to 0.15 Hz (Healey and Picard, 2005;

Acharya et al., 2006). To precisely model the effect of environmental stressors, the ratio of the low-frequency heart rate absolute spectral power to high-frequency heart rate absolute spectral power was computed to represent the ratio of the sympathetic to parasympathetic (sympathovagal balance) influence on the heart. The absolute spectral power of the low frequency (LF) band (0.04-0.15) and high frequency (HF) band (0.15-0.4) were calculated, and the ratio LF/HF was derived.

An increase in the eccrine sweat gland activity is observed when the sympathetic nervous system is stimulated, thus changing the conductivity of the skin (Zhang et al., 2018; Kleckner et al., 2018). EDA measures the conductivity of the skin and is one of the most frequently employed signals for detecting physiological arousal levels and stress (Kleckner et al., 2018; Boucsein, 2012; Posada-Quintero and Chon, 2020). To precisely model the effect of environmental stressors, the EDA is first decomposed into two components-phasic component and tonic component-using a continuous decomposition analysis method as shown in Figure 5.3 (Benedek and Kaernbach, 2010). The phasic component results from an underlying sympathetic reaction to a stimulus while the tonic component are responses to tonic stimulus and changes slowly over time (Posada-Quintero and Chon, 2020; Benedek and Kaernbach, 2010). Because the tonic EDA component cannot be linked to a specific stimulus, this study used only the phasic EDA component to represent older adults' physiological response. The maximum value of phasic activity within 10 s response window (PhasicMax) extracted from the phasic component (skin conductance response [SCR]) of the EDA signal was used as an indicator of older adults' physiological response. The collection of these data and pre-processing methods are presented in Chapter 3, and the informativeness of the features was assessed in Chapter 4.

101



Figure 5.3: A continuous decomposition of EDA into tonic component and phasic component.

5.2.2 Statistical Analysis

A Wilcoxon signed-rank test was conducted to understand whether the physiological responses to environmental conditions perceived as non-stress was statistically and significantly different from environmental conditions perceived as stress. A Wilcoxon signed-rank test is a nonparametric statistical hypothesis test that compares two related samples or repeated measurements on a single sample to assess whether their population mean ranks differ (Woolson, 2007).

5.2.3 Spatial Analysis

A participant experiencing a high or low physiological response at a location could result from random factors or spatial factors. However, if multiple participants tend to experience a common physiological response at a location, this could indicate that the physiological response was due to spatial factors. Spatial clustering analysis was conducted using Getis-Ord General G to confirm whether there is any spatial association in participants' physiological response. Getis-Ord General G statistic is an inferential statistic that assesses the degree of spatial association in an entire sample or relation to a single observation for a given study area (Getis and Ord, 2010). The Getis-Ord General G statistic is computed as follows (Getis and Ord, 2010)

$$G = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i,j} x_{i} x_{j}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i} x_{j}}, \forall_{j} \neq i,$$
(5.1)

where x_i and x_j are attribute values for physiological responses *i* and *j*, and $w_{i,j}$ is the spatial weight between physiological response *i* and *j*. *n* is the number of physiological response in the study area.

To determine locations on the path that stimulated a common physiological response among multiple participants, a hot spot analysis was conducted using Getis-Ord Gi* statistics. The Getis-Ord Gi* statistics returns a z-score and a p-value for each physiological response experienced on the path by each participant. The resultant z-scores and p-values show the statistically significant spatial clusters of all participants' high or low physiological responses. A location is determined as a hot spot if the physiological response at that location is high and

the physiological responses at the neighbouring locations are also high. The Getis-Ord Gi* statistics (Ord and Getis, 1995) is given as

$$G_{i}^{*} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i,j} x_{j} - \bar{X} \sum_{j=i}^{n} w_{i,j}}{S \sqrt{\frac{\left[n \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i,j}^{2} - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i,j}\right)^{2}\right]}{n-1}}},$$
(5.2)

where x_j is the attribute value for physiological response j, $w_{i,j}$ is the spatial weight between physiological response i and j, n is equal to the total number of physiological responses and

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j}{n},\tag{5.3}$$

$$S = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^2}{n} - (\bar{X})^2}.$$
(5.4)

5.2.4 Spatiotemporal Analysis

Despite researchers' considerable efforts to advance human-centric sensing, their studies were unable to account for how environmental conditions change over time. Time-dependent environment conditions such as illuminance, temperature, and humidity impact humanenvironment interaction, thus their physiological response (Huang et al., 2016; Huisman et al., 2012). For instance, a path without street lighting can only be perceived as an environmental barrier during the night. A path may be considered as an environmental barrier during the rainy season when it is flooded or during the winter when it is covered with snow, but this same path may not be a barrier during the summer. This study introduces a space-time pattern mining approach to spatiotemporally aggregate older adults' physiological responses. Discovering such spatiotemporal pattern can be useful to municipal decision-makers and urban planners to monitor, detect, prioritise, and allocate resources to improve neighbourhood walkability and designing of age-friendly cities and communities. Space-time pattern mining is based on a series of statistical computations for analysing data distributions and identifying patterns within the spatial and temporal context of the data (Zhu and Newsam, 2016). All participants' physiological responses were scaled and aggregated into a space-time cube (STC), as depicted in Figure 5.3. A STC can be pictured as a threedimensional cube consisting of space-time bins with x and y dimensions (representing the locations of the physiological responses in space) and the t dimension (representing the respective time the physiological response was collected). Each bin in the STC contains a participant's physiological responses at a specific location (x, y) and time (t). A hexagon grid (here, set as 3 m along the path) was used to construct the bins because the circularity of the hexagon makes it more representative of the curves in the path. More importantly, the significance of physiological response at every location will be analysed based on a fixed neighbourhood distance, and the hexagon grid allows more neighbouring physiological responses to be included in the analysis (Birch et al., 2007). The participants' physiological responses were temporally bin at a daily interval for a total of ten days (only ten days of data was collected). Because each bin could span across more than one GPS points and contain multiple physiological responses, the median physiological response was computed to measure the central tendency of the multiple physiological responses in each bin. The median was used because it is less influenced by skewed values; hence a bin with a few extremely high physiological responses will not dominate the aggregated value of that bin.

The emerging hot spot analysis tool in ArcGIS (Esri, 2020a) was used to identify and understand the trends in the STC. First, the spatial clusters (locations with statistically significant high and low physiological responses) are computed using the Getis-Ord Gi* statistic as already described in equation 5.2. Secondly, the trends in the STC are analysed based on Mann-Kendall trend test. The Mann-Kendall trend test is a nonparametric test used

to analyse data collected over time for consistently increasing or decreasing trends (Hamed, 2009; McLeod, 2005). The Mann-Kendall trend test is conducted on every location as an independent bin time-series test. The test returns a z-score and p-value for each bin time series. The trend analysis examines whether participants' physiological responses are increasing (positive z-score) or decreasing (negative z-score) over time and confirms whether the changes are statistically significant. Finally, the emerging hot spot analysis uses the Mann-Kendall trend test's z-score and p-value for each location and the Getis-Ord Gi*'s z-score and p-value for each bin to classify each location on the path into several categories of hot spot (Esri, 2020a).



Figure 5.4: Aggregating participants' physiological responses into space-time bins with GPS coordinates (adapted from Esri, 2020a).

5.3 Results

5.3.1 Physiological Reflectors of Human-Environment Stressful Interactions

The data from this study indicate that older adult interaction with the environment results in changes in their physiological responses. Figure 5.4 and Figure 5.5 shows noticeable changes in two participants' HRV measure (i.e., LF/HF) and SCR (i.e., PhasicMax) during the environmental walk on the entire path. Although each participant walked through the same segment along the path, it can be observed that their interaction with path is somewhat different.

For example, participant 3 in Figure 5.4 spent more time in most of the segments than participants 2. Similarly, participant 8 in Figure 5.5 spent less time in each segment compared to participant 5. This is an indication that, the differences in pace, walking behaviour and level of observation influenced how the participants' interacted with the path hence their physiological responses.



Figure 5.5: The LF/HF measure of two participants during the environmental walk on the path.

Note. The coloured stacked bar represents each participant's time to complete each path segment—starting from segment A (yellow bar) to segment H (red bar).



Figure 5.6: The PhasicMax measure of two participants during the environmental walk on the path.

Note. The coloured stacked bar represents each participant's time to complete each path segment—starting from segment A (yellow bar) to segment H (red bar).

5.3.2 Statistical Analysis

The results of the Wilcoxon signed-rank test (Table 5.1 and Table 5.2) indicate a statistically significant difference in some of the older adults' physiological responses to environmental conditions perceived as non-stress and environmental conditions perceived as stress. However, there are variations among some of the participants' physiological responses. For instance, participant 3 experienced a statistically significantly higher LF/HF response to environmental conditions perceived as stress than environmental conditions perceived as non-stress, whereas participant 6 experienced a statistically significantly lower LF/HF response to environmental conditions perceived as stress than environmental conditions perceived as non-stress. Participant 2 experienced a statistically significantly higher PhasicMax response to environmental conditions perceived as non-stress than environment conditions perceived as non-stress to environmental conditions perceived as stress than environment conditions perceived as non-stress. Participant 1 experienced a statistically significantly lower PhasicMax response to environmental conditions perceived as non-stress.

The differences in individual participant's physiological responses to the environmental conditions indicate that there is no specific physiological response that represents an environment's condition; a high or low (LF/HF and PhasicMax) response can indicate either stress and/or non-stress environmental condition. Further analyses show that the differences in individual participant's physiological responses can be due to their physical characteristics and gender. Physical characteristics were measured usin2g participant's body mass index [$weight/(height^2)$]. Body mass index (BMI) is a surrogate measure of body fatness and an approximate indicator of health, physical fitness, and activity level (Ding and Jiang, 2020; Prentice and Jebb, 2001; Luppino, 2010; Han et al., 1998). Studies have indicated an inverse relationship between physical activity and body mass index (Hemmingsson and Ekelund, 2007;

| | Environmental | Descriptive sta | atistics | Wilcoxon sig | gned ranks test |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|
| Participant | condition | Mean (SD) | Median | Ζ | р |
| 1 | Non-stress | 1.924 (1.301) | 1.564 | 1 200 | 160 |
| | Stress | 2.787 (3.164) | 1.432 | -1.399 | .102 |
| 2 | Non-stress | 1.938 (0.799) | 1.979 | 1 249 | 212 |
| 2 | Stress | 1.537 (1.331) | 1.117 | -1.248 | .212 |
| 2 | Non-stress | 2.708 (2.209) | 3.870 | 2 2 4 1 | 010* |
| 5 | Stress | 1.964 (1.683) | 1.429 | -2.341 | .019* |
| 4 | Non-stress | 2.211 (2.616) | 1.132 | 2 409 | 012* |
| 4 | Stress | 2.479 (1.765) | 1.987 | -2.498 | .015* |
| F | Non-stress | 1.753 (1.951) | 1.027 | 2.016 | 044* |
| 5 | Stress | 1.990 (2.838) | 1.015 | -2.016 | .044* |
| 6 | Non-stress | 2.335 (2.505) | 1.254 | -2.957 | 002* |
| 0 | Stress | 1.984 (2.548) | 1.172 | | .003 |
| 0 | Non-stress | 6.764 (13.119) | 2.027 | 0 155 | 077 |
| 0 | Stress | 4.366 (6.606) | 2.212 | -0.155 | .077 |
| 0 | Non-stress | 2.473 (1.600) | 2.079 | 0.508 | .611 |
| 9 | Stress | 3.671 (3.920) | 2.413 | -0.308 | |
| 10 | Non-stress | 4.768 (6.313) | 1.929 | 2 226 | 020* |
| 10 | Stress | 2.462 (2.562) | 1.487 | -2.320 | .020* |
| Collective | Non-stress | 2.997 (5.475) | 1.621 | 3 867 | 000** |
| response | Stress | 2.541 (3.370) | 1.428 | -3.802 | .000** |
| BMI above 24.9 | Non strass | 2.719 (3.475) | 1.538 | 2 040 | 003* |
| BMI below 24.9 | 11011-511055 | 3.386 (7.400) | 1.683 | -2.940 | .003 |
| BMI above 24.9 | Stragg | 2.436 (2.730) | 1.497 | 0.201 | 941 |
| BMI below 24.9 | Suess | 2.669 (4.012) | 1.340 | -0.201 | .841 |
| Female | N | 3.041 (6.194) | 1.673 | 2 219 | .001* |
| Male | INOII-SUESS | 2.925 (4.006) | 1.389 | -3.218 | |
| Female | Stross | 2.784 (3.760) | 1.544 | 1 3 9 1 | 167 |
| Male | 50028 | 2.108 (2.476) | 1.251 | -1.301 | .107 |

Table 5.1: A comparison of LF/HF measure in environmental conditions perceived as nonstress and environmental conditions perceived as stress

Note. *p < .05. **p < .001. SD = standard deviation.

Bassett et al., 2004). According to the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention, an adult with BMI below 18.5 is underweight, BMI between 18.5 and 24.9 is a healthy weight, BMI between 25.0 and 29.9 is overweight, and a BMI of 30.0 and above is obese (CDC, 2021). In a non-stress environmental condition, only the data source from the heart rate (LE/HF) was statistically significant. Participants with a normal or healthy weight (BMI below 24.9) experienced higher LE/HF than overweight participants (BMI above 24.9). In a stress environmental condition, only the data source from the SCR (PhasicMax) was statistically significant. Overweight participants (BMI above 24.9) experienced higher PhasicMax than

| | Environmental | Descriptive sta | otive statistics Wilcoxon sig | | gned ranks test |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------|-----------------|
| Participant | condition | Mean (SD) | Median | Ζ | р |
| 1 | Non-stress | 0.990 (0.692) | 1.082 | 12.025 | 000** |
| 1 | Stress | 0.360 (0.420) | 0.136 | -12.055 | .000*** |
| 2 | Non-stress | 0.277 (0.150) | 0.256 | 2 604 | 007* |
| Δ | Stress | 0.315 (0.204) | 0.284 | -2.094 | .007 |
| 2 | Non-stress | 0.547 (0.403) | 0.490 | 0.712 | 000** |
| 3 | Stress | 0.365 (0.258) | 0.324 | -9.712 | .000*** |
| Δ | Non-stress | 0.130 (0.789) | 0.126 | 1 276 | 160 |
| 4 | Stress | 0.117 (0.085) | 0.100 | -1.570 | .109 |
| 5 | Non-stress | 0.108 (0.492) | 0.997 | 2 242 | 025* |
| 5 | Stress | 0.091 (0.044) | 0.083 | -2.242 | .025* |
| 6 | Non-stress | 0.281 (0.298) | 0.166 | 1 000 | .060 |
| 0 | Stress | 0.237 (0.187) | 0.177 | -1.002 | |
| 8 | Non-stress | 0.053 (0.036) | 0.039 | 0.751 | .453 |
| 0 | Stress | 0.059 (0.052) | 0.040 | -0.751 | |
| 0 | Non-stress | 13.316 (7.435) | 15.532 | 11.035 | .000** |
| 9 | Stress | 8.476 (5.741) | 6.724 | -11.035 | |
| 10 | Non-stress | 0.335 (0.169) | 0.300 | 2 500 | 000** |
| 10 | Stress | 0.388 (0.175) | 0.355 | -3.388 | .000** |
| Collective | Non-stress | 1.730 (4.714) | 0.201 | 5 665 | 000** |
| response | Stress | 0.996 (2.966) | 0.157 | -3.003 | .000** |
| BMI above 24.9 | Non strass | 2.723 (6.031) | 0.226 | 1 609 | 109 |
| BMI below 24.9 | NOII-SUESS | 0.406 (0.538) | 0.158 | -1.008 | .108 |
| BMI above 24.9 | Strong | 1.635 (0.184) | 0 121 | 4 058 | 000** |
| BMI below 24.9 | 50055 | 0.244 (0.288) | 0.131 | -4.938 | .000*** |
| Female | Non stross | 2.549 (5.759) | 0.208 | 7 217 | 000** |
| Male | inon-stress | 0.312 (0.307) | 0.180 | -7.217 | .000** |
| Female | Stress | 1.399 (3.617) | 0.160 | 0.479 | .632 |
| Male | 50055 | 0.252 (0.221) | 0.153 | -0.477 | |

Table 5.2: A comparison of PhasicMax measure in environmental conditions perceived as nonstress and environmental conditions perceived as stress

Note. * p < .05. **p < .001. SD = standard deviation.

participants with a normal or healthy weight (BMI below 24.9). This result could be an indication that a stress environmental condition poses high demand to overweight older adults. The female participants experienced a statistically significantly higher physiological response (both LF/HF and PhasicMax) to non-stress environmental conditions than the male participants.

The source of the physiological response (i.e., the related organ) influenced some of the participants' physiological responses. For example, when the data source is from the heart rate

(LF/HF) participant 5 experienced a statistically significantly higher physiological response to environmental conditions perceived as stress than environmental conditions perceived as non-stress. Whereas, when the data source is from the SCR (PhasicMax), the same participant (participant 5) experienced a statistically significantly lower physiological response to environmental conditions perceived as stress than environment conditions perceived as nonstress.

Aggregating all participants' physiological responses (collective response) produced a consistent result across the HR and SCR data sources. The result from the collective physiological responses shows that, on average, participants experienced a statistically significant higher physiological response at environmental conditions perceived as non-stress than environmental conditions perceived as stress. A recent study on relatively younger adults reported similar physiological responses from EDA data (skin conductive) (Chrisinger and King, 2018). Chrisinger and King (2018) reported that EDA was higher in environmental conditions with favourable features and lower in environmental conditions with less favourable features.

5.3.3 Spatial Analysis

All participants physiological responses were georeferenced to the corresponding GPS positions (Latitude and Longitude) for the entire path. The null hypothesis of the Getis-Ord General G statistic stipulates that there is no spatial clustering of participants' physiological response. An incremental spatial autocorrelation was conducted to determine the optimum scale of the analysis (Mitchel, 2005). The threshold distance of 11 m was obtained for the *LF/HF* measure. However, no optimal distance could be found for participants' PhasicMax measure; therefore, the scale of analysis was determined based on the average distance to the

K nearest neighbours. K was approximated based on the average nearest neighbours determine for the (LF/HF) measure. Using 220 (K) nearest neighbours, a threshold distance of 12.37 m was obtained for the PhasicMax measure. The resulting z-scores of the Getis-Ord General G statistic for the LF/HF and PhasicMax measures were 2.595 and 7.890, respectively. There was a less than 1% likelihood that the spatial clustering of participants' physiological responses (both LF/HF and PhasicMax measures) could be the result of random chance. The spatial clustering analysis confirms that multiple participants' physiological responses are spatially associated and possess some common characteristics. The result implies that aggregating participants' physiological responses could act as a reliable indicator of an environmental condition.

A hot spot analysis was conducted on the LF/HF and PhasicMax measures using a threshold distance of 11 m and 12.37 m to determine the environmental conditions that triggered a common physiological response among multiple participants. The hot spot analysis result for LF/HF and PhasicMax measures are presented in Figure 5.6 and Figure 5.7, respectively. The hot spots are locations on the path with statistically significant high physiological response value clusters. The cold spots are locations on the path with statistically significant low physiological response value clusters. Note that the result from the Wilcoxon signed-rank test indicated that participant experienced high physiological responses (corresponding to hot spots) in environmental conditions perceived as non-stress and low physiological responses (corresponding to cold spots) in environmental conditions perceived as stress. A total of 1105 and 2178 samples from the LF/HF measure were determined as a hot spot and cold spot, respectively, at a 95% confidence level. A total of 1529 and 2184 samples from the PhasicMax measure were determined as a hot spot and cold spot, respectively, at a 95% confidence level. In other words, these hot and cold spots were the results of older adults' physiological responses to the environmental conditions (spatial factors) at a 95% confidence level.



Figure 5.7: Spatial clusters of collective physiological responses based on LF/HF measure. *Note.* The hot spots are locations on the path where multiple participants experienced statistically significant high values of LF/HF. The cold spots are locations on the path where multiple participants experienced statistically significant low values of LF/HF. Note that high values of LF/HF correspond to environmental conditions perceived as non-stress and low values of LF/HF correspond to environmental conditions perceived as stress. Basemap data copyrighted Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community.



Figure 5.8: Spatial clusters of collective physiological responses based on PhasicMax measure.

Note. The hot spots are locations on the path where multiple participants experienced statistically significant high values of PhasicMax. The cold spots are locations on the path where multiple participants experienced statistically significant low values of PhasicMax. Note that high values of PhasicMax correspond to environmental conditions perceived as non-stress and low values of PhasicMax correspond to environmental conditions perceived as stress. Basemap data copyrighted Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community.

5.3.4 Spatiotemporal Analysis

Because the field data collection was conducted for only ten days and some of the participant's physiological responses were corrupted or abnormal, this study only demonstrated the effectiveness of the space-time pattern mining using the LF/HF measure. The result of the space-time pattern mining based on LF/HF measure with a threshold distance of 11 m and a time interval of one day is presented in Figure 5.8. Several clusters of high physiological responses (hot spot) and low physiological responses (cold spot) were detected on the path. These hot and cold spot locations on the path are furthered categorised based on their



Figure 5.9: Spatiotemporal clusters of collective physiological responses based on LF/HF measure.

Note. The hot and cold spot locations on the path are furthered categorised based on their occurrence over time. Note that high values of LF/HF correspond to environmental conditions perceived as non-stress and low values of LF/HF correspond to environmental conditions perceived as stress. Basemap data copyrighted Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community.

occurrence over time. A consecutive hot (or cold) spot is a location with a single uninterrupted run of statistically significant hot (or cold) spot bins in the final time-step intervals. A sporadic hot (or cold) spot is a location that is an on-again then off-again hot (or cold) spot. An oscillating hot (or cold) spot is a statistically significant hot (or cold) spot for the final timestep interval that has a history of also being a statistically significant cold (or hot) spot during a prior time step. A consecutive hot (or cold) spot is a location with a single uninterrupted run of statistically significant hot (or cold) spot bins in the final time-step intervals. There were seven consecutive hot spots, four sporadic hot spots, 59 oscillating hot spots, two consecutive cold spots, 51 sporadic cold spots, 75 oscillating cold spot, and 217 spots with no pattern detected on the path.

5.4 Discussion

5.4.1 A Comparison of Older Adults' Physiological-Environmental Interactions, Older Adults' Perceived Stress Assessments, and Observers' Path Audit

The older adults' physiological-environmental interactions were compared with the older adults' perceived stress assessments and the observers' audits of the path condition to confirm how well the elderly-centric sensing can represent the older adults' interaction with the built environment. A comparison of perceived stress, observers' path audit, and detected hot and cold spots on the path is presented in Figure 5.9.

Segment A (an alley with several path obstructions) was perceived as stress by the participants. Segment A's environmental condition was rated as poor by the observers, and segment A was detected as a statistically significant cold spot, corresponding to physiological stress. The results across the three different assessment approaches confirm one another. The PhasicMax measure provided a more accurate representation of this segment with a higher confidence level than the LF/HF measure. The participants perceived segment B (a wide street) as non-stress. The observers rated it as moderate, and half of segment B was detected as a statistically significant cold spot, corresponding to physiological stress. Participants had to cross a street road in segment B; this street road has vehicles parked along its shoulders. The anticipation of an approaching vehicle while crossing the road and having their field of view limited by the parked vehicles could have resulted in physiological stress. Because this occurrence is time-dependent, it could easily be missed during the path audit or while the participant reported their perceived stress. A review of the spatiotemporal analysis indicates a sporadic cold spot for

parts of segment B immediately after the crossing, implying that the older adults experienced physiological stress on some days and were not stress on other days.

Segment C was perceived as stress, the environmental condition at segment C was rated as moderate, and parts of segment C was detected as a statistically significant cold spot (physiological stress) and hot spot (non-physiological stress) based on the LF/HF measure and cold spot (physiological stress) based on PhasicMax measure. Segment D was perceived as stress by the participants, rated as good by the observers and was only detected as a significant cold spot (physiological stress) based on the LF/HF measure. Segment D is a crosswalk with traffic signals. Although the crosswalk was rated as good, it was perceived as stress and experienced as physiological stress. A plausible explanation for such responses could be the waiting time at the traffic light, which was about 68 seconds. The spatiotemporal analysis further indicates a sporadic cold spot on the crosswalk, suggesting that the participants were stressed on the days with longer waiting time for the traffic signal to turn green and non-stressed on the days the waiting time is shorter. This is another time-dependent occurrence that was not captured in the observers' path audit.

The participants perceived segment E (an on-going construction site) and segment F (an alley with path obstructions) as stress, and the observers' rated it as poor. Segment E and F were detected as a statistically significant (95% confidence level) physiological stress spot by the PhasicMax measure. It was observed that all the segments that were perceived as stress and rated as poor only resulted in physiological stress when the data source is from the SCR (PhasicMax) with a 95% confidence level. Physiological data sourced from the heart rate (LF/HF) mostly misclassify such segments or detected them with a 90% confidence level.

This indicates that segments rated as poor conditions have more pronounced effects on older adults' SCR than heart rate measures.

Physiological data sourced from the heart rate (LF/HF) is more indicative of the path conditions perceived as high stress or low stress than the data source is from the SCR (PhasicMax). For instance, segment G (a green space) was perceived as non-stress by the participants, the environmental condition of segment A was rated as good by the observers. Segment H (subway with graffiti) was perceived as high-stress and rated as moderate. Both heart rate and SCR data sources detected segment G as non-stress, consistent with the perceived and path audit assessments. However, only the heart rate (*LF/HF*) measure was able to detect segment H as stress at a 90% confidence level.

Overall, the older adults' perceived assessment of the path, the observers' path audit and the assessment based on physiological responses confirm one another more than they contradict. These contractions are expected because all of these assessment methods have inherent limitations. For instance, the older adults' perceived assessment is subjective; they could rate the mere presence of a gas station as stressful with a high-intensity rating, although the gas station may not distress the older adult. Although the observers' path audit is objective, they cannot adequately distinguish between an environmental condition that is stressful for a person and not stress for another person. Therefore, it is expected that the perceived, objective, and physiological response based assessments should have some contradictions. Despite the contradictions, these methods can complement one another and improve the assessment of the built environment for older adults.



Figure 5.10: Comparison of perceived stress, observers' path audit, and detected hot and cold spots on the path.

Note. (a) Perceived stress and non-stress locations reported by participants. (b) Path audit by observers. The environmental condition was rated as poor, moderate or good. F = Rating for functionality; S = Rating for safety; A = Rating for aesthetics; O = Overall rating of path segment. (c) Spatial clusters of physiological responses based on LF/HF measure. (d) Spatial clusters of physiological responses based on LF/HF measure. (e) Spatiotemporal clusters of physiological responses based on LF/HF measure. Basemap data copyrighted Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community. Photographs by author.

5.4.2 Collective Sensing can Address Individual Variability

This study shows that the relationships between older adults' physiological response and the environmental condition are less apparent at the individual level. An individual's pace, walking behaviour, level of observation, physical characteristics and gender influenced their physiological responses to stress and non-stress environmental conditions. The physiological response data source (i.e., the related organ) and time-dependent environmental factors also contributed to the variability in older adults physiological responses. The variability in older adults' physiological response is what motivated this study. Assuming there was no individual variability (which will be the case when sensors are attached to mobility aids), an environment's condition can be determined by using the intensity of older adults' physiological responses to represent older adult's environment interaction would be misleading in this study. This study shows that using collective sensing (aggregating multiple participants' physiological responses) can accommodate the individual variability and capture any normality in the data, which is indicative of an environment's condition.

5.5 Chapter Summary

This chapter aimed to achieve research objective two: to examine the relationships in older adult's bodily responses resulting from their interaction with the environment. This objective was achieved using statistical analysis (Wilcoxon signed-rank test), spatial clustering analysis (Getis-Ord General G statistic and Getis-Ord Gi* statistics), and space-time pattern mining. The results show that the relationships between older adults' physiological response and the environmental condition are less apparent at the individual level. An individual's pace, walking behaviour, level of observation, physical characteristics, gender, data source (i.e., the related organ) and time-dependent environmental factors influenced their physiological responses to
stress and non-stress environmental conditions. However, using collective sensing (aggregating multiple participants' physiological responses) can accommodate the individual variability and capture any normality in the data, which is indicative of an environment's condition. The collective physiological responses are consistent with the older adults' perceived assessment and the observers' audit of the environment's condition. Current advances in machine learning intelligence will be harness in the next chapter to develop and test a more efficient approach to detecting older adults' stressful interaction with the built environment.

AN OPTIMISED ENVIRONMENTAL STRESS DETECTION FRAMEWORK BASED ON MACHINE LEARNING INTELLIGENCE⁶

6.1 Introduction

It is clear from Chapter 5 that older adults' collective physiological responses to the environment are spatially and temporally associated and possess some common characteristics indicative of stress and non-stress environmental conditions. Now this chapter turns to the task of optimising the current stress detection approach. This will lead to achieving research objective three: to detect older adults' stressful environmental interactions in near-real time.

The Geographic Information System (GIS)-based hot and cold spot analysis presented in Chapter 5 has shown the potential to distinguish between stress and non-stress environmental conditions. Despite such potential, the GIS-based approach cannot maximise the full potential of representing peoples' interaction with the environment using their physiological responses. For instance, the GIS-based approach presented in Chapter 5 could only represent human-

⁶ This chapter is based on a study that is currently under consideration for publication.

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). Learning to detect older adults' environmental stress hotspots to improve neighbourhood mobility: A multimodal physiological sensing, machine learning and risk hotspot analysis-based approach, *Cities* (Under Review). JCIT-D-21-01443

environment interaction with one physiological feature. As established in Chapter 4, relying on only one physiological feature or modality might not be informative enough when it comes to understanding stressful human-environment interactions in ambulatory, real-world setting. Therefore, there is a need to develop a computational approach for representing humanenvironment interaction using an optimum set of informative physiological features.

In this chapter, several machine learning algorithms were trained on an optimum set of informative physiological features, environmental data, and user-perceived stress response. The algorithms were trained and tested to detect (1) stress and non-stress human-environment interactions and (2) low-stress and high-stress human-environment interactions. The detected stressful interactions were visualised using kernel density estimation. A simulation-based statistical power estimation was used to examine areas within the study area that are sufficiently powered to detect stress hot spot that pose more higher risk to the older adults. An overview of the optimised environmental stress detection framework is depicted in Figure 6.1.



Figure 6.1: Optimised environmental stress detection framework.

6.2 Optimised Stress Detection Framework

6.2.1 The Optimum Set of Informative Features

The data collection, pre-processing, and feature extraction are explained in Chapter 3 and Chapter 4. The following features were extracted in addition to the physiological features that were extracted in Chapter 4: (1) the time a participant was present at a location on the path, (2) the environment temperature, and (3) the environment humidity for consideration in the selection of the optimum set of informative features. These environmental and location features were added because previous studies have confirmed that environment weather affects mood (Taylor et al., 2017; Li et al., 2014). The optimum set of informative features were identified using the information mining model developed in Chapter 4. However, because the focus here is on a specific type of human-environment interaction (i.e., human interaction with stress and non-stress environmental conditions), older adults' perceived stress assessment of the environmental conditions was used as class labels. The optimum feature set was constrained to include at least one feature from each data source (i.e., HRV data, EDA data, location, and environmental data). This approach was adopted to improve the diversity and generalisation of the stress was harnessed to improve diversity and generalisation.

6.2.2 Multimodal Sensing and Fusion

Information about stressful human-environment interaction can be acquired among others from different types of sensors, at different conditions, in multiple participants or experiments. Each acquisition framework is termed a modality and is associated with one data set. A complete setup of the framework making use of multiple modalities for each data set to interact and inform each other is termed multimodal (Lahat et al., 2015; Alberdi et al., 2016). Multimodal fusion is a well-established technique. Its effectiveness is demonstrated by minimising the

effects of incorrect data acquisition and providing complementary data (collective knowledge) that enhance the diversity of the system. Diversity helps improve the reliability, accuracy, robustness, uniqueness and generalisation of the system (Lahat et al., 2015).

Multimodal information can be fused at three main hierarchical levels: signal level (raw) data fusion, feature level fusion and decision level fusion (King et al., 2017; Hall and Llinas, 1997). Signal level fusion is applied to data measuring the same signal property (commensurate data) directly. Feature level fusion is applied to combine data measuring separate signal properties (non-commensurate data). Decision level fusion is implemented at the highest level of abstraction from sensor data, and it is more appropriate when modalities have differences in time scale (King et al., 2017; Nweke et al., 2019). In this study, three different modalities (i.e., HRV data, EDA data, location, and environmental data) are measured to represent stressful human-environment interaction. Feature level fusion strategy is the most appropriate for this study because the HRV data, EDA data, location, and environmental data are used to form a feature vector and combined using parametric or non-parametric machine learning algorithms to discriminate and represent the data into higher abstractions (King et al., 2017; Nweke et al., 2019).

6.2.3 Machine Learning Algorithms

Different supervised learning algorithms, including Decision Tree, Gaussian Support Vector Machine (SVM), k-Nearest Neighbour (kNN), and Ensemble bagged tree were employed in this study. Other supervised learning algorithms with different similarity functions were explored in this study, but their performance was poor and was not pursued further. Additionally, a deep learning algorithm using a deep belief network was trained and tested.

Supervised Learning

Decision Tree is a non-parametric supervised learning method used for classification and regression. It is a tree-like classification process that classifies a data set into a subdivision based on the decision framework defined by the tree (Friedl and Brodley, 1997).

SVM is a machine learning technique that performs classification by constructing a hyperplane that best split the data into two classes (Guenther and Schonlau, 2016). Aside from linear classification, SVM efficiently performs non-linear classification using kernel functions to map inputs into high-dimensional feature spaces.

kNN is a non-parametric learning algorithm that classifies an unseen pattern based on its nearest neighbours in a database. It involves assigning an unclassified dataset or unknown pattern to the class represented by most of its k nearest neighbours (Denoeux, 1995).

Ensemble methods combine multiple decision trees (but not exclusively) to improve generalisation and predictive performance (Myles et al., 2004). Bagging or bootstrap aggregating is a popular ensemble technique; it is generally appropriate for unstable classifiers such as decision trees (Dietterich, 2000). In bagging, a series of decision trees are trained, each based on a different bootstrap sampling of the training sample. Each bootstrap sample is chosen randomly with replacement from the training sample. The individual prediction of the decision tree models is combined by voting; the class with the most vote is selected (Myles et al., 2004; Dietterich, 2000).

Deep Learning: Deep Belief Network

Recently, automatic discovery of representative features through deep learning methods has been successfully used to analyse physiological signals in multiple modalities for several detection and prediction tasks (Hassan et al., 2019). A deep belief network (DBN) was trained to detect stress and high-stress samples in this study. Deep belief networks are probabilistic generative neural network models with multiple layers of hidden explanatory factor with a greedy layer-wise unsupervised learning algorithm. DBN only needs small, labelled data which is important for real-world applications (Le Roux and Bengio, 2008; Längkvist et al., 2014).

6.2.4 Validation

In this study, both supervised and unsupervised machine learning classification algorithms were trained and tested to detect: (1) stress and non-stress samples from the collected data; and (2) low-stress and high-stress samples from the stress samples. Three performance indicators were computed for each classification algorithms: accuracy, precision, and recall. The definitions of the indicators are given below:

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + FP + FN + TN}$$
(6.1)

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$
(6.2)

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$
(6.3)

where TP represent true positive, FP represent false positive, FN represent false negative and TN represent true negative. The trained algorithm with the highest accuracy, precision and recall was used for stress detection.

6.2.5 Visualisation of Detected Stress Samples

The detected stress samples for each participant were associated with the corresponding GPS positions (Latitude and Longitude) for the entire path. A weighted kernel density estimation (KDE) was computed to visualise locations with clusters of stress samples. KDE is a non-parametric means of computing the probability density function of a random variable in feature space (Scott, 2015; Gisbert, 2003). KDE is appropriate for the study because the detected stress samples do not follow any formal or theoretically known probability distribution. The KDE was computed based on the Esri proposed formula (Esri, 2020b). The kernel density for a (x, y) location was predicted using

$$Density = \frac{1}{(radius)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{3}{\pi} \cdot pop_i \left(1 - \left(\frac{dist_i}{radius} \right)^2 \right)^2 \right]$$
(6.4)

For $dist_i < raadius$

where i = 1, ..., n are the inputs points within the radius distance of the (x, y) location, pop_i is the population field value of point *i*, $dist_i$ is the distance between point *i* and the (x, y)location. The calculated density is then multiplied by the sum of the population field. The search radius was determined as (Esri, 2020b)

Search Radius =
$$0.9 \times \min\left(SD_{w}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{\ln(2)}} \times D_{m}\right) \times n^{-0.2}$$
 (6.5)

where D_m is the weighted median distance from the weighted mean centre, n is the sum of the population field values, SD_w is the weighted standard distance.

6.2.6 Identifying Spatial Clusters of Risk Stress Hot spot

A neighbourhood with significant built environment infrastructure approaching their design life is more likely to have several environmental stress hot spots for older adults. Given the limited resources available to most cities and communities, it will be more beneficial to identify the stress hot spot that pose higher risk to the older adults. Such stress hot spot can be prioritised and alleviated to improve neighbourhood mobility for older adults.

Spatial relative risk (SRR) is a well-understood concept and has been applied in spatial epidemiology to determine where spatial clustering is likely occurring (Buller et al., 2021; Waller and Gotway, 2004; Lawson, 2013). The essential attribute of the SRR is its ability to estimate ratios of risks from two sample groups (e.g., case and control groups) without having access to their population denominators (Bithell, 1991). The estimator of SRR is a ratio of two kernel-estimated density functions of two distinct samples of point locations defined on a common spatial window (Bithell, 1991; Davies et al., 2018). Based on the definition of SRR, this study defined SRR stress hot spot as the ratio of kernel density estimates of stress samples and non-stress samples of point locations in a common study area (e.g., a neighbourhood). The statistical power (Buller et al., 2021) of the SRR stress hot spot was computed to assess the probability of a stress hot spot occurring within a study area. This study applied Buller et al.'s (2021) procedure to estimate the statistical power of the SRR stress hot spot as follows.

The focus here is the locations where clusters of SRR high-stress hot spot is likely occurring. The detected high-stress samples (i.e., the case) and control samples (i.e., non-stress and low-stress samples) for each participant were associated with the corresponding GPS positions (Latitude and Longitude) for the entire path. Based on the case and control samples of point locations within the study window, simulated point locations were randomly generated (assuming complete spatial randomness) to reflect the expected study design at a resolution of $(128 \times 128 \text{ grid})$. The simulation-based approach was adopted to ensure realistic study power analyses (Buller et al., 2021; Ensor et al., 2018). The bandwidth calculation was based on the maximal smoothing principle (Terrell, 1990). The SRR function (Davies et al., 2018)—

originally developed to study the spatial variation of larynx and lung cancer in the UK (Kelsall and Diggle, 1995; Bithell, 1990)—has been successfully employed to detect local clustering in many spatial analyses (Buller et al., 2021; Wheeler, 2007; Fernando and Hazelton, 2014). The SRR function was used to estimate the SRR high-stress hot spot for each grid cell within the simulated data area. The statistical significance of the spatial clustering of each grid cell was tested—the alpha level was set to 0.05. These steps were repeated for 10,000 iterations (recommended for power calculation [Buller et al., 2021]). The statistical power (power threshold of 0.8) of the SRR high-stress hot spot at each grid cell was calculated as the proportion of rejected null hypotheses from the simulated 10,000 iterations.

6.3 Results

6.3.1 The Optimum Set of Informative Features

The optimum set of informative feature contains 12 features, which are listed in Table 6.1. The optimum set of the informative feature includes eight features sourced from the heart rate, three features sourced from EDA and one feature sourced from the location and environment data.

6.3.2 Performance of the Machine Learning Algorithms

The distribution of the collected data across the class samples were unequal [(3691 samples were labelled as stress while 1827 samples were labelled as non-stress), (1938 samples were labelled as low-stress while 1753 samples were labelled as high-stress)]. To avoid an imbalance classification, the majority class was randomly under-sampled to make the classes have equal distribution. The under-sampling was repeated 20 times, resulting in 20 random train/test splits of the equally distributed data. 10-fold cross-validation was conducted to evaluate the performance of the machine learning algorithms. The average performance indicators of each machine learning algorithm over the 20 random train/test split data were computed.

| Modality | Feature | Description [unit] |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| HRV data | HR | Instantaneous heart rate values [1/min] |
| | Mean RR | The mean of RR intervals [ms] |
| | Min HR | Minimum heart rate computed using five beat moving average [1/min] |
| | Max HR | Maximum heart rate computed using five beat moving average [1/min] |
| | Peak frequency HF | High frequency (HF) (0.15 – 0.4 Hz) band peak frequency [Hz] |
| | Absolute power | Natural logarithm transformed value of absolute power |
| | LF (log) | of low frequency (LF) $(0.04 - 0.15 \text{ Hz})$ band [log] |
| | Normalised power | Power of high frequency (HF) $(0.15 - 0.4 \text{ Hz})$ band in |
| | HF (n.u.) | normalised unit [n.u.] |
| | Total power | Total spectral power [ms ²] |
| EDA data | PhasicMax | Maximum value of phasic activity within response window [muS] |
| | Tonic | Mean tonic activity within response window of decomposed tonic component |
| | Global Mean | Mean skin conductance (SC) value within response window |
| Location and environmental data | Time | Time of day [Unix time] |

Table 6.1: Optimum set of informative features for stress detection

Table 6.2: Performance of the machine learning algorithms

| | _ | | 20 trains average scor | e |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|
| Task | Algorithm | Accuracy (%) | Precision (%) | Recall (%) |
| Detecting non-stress | Decision tree | 92.16 | 93.36 | 90.77 |
| and stress samples | Gaussian SVM | 95.47 | 94.31 | 96.79 |
| _ | kNN | 95.96 | 96.00 | 95.90 |
| | Ensemble bagged | 98.13 | 98.59 | 97.65 |
| | tree | | | |
| | DBN | 83.38 | 82.58 | 84.61 |
| Detecting low and | Decision tree | 89.45 | 90.61 | 88.56 |
| high-stress samples | Gaussian SVM | 95.94 | 96.83 | 95.14 |
| | kNN | 96.54 | 97.26 | 95.87 |
| | Ensemble bagged | 98.25 | 98.30 | 98.20 |
| | tree | | | |
| | DBN | 73.76 | 75.01 | 74.08 |

The stress detection performance of the algorithms deployed in this study is summarised in Table 6.2. The result indicates that the Ensemble bagged tree algorithm outperformed the other algorithms, achieving a classification accuracy of 98.13% (for detecting stress and non-stress

samples) and 98.25% (for detecting low and high-stress samples). The confusion matrix of the best performance Ensemble bagged tree algorithm among the 20 random train/test splits data is depicted in Figure 6.2.



Figure 6.2: Confusion matrix of the best performance Ensemble bagged tree algorithm for (a) detecting non-stress and stress samples; (b) detecting low and high-stress samples.

6.3.3 Visualisation of Detected Stress Samples

Given the impressive performance of the Ensemble bagged tree algorithm, the best performance Ensemble bagged tree algorithm (the confusion matrix is depicted in Figure 6.2) was deployed to classify each of the participant's collected data into (1) non-stress and stress; and (2) low-stress and high-stress. The deployed algorithm detected 66.35% of stress samples and 26.73% of high-stress samples from all participants data. The detection result for each participant is shown in Table 6.3. The detected stress samples and high-stress samples for all participants were geographically referenced with their corresponding GPS coordinates. The resulting density distribution of the stress hot spot and high-stress hot spot locations along the path is shown in Figure 6.3 alongside the perceived stress assessment provided by older adults'

and observers' path audit. Overall, the detected stress and high-stress samples matched older adults perceived stress assessment of the path.

| | | Detected stress and non-stress | | Detected low and high-stress | |
|-------------|--------|--------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | | samples | | samples | |
| Participant | Total | Non-stress | Stress | Low-stress | High-stress |
| _ | sample | samples | samples | samples | samples |
| 1 | 700 | 210 | 490 | 270 | 220 |
| 2 | 527 | 142 | 385 | 241 | 144 |
| 3 | 599 | 208 | 391 | 187 | 204 |
| 4 | 535 | 198 | 337 | 170 | 167 |
| 5 | 827 | 264 | 563 | 563 | 0 |
| 6 | 596 | 220 | 376 | 199 | 177 |
| 8 | 657 | 211 | 446 | 220 | 226 |
| 9 | 537 | 206 | 331 | 164 | 167 |
| 10 | 540 | 198 | 342 | 172 | 170 |

Table 6.3: Classification of participant's interaction with the environment into (1) non-stress and stress; and (2) low-stress and high-stress samples based on Ensemble bagged tree algorithm

6.3.4 Spatial Relative Risk Stress Hot spot

The detected high-stress samples (i.e., the case) and control samples (i.e., non-stress and lowstress samples) for all participants were geographically referenced with their corresponding GPS coordinates. The first iteration of the simulated randomly generated point-level physiological data is shown in Figure 6.4. The proportion of simulation significant SRR highstress hot spot clusters for the 10,000 iterations is presented in Figure 6.5(a). The areas within the study area that are sufficiently powered to detect spatial clustering of a high-stress hot spot are shown in Figure 6.5(b). These results demonstrate that the path for the environmental walk has some real spatial clusters of high-stress hot spots.



Figure 6.3: (a) Detected stress hot spot locations. (b) Detected high-stress hot spot locations. (c) Perceived stress and non-stress assessment by participants. (d) Path audit by observers. The environmental condition was rated as poor, moderate or good. F = Rating for functionality; S = Rating for safety; A = Rating for aesthetics; O = Overall rating of path segment.

Note. Basemap data copyrighted Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Air bus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community. Photographs by author.



Figure 6.4: First iteration of simulated randomly generated point-level physiological data assuming complete spatial randomness.

Note. Simulated case (i.e., high-stress samples) locations are red-coloured circles and simulated control (i.e., non-stress and low-stress samples) locations are blue-coloured circles.



Figure 6.5: Clusters of SRR high-stress hot spot within study area (i.e., path segment A to H). (a) Proportion of simulation significant SRR high-stress hot spot clusters for the simulated 10,000 iterations. (b) Areas within the study area that are sufficiently powered to detect spatial clustering of a high-stress hot spot.

Note. Simulated case (i.e., high-stress samples) locations are red-coloured circles and simulated control (i.e., non-stress and low-stress samples) locations are blue-coloured circles.

6.3.5 Examination of Spatial Clusters of Risk Stress Hot spot

Upon examining the risk stress hot spot locations, the authors identified some environmental barriers relating to the functionality, safety, and aesthetics of the path conditions (Figure 6.6 and Figure 6.7). Environmental barriers A1, S1, and F1, were identified in risk stress hot spot 1. The authors found that the risk stress hot spot 1 was mainly caused by a restaurant. Old gas cylinders, broken furniture, and several old or broken restaurant equipment were found outside the restaurant and on the path (barrier A1 and F1). The path surface was wet (barrier F1). Some of the participants were observed taking precautionary measures by slowing their pace. About three dogs were spotted in this location during the environmental walk (S1). All the participants reported that they felt stressed while walking through this spot. For instance, one of the participants commented that she would not have been able to walk this segment of the path alone. "Why would someone eat here?" one of the participants asked rhetorically.

Risk stress hot spot 2 consists of environmental barriers A2-A5, S2-S4, and F2-F6, extending from segment C to F as shown in Figure 6.6 and Figure 6.7. This spot has a gas station and a bus stop beside path segment C. The authors noticed that some of the participants interacted with vehicles entering or exiting the gas station; this interaction could be stressful, especially if not perceived in advance (barrier S2). Another group of participants mentioned that they realised it was a gas station from a distance, and they were hoping they would not encounter any car entering or exiting the gas station. This anticipation about what will happen in the near distance could have resulted in stress (barrier S2). Path obstructions such as traffic cones and bollard barricades were identified on the sidewalk beside the gas station (barrier F2). The participants that engaged in the environmental walk in the midmorning remarked that the bus stop was too crowded and was stressful to navigate (barrier F3). The view from this spot is a bamboo scaffolding with screen nets on a high-rise building, which at first glance, seems a little frightening (barrier A2). Although the pedestrian crosswalk (segment D) has traffic calming devices (traffic signal and traffic island), it was still detected in the risk stress hot spot 2. Some of the participants mentioned that the waiting time (which was about 68 seconds) at the traffic signal was stressful (barrier S3). Segment E—an ongoing construction—was surrounded by unattractive views (barrier A3 and barrier A4) with heavy trucks entering or exiting the construction site (S4). Most of the participants reported feeling stressed at this spot. There were inconsistent path surface materials (F4), a dumpster and barricades (barrier F5) that obstructed the participants during the walk. There was a flower shop in segment F. The authors identified that several flower wreaths and wooden stands were obstructing the path (barrier F6 and barrier A5). The path surface was also wet (barrier F6). One participant described her interaction with this spot as: "I felt uncomfortable when I saw the funeral flower wreath on the street—It made me picture death and burial".

Risk stress hot spot 3 is located at the end of segment F. This hot spot was caused by a stair with about 11 steps (barrier F7). While some participants reported this stair to be good for their fitness, others reported feeling stressed. An increase in participants' physiological responses was observed at this spot. Lastly, risk stress hot spot 4 is located in a subway (segment H). The subway has dominant graffiti features (barrier A6), resulting in stress among the participants.



Figure 6.6: Environmental barriers at locations of risk stress hot spot. Base map and data copyrighted 2020 Esri, OpenStreetMap contributors and the GIS user community.



Figure 6.7: Pictures of environmental barriers at locations of risk stress hot spot. *Note.* Photographs by author.

6.4 Discussion

Several machine learning algorithms were trained using supervised and unsupervised learning methods. The results showed that the Ensemble bagged tree algorithm achieved the highest performance among the tested algorithms. Accuracy on the held-out test data (i.e., the proportion of collected samples in which the algorithm prediction matches the true label) provides an estimation of the stress detection result to be expected on new data. Therefore, the Ensemble bagged tree algorithm would be able to detect older adults' stressful interactions with an accuracy of 98.13% (for detecting stress and non-stress samples) and 98.25% (for detecting low and high-stress samples). The high performance of the Ensemble bagged tree algorithm is possible because it combines several decision trees (bootstrap aggregation) to produce better predictive performance; this approach helps to reduce the variance of a model (Rokach, 2010).

The high performance of the ensemble method means that it can be used for data collected in an ambulatory, real-world setting. Ambulatory, real-world sensing of human physiology pose several methodological challenges such as missing and noisy data. For instance, if a modality is missing data for a given pedestrian or on a sample day, the ensemble method is able to abstain that classifier in order to achieve better performance. Although the Ensemble bagged tree algorithm performed better than the deep learning algorithm, the Ensemble bagged tree algorithm required sufficient labelled data for training while the deep learning required little or no labelled data. Collecting sufficient labelled data from pedestrians in cities and communities is somewhat impractical and may hinder a large-scale deployment of the stress detection algorithm in smart age-friendly cities. Furthermore, supervised learning required careful engineering and considerable domain expertise to extract and select handcrafted features that are important for discrimination. This implies that failure to extract and select the informative features may affect the performance of the supervised learning algorithm. However, the deep learning algorithm automatically learns good features and produces representations that are selective to the relevant aspect of signal pattern important for discrimination.

Going forward, using an unsupervised deep learning approach is imperative for the efficient deployment of the stress detection algorithm in cities and communities. This is study hypothesises that developing a deep learning algorithm that accounts for interindividual variability can improve the detection of stressful interactions for pedestrians. An environmental condition that results in stressful interaction for one person may not result in stressful interaction for another person. For example, participant 5 (Table 6.3) did not experience any high-stress interaction with the environment, although other participants experienced high-stress interaction. A plausible explanation for this is because of individual difference. Future studies should deploy a multi-task learning technique to train a personalised machine learning model tailored specifically for each pedestrian but still learns from all available data.

Given that the built environment infrastructure in many cities and communities is approaching their design life, sampling peoples' physiological interactions for the entire built environment is currently impossible. The simulation-based approach adopted in this study shows promising result in generating reproducible physiological point-level data to reflect an entire study area. Detecting locations with high statistical power will be useful for researchers and urban planners during the design stage of a study to detect real urban stress hot spot and understand the association between built environment and stress.

6.5 Chapter Summary

This chapter aimed to achieve research objective three: to detect older adults' stressful environmental interactions in near-real time. An optimised environmental stress detection framework was developed to achieve the objective. Several machine learning algorithms were trained on an optimum set of informative physiological features, environmental data, and userperceived stress response. Machine learning algorithms including Gaussian SVM, Ensemble bagged tree and DBN were trained to detect older adult's stressful interactions from their physiological signals. Based on three statistical performance evaluation indicators, the results produced by the machine learning intelligence models were evaluated. The obtained results show that the machine learning models can achieve a satisfactory performance of detecting older adult's stressful interaction (over 70% accuracy), with Ensemble bagged tree achieving the best performance (98.25% accuracy). The detected stressful interactions were visualised using kernel density estimation. Overall, the detected stress and high-stress samples matched older adults perceived stress assessment of the path. A simulation-based approach was used to examine areas within the study area that are sufficiently powered to detect stress hot spots that pose high risk to older adults. The results demonstrate that urban planners and municipal decision-makers can use this approach to detect and alleviate stressful environmental conditions more efficiently; as a result, improving older adult's mobility in the built environment. In the next chapter, the optimised environmental stress detection framework will be integrated with evolutionary computing to understand the influence of urban visuospatial configuration on older adults' physiological stress.

PART IV: THE INFLUENCE OF URBAN ENVIRONMENT CONFIGURATIONS ON OLDER ADULTS' STRESS RESPONSE

INFLUENCE OF VISUOSPATIAL CONFIGURATION OF THE URBAN ENVIRONMENT ON OLDER ADULTS' PHYSIOLOGICAL STRESS⁷

7.1 Introduction

This chapter aims to further our understanding of the relationship between the visuospatial configuration of urban space and older adults' physiological stress response using current advances in machine learning and evolutionary computing. Older adults' perceived visual elements of the urban environment were extracted using isovist analysis. A machine learning-based approach was developed to identify isovist indicator levels that are responsible for stress and non-stress physiological responses and their hierarchy of influence. An evolutionary rule-based system that generates visuospatial configurations that produce a specific physiological effect was also developed. This chapter concludes with a comparison between older adults' visuospatial preference and younger adults' visuospatial preference. An overview of this chapter and the methods adopted is presented in Figure 7.1.

⁷ Parts of this chapter has been published in a journal.

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). The influence of urban visuospatial configuration on older adults' stress: A wearable physiological-perceived stress sensing and data mining based-approach, *Building and Environment*, 108298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.108298



Figure 7.1: Research overview and methodological framework.

Note. (a) Data collection during an environmental walk. (b) Data processing, heart rate variability (HRV) analysis, hot spot analysis, and computing isovist indicators from different fields of view. (c) Self-organising Maps depicting the influence of visual perception on physiological response. (d) Adopting machine learning algorithms to identify the most influential isovist indicators of physiological response. The performance of the algorithms was examined using the Area under the Receiver Operating Characteristic (AUROC) based on 10-fold cross-validation. (e) Adopting an evolutionary rule-based system to generate visuospatial configurations that produce a specific physiological effect.

7.2 Visuospatial Perception

For sighted individuals, spatial information acquisition occurs in large part through their sense of vision (Kiefer et al., 2017). The spatial properties of the environment as perceived through the eyes are referred to as the visuospatial properties of the environment. The visuospatial properties of the environment are influenced by two main elements: the surface characteristic and appearance (e.g., material, texture, and colour) and the configuration (e.g., arrangement and size) of the spatial forms (Schneider and Koenig, 2012). This study considers only the visuospatial configurations of the environment.

In the broader environmental psychology literature, several theories have emphasised that human behaviour and experience are determined by the properties of the spatial form of the environment. For example, the prospect-refuge theory discovered by Appleton (1975) postulates that humans prefer a spatial configuration that affords both the ability to see (prospect) without being seen (refuge). "Where these conditions are present their perception is attended with pleasure; anxiety is set aside, and relaxation is possible. Where they are absent anxiety continues and there is no relaxation" (Appleton, 1975, p. 71). Akin to the prospectrefuge theory is the defensible space theory that suggests that the environment can be configurated to influence its residents' territoriality, image, milieu, and surveillance behaviours (Reynald and Elffers, 2009). The mystery theory propounded that humans behavioural and emotional responses are influenced by spatial configurations promising new information when proceeding further into the environment (Kaplan, 1988). The complexity concept suggests that human involvement (the concern to figure out, to learn, to be stimulated) in an environment is affected by the diversity or richness (how much there is to look at) in the environment (Kaplan, 1988; Scott, 1993). These theories have been evaluated on several architecture spaces (including Frank Lloyd Wright's architecture) and urban space (Dawes and Ostwald, 2014; Wu et al., 2020; Franz and Wiener, 2005; Xiang et al., 2020). The theories collectively suggest that the human visuospatial perception of a space generated by or associated with a spatial configuration affects human behaviour and experience; this effect on humans is an important factor for creating and maintaining a liveable environment (Gehl, 2011).

The human visuospatial perception of a horizontal slice through space can be measured using isovist analysis. An isovist is a space in an environment visible to a person from an observation point from which various geometrical and mathematical measures are computed to define the person's visuospatial perception (Benedikt, 1979; Batty, 2001). Isovist can be studied in both two and three dimensions. This study is limited to the two-dimensional isovist analysis. Isovist analysis is capable of describing a space "from inside', from the point of view of individuals, as they perceive it, interact with it, and move through it" (Turner et al., 2001, p.103). Isovist analysis has been widely used in the fields of architecture and urban planning in the study of wayfinding (Meilinger et al., 2012), visibility (Wu et al., 2020), Prospect-Refuge Theory

(Dawes and Ostwald, 2014; Ostwald and Dawes, 2013) and urban stress (Li et al., 2016; Knöll et al., 2018; Xiang et al., 2020). Pertinent isovist research has shown that several geometrical and mathematical measures (referred to as isovist indicators): area, perimeter, compactness, occlusivity, jaggedness, maximum visibility, and minimum visibility (Benedikt, 1979; Batty, 2001; Schneider and Koenig, 2012) are to some extent associated with spatial perceptions including those relating to elements of prospect, refuge (in the prospect-refuge theory), mystery (in the mystery theory), and complexity (in the complexity theory). These isovist indicators and the experiential properties associated with them are presented in Table 7.1.

| Isovist indicator | Spatial | Spatial | References | |
|---------------------------|------------|--------------|------------------------------|--|
| | experience | property | | |
| Isovist area | Prospect | Spaciousness | Chun et al. (2019), Ostwald | |
| Isovist perimeter | Prospect | Spaciousness | and Dawes (2013), Dawes | |
| Maximum visibility length | Prospect | Spaciousness | and Ostwald (2013), Franz | |
| Minimum visibility length | Refuge | Spaciousness | and Wiener (2005), Xiang et | |
| Occlusivity | Refuge | Openness | al. (2020), Reynald and | |
| | | | Elffers (2009), Dawes and | |
| | | | Ostwald (2014), Wu et al. | |
| | | | (2020) | |
| Occlusivity | Mystery | The promise | Dawes and Ostwald (2013), | |
| | | of more | Benedikt (1979), Kaplan | |
| | | information | (1988), Xiang et al. (2020), | |
| | | | Dawes and Ostwald (2014) | |
| Jaggedness | Complexity | Diversity or | Dawes and Ostwald (2013), | |
| | | richness | Kaplan (1988), Scott (1993), | |
| Compactness | Complexity | Diversity or | Franz and Wiener (2005), | |
| | | richness | Wiener and Franz (2004), | |
| | | | Xiang et al. (2020), Ma et | |
| | | | al., (2020) | |

 Table 7.1: Isovist indicators and corresponding experiential properties

Isovist area represents the area of all spaces visible from a person's observation point. Isovist perimeter measures the length of the edge of all space visible from an observation point. Compactness expresses the relationship between area and perimeter relative to a circle; it indicates the complexity or compactness of the field of view (Schneider and Koenig, 2012).

Occlusivity describes the length of open edges (i.e., edges without physical boundaries such as a wall) of the field of view (Dawes and Ostwald, 2014). Occlusivity is small in locations with few or no views into other parts of the spatial configuration of the environment. For instance, an observation point within a completely closed, convex space has an occlusivity of 0. Jaggedness describes the convexity (i.e., the number of vertices and vertex density) of the field of view (Wiener and Franz, 2004). The maximum visibility and minimum visibility refer to the length of the longest and shortest single view, respectfully, available at an observation point.

7.3 Methods

7.3.1 Detecting Stress and Non-stress Responses

The data collection and pre-processing to remove noise is discussed in Chapter 3. To understand the influence of spatial factors on stress, it is important to distinguish stressful person-environment interactions due to spatial factors from stressful person-environment interactions due to other environmental or personal factors. This study harnesses the advantages of the perceived stress rating and the physiological responses stress detection (physiological-perceived stress). The aim is to (1) estimate stress and non-stress environmental conditions using perceived response (2) integrate physiological response with GPS data, conduct hot spot analysis to identify hot spots and cold spots (3) spatially match hot spots and cold spots to perceived response to detect stressful person-environment interactions due to spatial factors in order to enhance our understanding of the relationship between the visuospatial configuration of urban space and older adults stress response.

A participant experiencing a high or low physiological response at a location could result from spatial factors (e.g., spatial configuration), temporal factors (e.g., noise level and weather) or individual factors (e.g., health condition and previous experience). Because the participants'

responses to the environment were collected on different days and different time-of-day, there was no direct mutual interference between them; therefore, it is assumed that their responses were comparatively independent. As a result, spatial clustering analysis—specifically hot spot—was conducted to amplify the physiological responses induced by spatial factors while reducing the impact of temporal and random factors.

The LF/HF ratio was used to model older adults' physiological stress responses to urban environmental conditions. Hot spot analysis was performed using Getis-Ord Gi* statistics to detect locations in the study area that elicited a common physiological response among multiple participants. Please refer to Chapter 5 for a more detailed explanation and analysis of the hot spot analysis using the LF/HF ratio. The detected hot spots and cold spots were spatially matched with the commonly perceived stress and non-stress path segments. The hot spots and cold spots within perceived stress path segments were detected as spatial significant stress locations, and hot spots and cold spots within the perceived non-stress path segments were detected as spatial significant non-stress locations.

Before the hot spot analysis, the recorded video of each participant's environmental walk was inspected by the authors and unintended person-environment interaction (e.g., older adults' interaction with vehicles, people, and losing stability due to encounters with path obstructions such as potholes, stairs, or curbs) that could affect stress were excluded to ensure that the physiological response was mainly influenced by spatial factors.

7.3.2 Measuring Visuospatial Perception: Isovist Analysis

The spatial layout of the experiment neighbourhood (Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong) was generated using OpenStreetMap (OpenStreetMap and Contributors, 2019), as shown in Figure

7.2(a). The isovist was generated using DepthmapX (SpaceGroupUCL, 2019). DepthmapX has the following field of view options: 90°, 120°, 180°, and 360°. The combined visual field for both human eyes is 130-135° vertically and 200-220° horizontally (Szinte and Cavanagh, 2012; Dagnelie, 2011). During the environmental walk, the participants walked the path in one direction (i.e., from start to end, as shown in Figure 7.3); therefore, the maximum horizontal visible urban space to the participants is about 220°. Due to the limited field of view options available in DepthmapX, only the 90°, 120°, and 180° fields of view were used for the isovist analysis. Hence, the far peripheral vision of the human eye beyond 180° was ignored in this study. An example of the generated 90°, 120°, and 180° fields of view from an observation point on the path is presented in Figure 7.2(b), Figure 7.2(c), and Figure 7.2(d), respectively. In order to capture a more realistic isovist, a view distance of 200 m was set, considering the visual acuity for an average 65-year-old. For instance, it would be unrealistic to assume that people have near infinite isovists in an open space. Figure 7.2(b) depicts a more realistic isovist with a visibility boundary from an observation point. The isovist within the visibility boundary represents a closed polygon from which isovist indicators: area, perimeter, compactness, occlusivity, jaggedness, maximum visibility, and minimum visibility were calculated. The isovist was generated for the entire path using the fields of view, the view distance, and the GPS locations as observation points. Isovist area is calculated as the total space bounded by the edges of the polygon, isovist perimeter is calculated as the total length of the edges of the polygon, isovist maximum and minimum visibility is calculated as the length of the longest and shortest line to the solid edge of the polygon from an observation point. The formulas for compactness, occlusivity, and jaggedness are

$$Compactness = 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{\pi S}}{P},\tag{7.1}$$

$$Occlusivity = P - P_f, (7.2)$$

$$Jaggedness = \frac{P^2}{S},\tag{7.3}$$

where S is the isovist area, P is the isovist perimeter, and P_f is the total length of the solid edges within the isovist area (S).



Figure 7.2: Generated spatial layout with isovist from an observation point.

Note. (a) Spatial layout of the experiment neighbourhood with predefined path. (b) Isovist with 90° field of view from an observation point *R* with a defined boundary, M = visibility limit of 200 m (equivalent to the maximum visibility length), m = minimum visibility length. (c) Isovist with 120° field of view from an observation point *R*. (d) Isovist with 180° field of view from an observation point *R*. (d) Isovist with 180° field of view from an observation point *R*. Basemap data copyrighted OpenStreetMap (and) contributors.



Figure 7.3: Path with perceiver's view in the forward direction, starting from A to L. *Note.* Basemap data copyrighted Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Air bus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community. Photographs by author.

7.3.3 Influence of Visuospatial Perception on Physiological Response: Self-Organising

Мар

A self-organising map (SOM) is a type of artificial neural network trained using unsupervised

learning to visualise and explore different patterns and relationships in the data (Kohonen,

2013). SOM has a unique property of effectively projecting input space (high-dimensional space) into a low-dimensional (usually two-dimensional) regular grid such that the proximity relations are preserved (Vesanto and Alhoniemi, 2000). Maps that are generated using unsupervised SOM mainly capture the significant factors that influence the similarities in the data (e.g., clustering in the data). This study is interested in variations in factors resulting in a specific effect (i.e., the isovist indicators that influence physiological response). Supervised SOMs offer the opportunity to study the isovist indicators influencing physiological response by increasing their importance on the organisation of the maps (Platon et al., 2017; Wongravee et al., 2010; Kuzmanovski et al., 2007).

SOM Architecture

Note that three different fields of view were considered in this study. Therefore, a prototype SOM of two-dimensional grid size $M \times N = U$ nodes was generated for each field of view dataset. The input data **X**, to be projected on the SOM of dimension $I \times J$ (which is 3283 × 7 for each field of view) and its label **Y** has dimensions $I \times K$ (where K = 2, representing the two classes of the physiological response [stress and non-stress]).

The Learning Process

Given a set of samples (x_i, y_i) from the dataset (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) , $i = 1, \dots, n$, where x_i is the input vector of the *i*th sample and y_i is a vector corresponding to its label (recall that the dimension of y_i is equal to the number of classes in the label, which is 2 in this study). If the class of \mathbf{x} is l, the *l*th component of y_i is equal to 1 and the other component is equal to 0. The supervised SOM is able to learn a function $f: \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ by training on an augmented vector $\mathbf{x} = [x_v, x_l]$, which is a combination of label vector \mathbf{x}_l with the input vectors \mathbf{x}_v . Each node r, in the supervised SOM has a weight vector $\mathbf{w}_r = [w_r^v, w_r^l]$. During the competitive learning process, the distance between x_i and w_r of each node is computed. The best matching unit (BMU) is determined by finding the node r, having the closest weight vector w_r , to the input vector x_i :

$$b = \arg\min_{r} d(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{w}_{r}), \tag{7.4}$$

where *b* denotes the index of the BMU and $d(x_i, w_r)$ is the Tanimoto distance between x_i and w_r (note that the **Y** is categorical, hence the reason for using Tanimoto distance measure). The BMU and its topological neighbours are updated as

$$w_r(t+1) = w_r(t) + \alpha(t)h_{br}[x_i - w_r(t)],$$
(7.5)

where $\alpha(t)$ is the learning rate at time $t [\alpha(t)]$ is a monotically decreasing function], and h_{br} is the neighbourhood function between BMU and the *r*th node at time *t*. The two traditional neighbourhood functions are the bubble function and Gaussian function. Both neighbourhood functions were tested. The learning process adopted in this study is based on the classical sequential SOM algorithm (Kohonen, 2013). The learning process is repeated until there is convergence in **X** and **Y**. Several SOM were trained in parallel using different hyperparameters settings. The optimal SOM was selected using the Area under the Receiver Operating Characteristic (AUROC) based on 10-fold cross-validation. The AUROC and the validation of the SOM are explained in the validation section.

7.3.4 Identifying the Most Influential Isovist Indicators of Physiological Response

The SOM is able to provide the isovist indicator levels that are responsible for stress and nonstress physiological responses. However, it is also important to ascertain which of the isovist indicator (s) have the greatest influence on older adults' physiological responses. Hence, subsets of isovist indicator (s) based on their correlation and intercorrelation were generated. Subsets of isovist indicator (s) that are highly correlated with the physiological responses, while having low intercorrelation, have greater influence (Hall, 1999). A greedy forward search was performed through the space of the generated subsets to create a hierarchy of influential isovist
indicator (s) subsets. A greedy forward search is an efficient method to select a choice from multiple choices that achieve the largest possible improvement or fitness in the value of some measure (Resende and Ribeiro, 2010).

The hierarchy of influential subsets of isovist indicator (s) was subsequently confirmed by considering its ability to discriminate between stress and non-stress physiological responses when used to train several machine learning algorithms. Decision tree (J48), k-nearest neighbour (kNN), logistic regression, Naïve Bayes, and support vector machine were used because they have been successful used in previous studies to detect stress (Panicker and Gayathri, 2019). The performance of the algorithms was examined using the Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic (AUROC) based on 10-fold cross-validation.

7.3.5 Design by Evolutionary Algorithmic Rule: Generative Design

Urban planners, municipal officials, and developers often use predefined rules and guidelines such as pattern books and urban form-based codes to create spatial configurations that meet certain specific visual qualities (Talen, 2009; Borchers, 2008). An example is the Hong Kong Urban Design Guidelines (Hong Kong Planning Department, 2015), which contain several design considerations for streets, streetscapes, and building height. Although this approach effectively ensures that cities and communities are planned to meet a specific standard, it is somewhat limited and inflexible to adequately accommodate changing context and complexity (Batty and Marshall, 2012; Schneider and Koenig, 2012). Every planning and design problem is unique, and it is rather impossible for urban codes to offer solutions to all of these problems. For instance, the Hong Kong Urban Design Guidelines has no specific requirement on the influence of visual perception on physiological stress. The complexity and interactions of urban forms further limit the abilities of predefined standards to adequately respond to these problems (Marshall, 2012). Pattern books usually contain a few of these spatial configurations and can only be of limited use in designing and planning a unique visuospatial effect.

Instead of having only a few spatial configurations that create a specific visuospatial effect, it would be more beneficial to have an approach that generates multiple spatial configurations that produce a specific visuospatial effect. Such an approach will be well-adaptable to many unique urban design problems, thereby enabling planners to explore design space. This study presents a method for implementing such an approach using a multi-objective evolutionary fuzzy rule system algorithm to generate visuospatial configurations (from different isovist indicator levels) that produce a specific physiological effect.

7.3.6 Multi-Objective Evolutionary Fuzzy Systems

People's bounded rationality has consequences, including decision making (Wheeler, 2018). In the psychology literature, how well a given claim is supported by evidence affects people's rationality (Stanovich et al., 2016). Specifically, urban planners, municipal officials and developers are more likely to agree to the visuospatial configurations generated by a system when it is supported by evidence. Thus, it is crucial to develop decision support systems that are capable of interpreting themselves. Interpretability is the ability of a system to explain its behaviour such that it is easily understandable by the users of that system (Jiménez et al., 2018). Rule-based systems have been recognised for their ability to achieve a high level of interpretability because they are based on a human-like logic. The rules are represented in an easily understandable schema:

IF (*Condition* 1) *and* (*Conditon* 2) *and* … (*Condition* N) *THEN* (*Statement*).

A fuzzy system is a rule-based system in which fuzzy logic (a way of describing and measuring nonstatistical uncertainty and approximate reasoning) is used to represent different forms of

knowledge about a problem and to model the interactions and relationships between its variables (Jimenez et al., 2014; Shi et al., 1999). This ability of fuzzy rule-based systems is important in this study because the if-then fuzzy rules can represent the conditions for a visuospatial configuration (isovist indicator levels) to be associated with a physiological response (stress or non-stress) in a computationally efficient manner that approximate human reasoning. In this way, the fuzzy rule-based system is implemented as a classification learning system.

Evolutionary algorithm is a commonly used approach to generate fuzzy rules automatically; it has been successfully used to search poorly understood, irregular space (Ishibuchi and Yamamoto, 2004; Kim et al., 2019). Evolutionary algorithm is an optimisation algorithm that reflects the process of natural evolution such as crossover, mutation, and natural selection to find an optimal solution to a problem within specific constraints (Deb et al., 2002; Shi et al., 1999). Evolutionary fuzzy systems combine the approximate reasoning ability of fuzzy systems with the adaptation abilities of evolutionary algorithm.

To ensure system transparency, the fuzzy rule-based system was defined with two objectives: interpretation and accuracy. Hence, a multi-objective evolutionary fuzzy rule-based system was implemented in this study using ENORA, a multi-objective evolutionary algorithm. ENORA is a state-of-the-art evolutionary algorithm; it is recognised for its ability to achieve high performance (Jiménez et al., 2018; Onan et al., 2017). A multi-objective evolutionary fuzzy rule-based system is capable of generating Pareto-optimal fuzzy rules (visuospatial configurations) that maximise accuracy in classifying physiological responses and minimise the number of rules for easy interpretation of the system.

The ENORA algorithm was run with 10-fold cross-validation using the parameters shown in Table 7.2. A population size of N = 100 is often used in evolutionary computing. A similarity constraint $g_s = 0.1$ is imposed to reach transparency by ensuring that no two fuzzy sets (sets of visuospatial configurations) overlapped more than 10%. A 10% maximum similarity is sufficient to achieve an interpretable system (Setnes et al., 1998). A set of linguistic labels: Very Low, Low, Moderately Low, Moderate, Moderate High, High, Very High (maximum set of linguistic labels) are assigned to each fuzzy set. The number of the linguistic label is equal to the maximum number of fuzzy sets. Maximum number of fuzzy sets $L_{max} = 7$ and maximum number of linguistic labels $L_i = 7$, are used to ensure interpretability. Minimum variance parameter $\gamma_1 = 30$ was established to ensure $g_s = 0.1$ and $L_{max} = 7$. Maximum variance parameter $\gamma_1 = 2$ ensures $\geq 47.72\%$ of any Gaussian fuzzy set is retained in the variable domain. The minimum number of rules M_{min} and maximum number of rules M_{max} will be decided by the designer based on the design problem. $M_{min} = 2$ and $M_{max} = 20$ were used in this study as an example to generate sets of visuospatial configurations from the 180° field of view dataset.

Table 7.2: Parameters used to run multi-objective evolutionary fuzzy rule-based system

| Size of population $N = 100$ |
|---|
| Minimum number of rules (visuospatial configurations) $M_{min} = 2$ |
| Maximum number of rules (visuospatial configurations) $M_{max} = 20$ |
| Minimum variance parameter $\gamma_1 = 30$ |
| Maximum variance parameter $\gamma_1 = 2$ |
| Maximum number of fuzzy sets $L_{max} = 7$ |
| Maximum number of linguistic labels $L_i = 7, L_i \leftarrow \{VL, L, ML, M, MH, H, VH\}$ |
| Maximum similarity threshold for fuzzy sets $g_s = 0.1$ |

7.3.7 Validation

k-fold cross-validation (k = 10) was used to evaluate the performance of the SOM and the machine learning algorithms. Cross-validation is a resampling procedure that has been widely

used in machine learning to estimate the skill of a model on unseen data (Bengio and Grandvalet, 2004). k-fold cross-validation involves randomly splitting the original sample data into k groups of approximately equal size. One group out of the k groups is held out to validate the model, and the remaining k-1 groups are used to train the model. The training and validation are repeated k times to calculate the performance of the model on the validation data set. The value of k = 10 was used for the cross-validation; this value has been proven to produce validation results that suffer neither from excessively high bias nor from very high variance (James et al., 2013).

The performance of the models was evaluated using the Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic (AUROC). The Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve is constructed by plotting the model's true positive rate (sensitivity) against the false positive rate (1-specificity) at various threshold settings. AUROC is a performance metric for discrimination; it indicates a model's ability to discriminate between positive and negative cases (Brown and Davis, 2006). An AUROC of 1.0 corresponds to a perfect performance; the lower the AUROC, the worse the performance. In general, AUROC above 0.5 indicates good performance, whereas AUROC below 0.5 indicates poor performance. The model with the highest AUROC value was selected as the optimal model.

7.4 Results

7.4.1 Detected Stress and Non-stress Responses

The path was labelled using the commonly perceived stress and non-stress reported by the participants, as shown in Figure 7.4(a). The hot spot analysis result is presented in Figure 7.4(b). The hot spots and cold spots were determined at a 95% confidence level. In other words, these hot and cold spots were the results of older adults' physiological responses to spatial





factors at a 95% confidence level. The spatial significant sample points corresponding to the perceived responses were used to determine the stress and non-stress locations on the path in order to further analyse the spatial attributes—here, the visuospatial configurations— stimulating such stress and non-stress responses. The hot spots and cold spots within the perceived stress path segments (i.e., 2161 points of spatial significant stress samples) were distributed approximately across seven locations on the path (i.e., S1 to S7) as shown in Figure 7.4(c). The hot spots and cold spots within the perceived non-stress path segments (i.e., 1122

points of spatial significant stress samples) were distributed approximately across six locations on the path (i.e., N1 to N6) as shown in Figure 7.4(d).

7.4.2 Influence of Visuospatial Perception on Stress and Non-stress Response

Older adults' visuospatial perceptions (i.e., the values for all isovist indicators) were spatially matched with the spatial significant stress samples and non-stress samples. A Wilcoxon signed-rank test was conducted to determine whether there is a significant difference in their visuospatial perceptions during stress and non-stress physiological states. The results indicate that all isovist indicators were statistically and significantly different under the two different physiological states with a 95% significance level. This is an indication that the isovist indicators somewhat influenced the participants' stress and non-stress physiological states.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted on the spatially significant matched samples of isovist indicators and physiological responses for each field of view to determine whether the variation retained in the first two principal components contains relevant information about the samples. Before PCA was conducted, the data for each isovist indicator was mean centred and then divided by the standard deviation of the isovist indicator (data normalisation). This way, each isovist indicator has zero mean and unit standard deviation to ensure that the PCA is based on how much variation the isovist indicators explain to improve numerical stability.

The biplots of the two largest principal components for 90° field of view, 120° field of view, and 180° field of view are shown in Figure 7.5(a), Figure 7.5(b), and Figure 7.5(c), respectively. From the biplots, it can be observed that the two largest principal components (i.e., PC1 on the x-axis and PC2 on the y-axis) for all the fields of view explain more than 80%





Note. (a) 90° field of view. (b) 120° field of view. (c) 180° field of view. (d) PCA biplot label for stress and non-stress physiological responses. PC1 = principal component 1; PC2 = principal component 2.

(i.e., the sum of PC1 and PC2) of the variability in the data. The biplot reveals that non-stress responses are characterised by increasing values of area, perimeter, occlusivity, minimum and maximum visibility, while stress is somewhat characterised by increasing values of jaggedness and compactness. However, note that the PCA is only providing information on the global

structure of the data; therefore, further data exploration was conducted using SOM and machine learning to understand the local structure of the data.

7.4.3 The Learning Process

The optimal hyperparameter settings for the SOM are reported in Table 7.3. Note that the isovist indicators were normalised. The learning process for 90° field of view, 120° field of view, and 180° field of view dataset are shown in Figure 7.6(a), Figure 7.6(d), and Figure 7.6(g), respectively. Figure 7.6(a), Figure 7.6(d), and Figure 7.6(g) show the mean distance to the closest unit decreased during the learning process, stabilised at a very small value and reached a minimum plateau. A small value of mean distance is an indication that the weight vector of a node is similar to the input data x_i (isovist indicator) and corresponding label y_i (physiological response) represented by that node. The marginal improvement in the mean distance after the first 60 iterations prove the convergence of the SOM. Figure 7.6(b), Figure 7.6(e), and Figure 7.6(h) present the count plot for 90°, 120°, and 180° fields of view, respectively. The count plot shows the number of input data points in each node. The neighbourhood distance plots in Figure 7.6(c), Figure 7.6(f), and Figure 7.6(i) for 90°, 120°,

| | | Field of view | |
|--------------------------------|------------|---------------|------------|
| Hyperparameters | 90° | 120° | 180° |
| Grid size | 3×4 | 3×4 | 3×4 |
| Topography | Hexagonal | Hexagonal | Hexagonal |
| User weights | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Distance weights | 2.444 | 2.444 | 2.444 |
| Neighbourhood function | Bubble | Bubble | Bubble |
| Distance function | Tanimoto | Tanimoto | Tanimoto |
| Training length | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Learning rate (initial, final) | 0.05, 0.01 | 0.05, 0.01 | 0.05, 0.01 |
| 10-fold cross-validation | | | |
| AUROC | 0.960 | 0.931 | 0.937 |
| Sensitivity | 0.843 | 0.767 | 0.790 |
| Specificity | 0.929 | 0.939 | 0.934 |

 Table 7.3: Optimal hyperparameters settings for SOM and SOM validation result

Note. AUROC = area under the receiver operating characteristic.



Figure 7.6: SOM architecture.

and 180° fields of view, respectively, shows further clustering in the data. Areas of low neighbour distance (dark regions) indicate the group of nodes with similar properties, and the further apart nodes (light regions) indicate natural borders in the map.

7.4.4 Visualisation of the SOM

Hierarchical clustering analysis was conducted to show the clustering information in the SOM. The clustering shows a clear boundary of isovist indicators resulting in non-stress and stress physiological responses. The SOM with cluster boundaries for 90° field of view, 120° field of view, and 180° field of view analyses are presented in Figure 7.7. The SOM shows the level of isovist indicators (Figure 7.7[a], Figure 7.7[d], and Figure 7.7[g]) that influence older adults' physiological response (Figure 7.7[b], Figure 7.7[e], and Figure 7.7[h]). The cluster of participants influence by a specific isovist indicator (s) is shown in Figure 7.7(c), Figure 7.7(f), and Figure 7.7(i) for 90°, 120°, and 180° fields of view, respectively. The SOM reveals the local structure of the data. For instance, participant 1's experience is best captured by node 5, node 6, and node 9 for 90°, 120°, and 180° fields of view, respectively. Participant 1 experienced stress when there is a high level of maximum visibility, a medium level of compactness and low levels of area, minimum visibility, perimeter, occlusivity, and jaggedness for 90° and 180° fields of view. However, a small increase in minimum visibility and area resulted in a non-stress physiological response when the field of view is 120°. None of participant 8's data was captured in nodes 9 and 6 (90° fields of view), implying that the levels of isovist indicators in these nodes have no influence on participant 8. The male participants (participants 3, 5 and 10) samples dominated the count in node 1 (90° fields of view), indicating that minimum visibility, maximum visibility, area, perimeter and occlusivity (in order of importance) influence their physiological response. A summary of the dominant patterns in the SOM is explained in Table 7.4.



Figure 7.7: Influence of isovist indicators on participants' physiological stress. *Note.* (a), (d), and (g) is a "fan diagram", each node of the "fan diagram" consist of individual fans, which represents the magnitude of each input variable (i.e., the isovist indicator) in the weight vector. (b), (e), and (h) is read in conjunction with (a). It shows the isovist indicator levels eliciting a specific physiological response. (c), (f), and (i) show the participants sample data that were clustered into a specific self-organising map (SOM) node. The SOM consist of 12 nodes.

7.4.5 Most Influential Isovist Indicators of Physiological Response

The hierarchy of influential isovist indicator (s) subsets is provided in Figure 7.8. Minimum visibility was the most influential under 90°, 120° and 180° fields of view. Most of the machine learning models achieved higher performance when only the most influential isovist indicator is used to discriminate between stress and non-stress physiological responses. Minimum visibility, occlusivity, perimeter, and isovist area (for 90° field of view); minimum visibility, occlusivity, isovist area, and compactness (for 120° field of view); and minimum visibility, isovist area, and occlusivity (for 180° field of view) appeared in most of the influential isovist indicator (s) subsets. The level of influence is presented alongside the dominant pattern observed in the PCA and SOM in Table 7.4.

Figure 7.8: Hierarchy of influential isovist indicator (s) subsets with corresponding performance when tested on machine learning algorithms with 10-fold cross-validation.

| | 90° field of view | | 120° field of view | | 180° field of view | |
|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Isovist indicator | Non-stress | Stress | Non-stress | Stress | Non-stress | Stress |
| Area | \uparrow^4 | \downarrow^4 | \uparrow^3 | \downarrow^3 | \uparrow^2 | \downarrow^2 |
| Perimeter | \uparrow^3 | \downarrow^3 | \uparrow^6 | \downarrow^6 | \uparrow^4 | \downarrow^4 |
| Occlusivity | \uparrow^2 | \downarrow^2 | \uparrow^2 | \downarrow^2 | \uparrow^3 | \downarrow^3 |
| Compactness | \downarrow^6 | \uparrow^6 | \downarrow^4 | \uparrow^4 | \downarrow^4 | \uparrow^4 |
| Jaggedness | \downarrow^7 | \uparrow^7 | \downarrow^7 | \uparrow^7 | \downarrow^7 | \uparrow^7 |
| Maximum visibility | \uparrow^5 | \downarrow^5 | \uparrow^5 | \downarrow^5 | \uparrow^4 | \downarrow^4 |
| Minimum visibility | \uparrow^1 | 1 | \uparrow^1 | 1 | \uparrow^1 | 1 |

Table 7.4: Dominant pattern in the SOM

Note. \uparrow = increase in isovist indicator; \downarrow = decrease in isovist indicator; ¹ = most influential; ⁷ = least influential.

7.4.6 Multi-objective Evolutionary Fuzzy Systems: Generated Visuospatial

Configurations with Physiological Effect

The multi-objective evolutionary fuzzy rule-based system was tested on the 180° field of view. The system generated 17 sets of visuospatial configurations with specific physiological effect with an accuracy of 86.8%, precision of 86.4%, recall of 86.9%, and AUROC of 0.826 over 10-fold cross-validation. The generated visuospatial configurations with physiological effect are presented in Table 7.5.

7.5 Discussion

The result from the PCA, SOM, and machine learning algorithms show that minimum visibility, occlusivity, and isovist area have the most significant influence on physiological responses among older adults at individual and group levels. In the prospect-refuge theory, minimum visibility is the visual indicator for "refuge". This implies that older adults' physiological responses are strongly influenced in an environment with refuge value. Occlusivity is another indicator of refuge; occlusivity is the second most influential predictor of physiological response. However, when this refuge element is present, older adults displayed a preference for a high minimum visibility length and high occlusivity, which results in a non-stress physiological response, while a low minimum visibility length and low occlusivity result

| | | Visuospatial configuration | | Linguistic label | Dimension (SD) |
|---------|---------|--|----|-----------------------|--|
| Rule 1: | | | | | |
| IF | | Isovist area | IS | Low | 4156.807 m ² (495.337) |
| | AND | Isovist perimeter | IS | Medium | 410.722 m (21.284) |
| | AND | Occlusivity | IS | Moderately High | 374.510 m (24.058) |
| | AND | Compactness | IS | High | 0.596 (0.020) |
| | AND | Jaggedness | IS | Very High | 179.208 (7.276) |
| | AND | Maximum visibility | IS | Very High | 94.574 m (7.913) |
| | AND | Minimum visibility | IS | Medium | 41.930 m (2.969) |
| THEN | the ph | vsiological effect IS Stress | | | |
| | 1. | | | | |
| Rule 2: | | | | | |
| IF | | Isovist area | IS | Low | 4156.807 m ² (495.337) |
| | AND | Isovist perimeter | IS | Medium | 410.722 m (21.284) |
| | AND | Occlusivity | IS | Moderately High | 374.510 m (24.058) |
| | AND | Compactness | IS | Very Low | 0.198 (0.019) |
| | AND | Jaggedness | IS | Very High | 179.208 (7.276) |
| | AND | Maximum visibility | IS | Low | 34.622 m (4.158) |
| | AND | Minimum visibility | IS | Moderately Low | 29.207 m (2.969) |
| THEN | the ph | ysiological effect IS Stress | | j | ()) |
| | - | | | | |
| Rule 3: | | | | | |
| IF | | Isovist area | IS | Very Low | 1616.546 m ² (699.152) |
| | AND | Isovist perimeter | IS | Very High | 696.734 m (22.145) |
| | AND | Occlusivity | IS | Moderately High | 374.510 m (24.058) |
| | AND | Compactness | IS | High | 0.596 (0.020) |
| | AND | Jaggedness | IS | Very High | 179.208 (7.276) |
| | AND | Maximum visibility | IS | Medium | 61.944 m (3.698) |
| | AND | Minimum visibility | IS | Low | 15.821 m (4.074) |
| THEN | the phy | ysiological effect IS Stress | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Rule 4: | | | | | |
| IF | | Isovist area | IS | Medium | 8044.802 m ² (495.337) |
| | AND | Isovist perimeter | IS | Very Low | 104.413 m (29.372) |
| | AND | Occlusivity | IS | Medium | 281.987 m (17.945) |
| | AND | Compactness | IS | Moderately High | 0.5147 (0.027) |
| | AND | Jaggedness | IS | Moderately High | 121.743 (7.264) |
| | AND | Maximum visibility | IS | Very High | 94.574 m (7.913) |
| | AND | Minimum visibility | IS | Very Low | 1.942 m (3.392) |
| THEN | the phy | ysiological effect IS Stress | | | |
| Dula 5 | | | | | |
| Kule 5: | | To aviat area | IC | Vous Loss | $1616546m^2$ (600 152) |
| lГ | | Isovist norimeter | 10 | Very LOW Modium | 1010.340 III (099.132) |
| | | A construction of the cons | 10 | Iviculuiii | 410.722 III (21.284) 458 410 m (10.265) |
| | | Compositions | 12 | riigii Voru II: ah | 438.410 III (19.303) |
| | | Longaciness | 12 | very High | 0.704 (0.032) |
| | | Jaggeoness | 12 | Woderately Low | $\delta / .129 (5.447)$ |
| | AND | iviaximum visibility | 12 | very High | 94.3/4 III (7.913) |

| Table 7.5: | Visuospatial | configurations | with physio | logical effect |
|-------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
|-------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|

| | | Visuospatial configuration | | Linguistic label | Dimension (SD) |
|----------|--------|----------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|---|
| | AND | Minimum visibility | IS | Medium | 41.930 m (2.969) |
| THEN | the ph | ysiological effect IS Stress | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Rule 6: | | In a suid and a | IC | Madin | $9044,902,m^2$ (405,227) |
| IΓ | | Isovist perimeter | 12 | Low | $8044.802 \text{ III}^{-}(495.557)$ 108 222 m (26 860) |
| | | Occlusivity | 12 | LUW | 196.255 III (20.800) 458.410 m (10.365) |
| | | Compactness | 15 | High | 436.410 III (19.303) 0 596 (0 020) |
| | | Laggedness | IS | Low | 60.693(5.447) |
| | AND | Maximum visibility | IS | Verv High | 94574 m (7.913) |
| | AND | Minimum visibility | IS | Moderately Low | 29.207 m (2.969) |
| THEN | the ph | ysiological effect IS Stress | 10 | 1.10000100019 20 0 | _,, (, o,) |
| | - | | | | |
| Rule 7: | | . | TO | | |
| IF | | Isovist area | IS | Low | 4156.807 m ² (495.337) |
| | | Isovist perimeter | IS | High | 605.518 m (23.312) |
| | | Occlusivity | 15 | Moderately Low | 181.401 m (17.945) |
| | | Longadnaga | 12 | Low | 0.3038(0.019) |
| | | Jaggeomess Movimum visibility | 12 | LOW Vory High | 00.093(3.447) 04.574 m(7.013) |
| | | Minimum visibility | 15 | Medium | 74.374 III (7.913) 11.030 m (2.060) |
| THEN | the ph | vsiological effect IS Stress | 15 | Wiedium | 41.950 m (2.909) |
| | the ph | | | | |
| Rule 8: | | | | | |
| IF | | Isovist area | IS | Very Low | 1616.546 m ² (699.152) |
| | AND | Isovist perimeter | IS | Medium | 410.722 m (21.284) |
| | AND | Occlusivity | IS | Very Low | 79.555 m (18.095) |
| | AND | Compactness | IS | Moderately Low | 0.413 (0.019) |
| | AND | Jaggedness | IS | Very High | 179.208 (7.276) |
| | AND | Maximum visibility | IS | Moderately High | 73.800 m (2.766) |
| | AND | Minimum visibility | IS | Moderately Low | 29.207 m (2.969) |
| THEN | the ph | ysiological effect IS Stress | | | |
| Rule 9. | | | | | |
| IF | | Isovist area | IS | Moderately High | 10321.695 m^2 (650 645) |
| | AND | Isovist perimeter | IS | Moderately High | 502.173 m (21.284) |
| | AND | Occlusivity | IS | Moderately High | 374.510 m (24.058) |
| | AND | Compactness | IS | Moderately Low | 0.413 (0.019) |
| | AND | Jaggedness | IS | Moderately High | 121.743 (7.264) |
| | AND | Maximum visibility | IS | Very High | 94.574 m (7.913) |
| | AND | Minimum visibility | IS | Medium | 41.930 m (2.969) |
| THEN | the ph | ysiological effect IS Non-St | ress | | |
| D1 10 | | | | | |
| Kule 10: | | Isovist area | IC | Madium | $80/1/1802 m^2 (105 227)$ |
| Ш, | | ISOVISI alta | 1C 12 | | 0044.002 III (493.337) 101/13 m (20.272) |
| | | Occlusivity | 12 21 | Very Low | 104.413 III (27.372) 535 316 m (23 701) |
| | | Compactness | 1C 12 | V CLY HIGH Moderately Low | 0 /13 (0 010) |
| | | Iaggedness | 21 | Low | 60 693 (5 <i>44</i> 7) |
| | | Juggounoss | 10 | | (J.TTI) |

| | | Visuospatial configuration | | Linguistic label | Dimension (SD) |
|----------|------------|------------------------------|------|------------------|------------------------------------|
| | AND | Maximum visibility | IS | Very High | 94.574 m (7.913) |
| | AND | Minimum visibility | IS | High | 64.747 m (2.969) |
| THEN | the ph | ysiological effect IS Non-St | ress | | |
| | | | | | |
| Rule 11: | | | | - | |
| IF | | Isovist area | IS | Low | 4156.807 m ² (495.337) |
| | AND | Isovist perimeter | IS | Moderately Low | 289.448 m (21.284) |
| | AND | Occlusivity | IS | Moderately High | 374.510 m (24.058) |
| | AND | Compactness | IS | Low | 0.304 (0.019) |
| | AND | Jaggedness | IS | Moderately Low | 87.129 (5.447) |
| | AND | Maximum visibility | IS | Moderately High | 73.800 m (2.766) |
| | AND | Minimum visibility | IS | Very High | 83.398 m (3.559) |
| THEN | the ph | ysiological effect IS Non-St | ress | | |
| | | | | | |
| Rule 12: | | | | | _ |
| IF | | Isovist area | IS | Very High | 13700.860 m ² (801.068) |
| | AND | Isovist perimeter | IS | Moderately Low | 289.448 m (21.284) |
| | AND | Occlusivity | IS | Moderately High | 374.510 m (24.058) |
| | AND | Compactness | IS | Moderately Low | 0.413 (0.019) |
| | AND | Jaggedness | IS | Very Low | 31.832 (5.447) |
| | AND | Maximum visibility | IS | Very High | 94.574 m (7.913) |
| | AND | Minimum visibility | IS | Medium | 41.930 m (2.969) |
| THEN | the ph | ysiological effect IS Non-St | ress | | |
| | | | | | |
| Rule 13: | | | | | |
| IF | | Isovist area | IS | Moderately High | 10321.695 m ² (650.645) |
| | AND | Isovist perimeter | IS | High | 605.518 m (23.312) |
| | AND | Occlusivity | IS | Medium | 281.987 m (17.945) |
| | AND | Compactness | IS | Very High | 0.704 (0.032) |
| | AND | Jaggedness | IS | Very High | 179.208 (7.276) |
| | AND | Maximum visibility | IS | Very High | 94.574 m (7.913) |
| | AND | Minimum visibility | IS | Low | 15.821 m (4.074) |
| THEN | the ph | ysiological effect IS Non-St | ress | | |
| | - | | | | |
| Rule 14: | | | | | |
| IF | | Isovist area | IS | Very Low | 1616.546 m ² (699.152) |
| | AND | Isovist perimeter | IS | Moderately Low | 289.448 m (21.284) |
| | AND | Occlusivity | IS | Moderately Low | 181.401 m (17.945) |
| | AND | Compactness | IS | Very High | 0.704 (0.032) |
| | AND | Jaggedness | IS | Very High | 179.208 (7.276) |
| | AND | Maximum visibility | IS | Moderately Low | 46.745 m (2.766) |
| | AND | Minimum visibility | IS | Medium | 41.930 m (2.969) |
| THEN | the ph | ysiological effect IS Non-St | ress | | × - / |
| | - I | | | | |
| Rule 15: | | | | | |
| IF | | Isovist area | IS | Moderately High | 10321.695 m ² (650.645) |
| | AND | Isovist perimeter | IS | Low | 198.233 m (26.860) |
| | AND | Occlusivity | IS | Moderately High | 374.510 m (24.059) |
| | AND | Compactness | IS | Low | 0.304 (0.019) |
| | · — | 1 | | | × · · · · / |

| | | Visuospatial configuration | | Linguistic label | Dimension (SD) |
|----------|---------|-------------------------------|-----|------------------|------------------------------------|
| | AND | Jaggedness | IS | Low | 60.693 (5.447) |
| | AND | Maximum visibility | IS | Low | 34.622 m (4.159) |
| | AND | Minimum visibility | IS | Very High | 83.398 m (3.559) |
| THEN | the phy | ysiological effect IS Non-Str | ess | | |
| Rule 16: | | | | | |
| IF | | Isovist area | IS | Very High | 13700.860 m ² (801.068) |
| | AND | Isovist perimeter | IS | Moderately High | 502.173 m (21.284) |
| | AND | Occlusivity | IS | Moderately High | 374.510 m (24.058) |
| | AND | Compactness | IS | Low | 0.304 (0.019) |
| | AND | Jaggedness | IS | High | 145.087 (5.447) |
| | AND | Maximum visibility | IS | Very High | 94.574 m (7.913) |
| | AND | Minimum visibility | IS | Low | 15.821 m (4.074) |
| THEN | the phy | ysiological effect IS Non-Str | ess | | |
| Rule 17: | | | | | |
| IF | | Isovist area | IS | Moderately High | 10321.695 m ² (650.645) |
| | AND | Isovist perimeter | IS | Moderately Low | 289.448 m (21.284) |
| | AND | Occlusivity | IS | High | 458.410 m (19.365) |
| | AND | Compactness | IS | Very High | 0.704 (0.032) |
| | AND | Jaggedness | IS | Moderately High | 121.743 (7.264) |
| | AND | Maximum visibility | IS | Very High | 94.574 m (7.913) |
| | AND | Minimum visibility | IS | Very Low | 1.942 m (3.392) |
| THEN | the phy | ysiological effect IS Non-Str | ess | | |

Note. SD = standard deviation; Compactness and Jaggedness are unitless. Compactness is within the range [0,1].

in a stress physiological response. Hong Kong has a high refuge value because its spatial configuration is enclosed by high-density and high-rise buildings. This explains why 'refuge' emerged as the most significant element in a visuospatial configuration. For older adults to experience a non-stress physiological response in a high refuge value environment such as Hong Kong, the spatial configuration should have more open edges (increased occlusivity) and a longer minimum nearest distance to physical boundaries (increased minimum visibility). This finding is quite interesting because it does not conform to the theory of refuge (enclosure evokes a sense of safety) because having more open edges and visibility increases the chances of being seen by other people. It is plausible that these isovist indicators (i.e., minimum visibility and occlusivity) captured the claustrophobic element in older adults' reaction, where

a visuospatial configuration that is too enclosed triggers claustrophobic tendencies, causing an increase in physiological stress.

While the claustrophobic tendency explains the reason for such physiological response, there might actually be more to it than that. A spatial configuration with more open edges (high occlusivity) tends to promise more information (mystery). Older adults are even more likely to experience non-stress physiological response due to an increase in mystery when the field of view is between 90° and 180°. Therefore, creating a visuospatial configuration with high mystery might as well reduce the tendency of feeling claustrophobic among older adults.

Isovist area is another influential determinant of physiological response; its influence increases with an increasing field of view. The behavioural and experience relevance of the isovist area corresponds to "prospect" in the prospect-refuge theory. The perimeter and maximum visibility length also quantify the prospect theory. Older adults experienced a non-stress physiological response when the environment offers a configuration conducive to attaining a larger view, while a stress physiological response is experienced when the view is small. According to the prospect theory, being able to "fetch" information from all spaces at an observation point in a large space induces a sense of security. This explains why older adults experienced non-stress physiological response when prospect elements (isovist area, perimeter, and maximum visibility length) increase.

Isovist area, compactness and maximum visibility became increasingly influential when the field of view increases. This could be because the distribution of visuospatial information increases with an increasing field of view. The complexity and mystery in the environment become more relevant when the field of view increases which can either cause humans to

175

display preference or aversion depending on the varying proportions of the elements in the spatial configuration. Specifically, older adults experienced physiological stress when spatial complexity increases (i.e., increased compactness); this physiological stress due to complexity is even more likely when the field of view increases.

This study demonstrates that the multi-objective evolutionary rule-based system has the potential to generate visuospatial configurations that produce a specific visuospatial effect. A more critical look into the generated rules shows that weakness in any specific quality (e.g., lack of prospect elements due to layout restrictions) can be compensated for with the strength in others (e.g., increasing the value of mystery).

7.5.1 Comparison with Similar Studies

Previous researchers (as shown in Table 7.6) that have studied this topic mainly focused on younger adults with an average age of about 25 years. These studies were conducted in Switzerland, Germany, and Hong Kong. Interestingly, there are some differences and commonalities between the impact of visuospatial configurations on younger adults and older adults.

Study 1 and Study 5 finds that younger adults prefer urban spaces that are enclosed in order for them to feel safe. These findings on younger adults are contrary to the current finding on older adults; older adults feel claustrophobic (leading to physiological stress) when the urban spaces are too enclosed or when they are too close to a physical boundary (e.g., a wall). Older adults show a preference for spaces that are not too enclosed with more open edges in order for them to be seen by other pedestrians. Study 3 and Study 4 reports that younger adults perceived spaces with high visibility and perimeter to be stressful because they can be seen from a larger

| Study | Background | Visuospatial element | Influence |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Study 1: | Participants' mean age: | Compactness | Higher compactness causes |
| Li et al. | 25 (2.5 standard | | positive emotion |
| (2016) | deviation) | Maximum visibility | Higher visibility causes positive |
| | Experiment location: | | emotion |
| | Zürich, Switzerland | Refuge value | Enclosed urban spaces are very |
| | Data: Skin conductivity | (minimum visibility | important in fostering a sense of |
| | | or occlusivity) | security in pedestrians |
| Study 2: | Participants: Students | Occlusivity (60°) | Significant for predicting |
| Hijazi et (2016) | and lecturers | Derimeter (260°) | Significant for predicting |
| al. (2010) | Zürich Switzerland | rennieter (300) | negative emotional arousal |
| | Data: Skin conductivity | Compactness (360°) | Significant for predicting |
| | Data. Skill conductivity | compactices (500) | positive emotional arousal |
| | | Perimeter (60°) | Significant for predicting |
| | | · · / | positive emotional arousal |
| | | Occlusivity (60°) | Significant for predicting |
| | | - | positive emotional arousal |
| Study 3: | Participants' median | Visibility | Visibility is positively related to |
| Knöll et | age: 25 years (range 22 | | perceived urban stress |
| al. (2018) | to 35, 2.2 standard | Perimeter | Perimeter is positively related to |
| | deviation) | - • | perceived urban stress |
| | Experiment location: | Isovist vertices | Isovist vertices numbers relate |
| | Darmstadt, Germany | numbers (indicates | negatively to perceived urban |
| | collect perceived urban | the complexity) | stress |
| | stress | Visibility and | Outdoor spaces visibility and |
| | | perimeter | perimeter, which describe the |
| | | Vertices number | shape of a space and vertices |
| | | | number, which indicates the |
| | | | complexity of a shape, are more |
| | | | important isovist characteristics |
| | | | to explain perceived urban |
| G. 1 4 | | T | stress. |
| Study 4: | Participants' mean age: | Isovist area | High value of isovist area |
| O_{J} na et al. | Not provided Experiment location: | | resulted in an aroused |
| (2019) | Zürich Switzerland | Derimeter | Data was collected but result not |
| | Data: Skin conductivity | I crimeter | reported |
| | Data. Skill collader vity | Compactness | Data was collected but result not |
| | | e o mp we che o so | reported |
| | | Occlusivity | Data was collected but result not |
| | | 2 | reported |
| Study 5: | Participants' mean age: | Isovist area (90°) | Negatively related to negative |
| Xiang et | 24.77 years (0.718 | | emotion |
| al. (2020) | standard deviation) | Compactness | Insignificant |
| | Experiment location: | Isovist drift angle | Negatively related to negative |
| | Hong Kong | (90°) | emotion |

Table 7.6: Summary of previous studies

| Study | Background | Visuospatial element | Influence |
|-------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Data: Skin conductivity | Isovist drift | Negatively related to negative |
| | | magnitude (90°, | emotion |
| | | 120°, 180°) | |
| | | Max-radial (90°, | Negatively related to negative |
| | | 120°) | emotion |
| | | Occlusivity | Insignificant |
| | | Perimeter (90°, | Negatively related to negative |
| | | 120°) | emotion |
| | | Jaggedness (90°, | Positively related to negative |
| | | 120°, 180°) | emotion |
| | | Enclosure (refuge | To avoid negative emotions, a |
| | | value) | space must be enclosed to |
| | | | guarantee a |
| | | | sense of security |

area. In contrast, older adults experienced a non-stress physiological response when urban spaces have a larger view and perimeter because they are able to see all their surroundings which heighten the feeling of security. In summary, older adults prefer urban spaces where they can be seen, while younger adults prefer spaces where they cannot be seen.

While Study 1 and Study 2 conclude that higher compactness causes positive emotions for younger adults, this current study indicates that higher compactness causes physiological stress for older adults. The results from Study 3 shows that younger adults are more likely to perceive an urban space with low complexity (measured using isovist vertices numbers) as stressful. However, Study 5 presented that high complexity (measured using jaggedness) is related to younger adults' negative emotions. In this current study, older adults felt stressed when complexity (measured using jaggedness) increases.

While these differences are worth sharing, theoretically, it should be noted that the spatial layout, living arrangement, and cultural background in these countries are different, which can influence an individual's response. Methodologically, all these studies, including the presented study, were limited to two dimensional isovist which omits other relevant spatial factors. Study

1, 2, 4 and 5 used only physiological responses for their analysis and Study 3 used only perceived responses for their analysis. This study combined both perceived and physiological responses.

7.6 Chapter Summary

This chapter aimed to understand the influence of visuospatial configurations of urban space on older adults' physiological stress. The study further presented an integrated methodology based on machine learning and an evolutionary rule-based system to achieve this aim. The following conclusions were made. (1) Isovist minimum visibility, occlusivity, and isovist area are the most influential determinants of older adults' physiological response. (2) Older adults experienced non-stress physiological response when prospect elements (isovist area, perimeter, and maximum visibility length) increase. (3) Older adults feel stressed when the environment is too enclosed. (4) Isovist indicators can complement one another to achieve a specific physiological effect. (5) In comparing older adults and younger adults, older adults prefer urban configurations where they can be seen, while the younger adults prefer spaces where they cannot be seen. Overall, the findings from this study can be used to inform urban design and planning.

PART V: RESEARCH CONCLUSION

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS⁸

8.1 Summary of Research

With the current rate of population ageing and the ageing of built environment infrastructure double ageing—urban planners and municipal decision-makers need a more efficient approach to continuously assess and detect excessively demanding environmental conditions to promote active ageing. The overall goal of this research is (1) to enable practitioners to detect stressful older adults-environment interactions in near real-time and (2) to bring to the limelight the influence of urban environment configurations on older adults' stress response. Four specific

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., and Yung, E.H.K. (2021). Implementation of age-friendly initiatives in smart cities: Probing the barriers through a systematic review, *Built Environment Project and Asset Management*, *11*(*3*), 412-426. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/BEPAM-01-2020-0008</u>

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., and Yung, E.H.K. (2021). Age-friendly cities and communities: A critical review and future directions, *Ageing & Society*, *41*(1), 2242-2279. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X20000239</u>

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). Wearable sensing and mining of the informativeness of older adults' bodily responses to detect demanding environmental conditions, *Environment and Behavior*. (Under Review). E&B-20-0532.R2

⁸ This chapter is based on studies that are published or currently under consideration for publication.

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). The influence of urban visuospatial configuration on older adults' stress: A wearable physiological-perceived stress sensing and data mining based-approach, *Building and Environment*, 108298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.108298

Torku, A., Chan, A.P.C., Yung, E.H.K., Seo, J. (2021). Learning to detect older adults' environmental stress hotspots to improve neighbourhood mobility: A multimodal physiological sensing, machine learning and risk hotspot analysis-based approach, *Cities* (Under Review). JCIT-D-21-01443

objectives were identified to achieve this goal. The specific objectives are (1) to assess the informative of people's bodily responses (i.e., physiological, behavioural, and cognitive responses) to different environmental conditions, (2) to examine the relationships in older adult's bodily responses resulting from their interaction with the environment, (3) to detect older adults' stressful environmental interactions in near-real time, and (4) to examine the influence of visuospatial configuration of urban space on older adults' stress response. To achieve these objectives, this research harnessed the current advances in wearable sensing technologies to collect older adults' bodily responses to their interaction with the environment as a means of assessing and detecting environmental barriers. A summary of how the four specific objectives were achieved is presented in the following.

8.1.1 Objective 1: To Assess the Informative of People's Bodily Responses

This objective was achieved by extracting several features from sensed physiological (heart rate, heart rate variability, and electrodermal activity), cognitive (electroencephalography), and behavioural (foot plantar pressure distribution and contact forces, and 3-axis acceleration data) responses to different environmental conditions. A framework based on information entropy, symmetric uncertainty, correlation analysis, and Random Forest algorithm was developed to assess the informativeness of people's bodily response. The framework provides individual informative features and an optimum set of informative features with their respective performance.

The extracted features from the sensed physiological (heart rate, heart rate variability, and electrodermal activity), cognitive (electroencephalography), and behavioural (foot plantar pressure distribution and contact forces, and 3-axis acceleration data) responses were analysed using the developed framework to understand how much information a feature gained about

older adults' interaction and experience in the outdoor environment. The results show that older adults' physiological response is more informative than the cognitive and behavioural responses. The informativeness of the cognitive response was affected by the walking activity, and the gait abnormality among older adults affected their behavioural responses. The rest of the objectives only considered older adults' physiological responses.

8.1.2 Objective 2: To Examine the Relationships in Older Adult's Bodily Responses Resulting from their Interaction with the Environment

A Wilcoxon signed-rank test was conducted to understand whether the physiological responses to environmental conditions perceived as non-stress was statistically and significantly different from environmental conditions perceived as stress. Spatial clustering analysis was performed using Getis-Ord General G to confirm whether there is any spatial association in participants' physiological responses. A hot spot analysis using Getis-Ord Gi* statistics was conducted to determine locations on the path that stimulated a common physiological response among multiple participants. The study introduced a space-time pattern mining approach to spatiotemporally aggregate older adults' physiological responses.

The result indicated that, on average, participants experienced a statistically significant higher physiological response at environment conditions perceived as non-stress than environment conditions perceived as stress. The spatial clustering analysis and the space-time pattern mining confirmed that multiple participants' physiological responses are spatially associated and possess some common characteristics. The results further demonstrate that the relationships between older adults' physiological response and the environmental condition are less apparent at the individual level. An individual's pace, walking behaviour, level of observation, physical characteristics, gender, data source (i.e., the related organ) and time-dependent environmental

factors influenced their physiological responses to stress and non-stress environmental conditions. However, using collective sensing (aggregating multiple participants' physiological responses) can accommodate the individual variability and capture any normality in the data, which is indicative of an environment's condition. The collective physiological responses are consistent with the older adults' perceived assessment and the observers' audit of the environment's condition.

8.1.3 Objective 3: To Detect Older Adults' Stressful Environmental Interactions in Near-Real Time

Several machine learning algorithms, including Gaussian Support Vector Machine, Ensemble bagged tree, and deep belief network were trained and tested to detect (1) stress and non-stress human-environment interactions and (2) low-stress and high-stress human-environment interactions using an optimum set of informative features. The optimum set of informative features included older adults' physiological responses, environmental and location data; it was determined using the framework developed in Objective 1. Older adults' perceived stress assessment was used as labels. The Ensemble bagged tree algorithm achieved the best performance among the tested algorithms. The Ensemble bagged tree algorithm detected older adults' stressful interactions with an accuracy of 98.13% (for detecting stress and non-stress samples) and 98.25% (for detecting low and high-stress samples). The detected stressful interactions were visualised using kernel density estimation. Overall, the detected stress and high-stress samples matched older adults perceived stress assessment of the path. A simulation-based approach was used to detect areas within the study area that are sufficiently powered to detect stress hot spot that pose higher risk to older adults.

8.1.4 Objective 4: To Examine the Influence of Visuospatial Configuration of Urban Space on Older Adults' Stress Response

An integrated methodology based on machine learning and an evolutionary rule-based system was developed to further our understanding of the influence of visuospatial configurations of urban space on older adults' physiological stress. Isovist analysis was conducted to represent older adults' perceived visual elements in the urban environment from 90°, 120°, and 180° fields of view. Older adults' stress responses due to spatial factors were detected using their physiological-perceived stress and spatial clustering analysis.

The result revealed that isovist minimum visibility, occlusivity, and isovist area are the most influential determinants of older adults' physiological response. Older adults experienced a non-stress physiological response when prospect elements (isovist area, perimeter, and maximum visibility length) increase and older adults feel stressed when the environment is too enclosed. Isovist indicators can complement each other to achieve a specific physiological effect. In comparing older adults and younger adults, older adults prefer urban configurations where they can be seen, while younger adults prefer spaces where they cannot be seen.

8.2 Contribution to Knowledge, Practice and Impact

8.2.1 Contributions to Academia

The following models and frameworks were developed and tested in this study:

- An information mining-based methodological framework was developed to assess the relevance and informativeness of people's bodily responses
- A space-time pattern mining approach is introduced to spatiotemporally aggregate older adults' physiological responses
- An optimised environmental risk stress hot spot detection framework

• A machine learning and evolutionary rule-based system to examine the influence and generate visuospatial configurations that produce a specific physiological effect

The models and frameworks provide a computational foundation for future studies to develop applications and new computational approaches to improve neighbourhood walkability in smart and age-friendly cities. With increasing urban ageing, cities need to evolve and adapt; new computational approaches to urban system design and management have the opportunity to make cities the best environment to accommodate older adults.

8.2.2 Incorporating the Elderly-centric Wearable Sensing-based Approach into Urban Planning

This study shows that assessing the walkability or quality of the built environment features using either a site audit (i.e., EAST-HK, SWEAT-R, or other audit tools) or the elderly-centric wearable sensing-based approach alone does not provide a holistic perspective of the built environment for older adults. It is of paramount importance to measure both the exposure environment and the outcomes of the exposure to the environment in order to understand the potential effect of the built environment on people (Cerin et al., 2011). These findings indicate that the site audit is more accurate at assessing the exposure environment, but it is limited in assessing the outcome of the exposure. However, the elderly-centric wearable sensing-based approach is more accurate at assessing the outcome of the exposure but limited in assessing the exposure environment. For instance, the site auditors can identify demanding environmental conditions (i.e., environmental barriers), but they cannot differentiate between an environmental feature that is demanding for one person and non-demanding for another person. The elderly-centric wearable sensing-based approach can determine a person's reaction to

different environmental conditions or how different people react to the same environmental condition but cannot provide a detailed assessment of the underlying environmental conditions.

It is recommended that the elderly-centric wearable sensing-based approach should be used as an early warning system. If a location is detected as a high-risk stress hot spot, a system could notify urban planners or municipal decision-makers. Then, trained observers can use predefined protocols or tools (e.g., EAST-HK and SWEAT-R) to identify environmental barriers within these high-risk stress hot spots. While identifying these high-risk stress hot spots is essential, it is only the first step to creating an AFCC. How the identified environmental barriers are addressed is critical to improving the well-being and participation of older adults in outdoor activities. Table 8.1 presents a few recommendations based on the WHO AFCC guide (WHO, 2007) to address the identified environmental barriers in this study. Although these recommendations can be adapted and adopted in other cities and communities, it not a gold standard.

It is important to mention that the stress hot spots were identified through older adults-centred approach; this is motivated by the fact that involving older adults is very important in evaluating the age-friendliness of the environment (WHO, 2007; Torku et al., 2021). Therefore, urban planners should adopt a bottom-up approach—with a supportive top-down back-up—throughout the process of addressing these stress hot spots; in this way, older adults become place-makers.

| Domain | Environmental barrier | WHO (2007) Age-friendly guide |
|---------------|---|---|
| Functionality | Path condition (wet and slippery streets) | Well-maintained paths with smooth, level, and non-slip surface |
| | Path slope | The path width should be sufficient to accommodate wheelchairs |
| | Path obstruction | The path should have dropped curbs that taper off to be level with the road |
| | Major barriers (roadwork, steep staircases) | The path should be free from obstructions such as street vendors, parked |
| | Minor barriers (cracks, holes, bumps, | cars, trees, dog droppings, snow |
| | parking meters) | Pedestrians have priority of use |
| | Street crowd | |
| | Motor vehicles parked on footpath | |
| | Hawkers and shops on streets | |
| | Path width | |
| | Path material | |
| | Curb cut features | |
| | Permeability | |
| Safety | Pedestrian crossing | Roads should have a non-slip, regularly spaced pedestrian crossing |
| Survey | Traffic load | Roads should have well-designed and appropriately placed physical |
| | Traffic calming devices | structures, such as traffic islands, overpasses, or underpasses, to assist |
| | Streetlight | pedestrians in crossing busy roads |
| | Directional sign | Pedestrian crossing lights should allow sufficient time for older adults to |
| | Presence of people | cross the road |
| | Signs of crime/disorder | Pedestrian crossing lights should have visual and audio signals |
| | Stray dogs /other animals | Strict enforcement of traffic rules and regulations |
| | | Drivers should give way to pedestrians |
| | | Good street lighting and visible directional sign |
| | | Police patrols to ensure safety |
| | | Enforcement of by-laws, support for community and personal safety initiatives |
| Aesthetics | Views | Regular cleaning of city and community |
| | Building attractiveness | Enforce regulations to limit noise levels and unpleasant odours |
| | Attractive natural sights | - |

Table 8.1: Age-friendly recommendations to address environmental barriers

| | Streetscape Litter Graffiti Pollution (noise and air) | Well-maintained and safe green spaces with easily accessed seating, shelter, and toilet Graffiti removal |
|-------------|--|---|
| Destination | Follution (noise and air) Greenery Transport-related Public open space | Available and well-maintained outdoor seating spaced at regular intervals and patrolled to ensure safe access by all |
| | Recreational Government/public services Public facilities Commercial destinations | Services are easily accessed and located near older adults Special customer service arrangement for older adults |

8.2.3 Research Impact

In addition to the academic and practical significance, this study will have a sustained impact on (1) Older adults, (2) Society and community, (3) Urban planners and policymakers, (4) the economy.

Older Adults

The elderly-centric wearable sensing-based approach is centred on the older adults—it considers older adults as place-makers—therefore, they are engaged and directly involved in creating age-friendly interventions. Relying on these wearable sensors (especially the smart wristband) will cause less interference with their daily routines. Over the long term, this study will contribute to creating environments that are inclusive and accessible to promote active ageing.

Society and Community

As with many inclusive features, identifying older adults' stress hot spots and adopting agefriendly initiatives to address these stress hot spots could be advantageous for all generations. If a street is 'friendly' to older adults, it is likely to be 'friendly' to everyone. For example, a street that older adults find easy to use might be more walkable for someone carrying luggage or a parent with a toddler in a stroller.

Urban Planners and Policymakers

Currently, built environment assessments are conducted by trained observers (for neighbourhood inspection) from governmental departments. The intervals between assessments are generally long due to limited staff, budget and other resources. The combined use of the observational assessment approach and the elderly-centric wearable sensing-based

approach has the potential to improve neighbourhood assessment in cities and communities. The elderly-centric wearable sensing-based approach will enable urban planners and policymakers to identify stress risk hot spots; they can prioritise, plan, monitor, and allocate resources to these high-risk hot spots.

The Economy

In the long term, this study will provide a cost-effective approach to tackling double ageing. Ageing built environment infrastructure with high risk will be detected, and appropriate interventions can be taken to rectify their design or renovation. At the same time, more older adults—the fastest growing population—will age actively. An active ageing population will reduce the massive pressure on the already gridlocked medical, social welfare and elderly support services system in Hong Kong and worldwide.

8.3 Limitations and Future Research

Although the experiment findings are very promising, several limitations need to be mentioned. The number of participants is relatively small, and future studies should include larger and more diverse participants. The unequal number of male and female participants in the study may affect the results because gender can significantly impact human perception and physiological, behavioural, and cognitive responses to environmental conditions. The field experiment was conducted on a predefined path, and the environmental walk lasted for only a few days. In the urban environment, people decide whether to use a path or not; therefore, restricting older adults to a particular path may affect how they interact with the environment. For the purpose of this study, it was necessary to have older adults interact with the same path to facilitate a more direct comparison of their bodily response, their perceived assessments and

the observers' audit of the path. Future studies should consider collecting data in a free-form environment where participants are not be restricted to any particular path.

Although the wearable sensors deployed in this study were demonstrated to the participants to increase their familiarity and acceptance of the sensors, there is a possibility that wearing some of the sensors, particularly the EEG headset in public, may have negatively influenced the data collection. Unlike the wristband and insole sensor, the EEG headset is not a subtle device. The public's reactions towards the participants wearing this sensor may have caused discomfort and stress to the participants. This shows that the wider public's perception and acceptance of wearable sensors are critical for effective elderly-centric sensing in outdoor environments. The EEG headset used in this had wet electrodes, which means that a conductive gel must be applied between the electrode and the scalp for a reliable measurement. Because this study was conducted in a naturalistic environment, the conductive gel might have dehydrated, which might have affected the stability of the EEG sensor of some participants. Future studies should explore the possibility of using other types of electrodes.

Further research should be conducted to understand the influence of surface characteristic and appearance (e.g., material, texture, and colour) on older adults' physiological stress. The generative potential of the multi-objective evolutionary algorithm should be exploited to generate geometrical designs with specific physiological effects that can fit into new or existing space in the urban environment. Conducting this research in a real-world setting makes it impossible to ensure that all participants experienced the same environmental conditions. However, conducting this research in a real-world setting was necessary to achieve ecological validity. Future researchers should explore a hybrid environmental condition, i.e., a combination of real-world and virtual environment settings.
TINETTI ASSESSMENT TOOL

Tinetti Assessment Tool: Balance

PARTICIPANT NAME:

| Initia | <i>l Instructions:</i> Subject is seated on a hard, arml | ess chair. The followi | ng maneuvers are | tested. |
|---|--|------------------------|------------------|---------|
| TASK | DESCRIPTION OF BALANCE | Possible | Score | Date |
| 1. SITTING BALANCE | Leans or slides in chair | 0 | | |
| | Steady, safe | 1 | | |
| 2. RISES FROM CHAIR | Unable without help | 0 | | |
| | Able, uses arms to help up | 1 | | |
| | Able without using arms | 2 | | |
| 3. ATTEMPTS TO RISE | Unable without help | 0 | | |
| FROM CHAIR | Able, requires > 1 attempt | 1 | | |
| | Able to rise in 1 attempt | 2 | | |
| 4. IMMEDIATE STANDING BALANCE | Unsteady (swaggers, moves feet, trunk | 0 | | |
| (first 5 seconds) | Steady but uses walker or other support | 1 | | |
| | Steady without walker or other support | 2 | | |
| 5. STANDING | Unsteady | 0 | | |
| BALANCE | Steady but wide stance (heels 4 inches | 1 | | |
| | Narrows stance without support | 2 | | |
| 6. NUDGED (subject at max position with | Begins to fall | 0 | | |
| feet as close together as possible, examiner pushes lightly on subject's sternum with palm of hand 3 times) | Staggers, grabs, catches self | 1 | | |
| | Steady | 2 | | |
| 7. EYES CLOSED (at | Unsteady | 0 | | |
| max position – see #6 above) | Steady | 1 | | |
| 8. TURNING 360 | Discontinuous steps | 0 | | |
| DEGREES | Continuous steps | 1 | | |
| | Unsteady (grabs, swaggers) | 0 | | |
| | Steady | 1 | | |
| 9. SITTING DOWN | Unsafe (misjudged distance, falls into Chair) | 0 | | |
| | Uses arms or not a smooth motion | 1 | | |
| | Safe, smooth motion | 2 | | |
| | BAL | ANCE SCORES: | | |

| DATE OF ASSESSMENT | ASSESSOR SIGNATURE AND TITLE | LOCATION DURING ASSESSMENT |
|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | |

Tinetti Assessment Tool: Gait

PARTICIPANT NAME: _

Initial Instructions: Subject stands with examiner, walks down the hallway or across the room, first at "usual" pace, then back at "rapid but safe" pace. Use usual walking aid.

| TASK | DESCRIPTION OF BALANCE | | Possible | Score | Date |
|---|---|----------------------|----------------|-------|------|
| 10. INITIATION OF | Any hesitancy or multiple | attempts to | 0 | | |
| (immediately after told to "go) | No hesitancy | | 1 | | |
| 11. STEP LENGTH AND HEIGHT | RIGHT swing food does n left stance foot wi | not pass ith step | 0 | | |
| | RIGHT foot passes left sta | ance foot | 1 | | |
| | RIGHT foot does not clea completely with s | r floor tep | 0 | | |
| | RIGHT foot completely c | lears floor | 1 | | |
| | LEFT swing foot does not Stance foot with ste | t pass right p | 0 | | |
| | LEFT foot passes right sta | ance foot | 1 | | |
| | LEFT foot does not clear Completely with ste | floor p | 0 | | |
| | LEFT foot completely cle | ars floor | 1 | | |
| 12. STEP SYMMETRY | RIGHT AND LEFT step length not equal (estimate) | | 0 | | |
| | RIGHT AND LEFT step appear equal | | 1 | | |
| 13. STEP CONTINUITY | Stopping or discontinuity steps | between | 0 | | |
| | Steps appear to continue | | 1 | | |
| 14. PATH (estimated | Marked deviation | | 0 | | |
| in relation to floor tiles, 12-inch diameter. | Mild/moderate deviation of walking aid | or uses | 1 | | |
| Observe excursion of 1 foot over about 10 feet of the course) | Straight without walking aid | | 2 | | |
| 15. TRUNK | Marked sway or uses wall | king aid | 0 | | |
| | No sway – but flexion of knees or back, or spreads arms out while walking No sway, no flexion, no use of arms, and no use of walking aid | | 1 | | |
| | | | 2 | | |
| 16. WALKING STANCE | Heels apart | | 0 | | |
| | Heels almost touching wh | ile walking | 1 | | |
| | | | Score – GAIT: | | |
| | | Sc | ore – BALANCE: | | |
| | | Total Score: BA | LANCE & GAIT: | | |

| DATE OF ASSESSMENT | ASSESSOR SIGNATURE AND TITLE | LOCATION DURING ASSESSMENT |
|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | |

THE MINI-MENTAL STATE EXAMINATION

The Original Version of the Mini-Mental State Examination

| | | "MINI-MENTAL STATE" |
|---------|----------|---|
| Maximum | Achieved | |
| Score | Score | |
| | | ORIENTATION |
| 5 | | What is the (year) (season) (date) (day) (month)? |
| 5 | | Where are we: (state) (county) (town) (hospital) (floor). |
| | | |
| | | REGISTRATION |
| 3 | | Name 3 objects: 1 second to say each. Then ask the patient all 3 after you have said them. Give 1 point for each correct answer. Then repeat them until he learns all 3. Count trials and record. |
| | | |
| | | ATTENTION AND CALCULATION |
| 5 | | Serial 7's. 1 point for each correct. Stop after 5 answers. Alternatively spell "world" backwards. |
| | | |
| | | RECALL |
| 3 | | Ask for the 3 objects repeated above. Give 1 point for each correct. |
| | | |
| | | LANGUAGE |
| 9 | | Name a pencil, and watch (2 points) |
| | | Repeat the following "No ifs, ands or buts." (1 point) |
| | | Follow a 3-stage command: "Take a paper in your right hand, fold it in half, and put it on the floor" (3 points) |
| | | Read and obey the following: CLOSE YOUR EYES (1 point) Write a sentence (1 point) Copy design (1 point) |
| | | |
| 30 | | Total score |

The Cantonese Version of the Mini-Mental State Examination

| | | | | 簡短智能測驗 |
|---|----------|---|-----|---|
| | 最高 分數 | 分 | 數 | |
| | 5 | (|) | 依家係乜野日子(年份)(季節)(月份)(幾號)(星期幾)? |
| | 5 | (|) | 我地依家係邊喥? (九龍/新界/香港)(九龍/新界/香港既邊度)(醫院)(邊層樓)(病房) 或:(九龍/新界/香港)(九龍/新界/香港既邊度)(邊一科診所)(診所名字) (邊層樓) 或:(九龍/新界/香港)(九龍/新界/香港既邊度)(邊條街)(邊一座)(邊層樓) 或:(九龍/新界/香港)(九龍/新界/香港既邊度)(邊個屋村)(中心名字) (邊層樓) |
| | 3 | (|) | 依家我會講三樣野既名,講完之後,請你重複一次。 請記住佢地,因爲幾分鐘後,我會叫你再講番俾我聽。 [蘋果]、[報紙]、[火車]。依家請你講番哩三樣野俾我聽。 (以第一次講的計分,一個一分;然後重複物件,直至全部三樣 都記住。) |
| | 5 | (|) | 請你用一百減七,然後再減七,一路減落去,直至我叫你停為止。 (減五次後便停) () 或:依家我讀幾個數目俾你聽,請你倒轉頭講番出黎。 [42731] () |
| | 3 | (|) | 我頭先叫你記住既三樣野係乜野呀? |
| ÷ | 9 | (|) | 哩樣係乜野?(鉛筆)(手錄)。(2) 請你跟我講句說話[姨丈買魚腸](1) 依家檯上面有一張紙。用你既右手拿起張紙,用兩隻手一齊將 紙摺成一半,然後放番張紙係檯上面。(3) 請讀出哩張紙上面既字,然後照住去做。(1) 請你講任何一句完整既句子俾我聽。例如:[我係一個人]、 [今日天氣好好]。(1) 哩處有幅圖,請你照住黎畫啦。(1) |
| | 打 | 白 | 1., | 總分: 手 |

APPENDIX C

INTEGRATED VERSION OF THE EAST-HK WITH SWEAT-R ASSESSMENT TOOL

| Observer ID | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| Date (mm/dd/yy) | | |
| Segment ID | | |
| Start time | | |
| Temperature (°C) | | |
| Humidity (%) | | |
| Is it raining? | | |
| Weather (eg. Grey & misty; Sunny & | | |
| warm; Sunny & cold; Very sunny & | | |
| warm; Cloudy & cold) | | |
| | | |
| FUNCTIONALITY | | |
| | | |
| Buildings | Response | Notes |
| | (Mark as appropriate) | |
| Building type | | |
| Single-family home | | |
| Multi-family home | | |
| 4–6 floors apartment blocks | | |
| 7–12 floors apartment blocks | | |

| 13–20 floors apartment blocks | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Over 20 floors apartment blocks | | |
| | | |
| Walking surface | | |
| Type of path | | |
| Bike lanes | | |
| Footpaths | | |
| Covered footpaths | | |
| Indoor air-conditioned places for | | |
| walking | | |
| Bridge/overpass or tunnel | | |
| | | |
| Path condition | | |
| Footpaths well-maintained | | |
| Wet and slippery streets | | |
| | | |
| Path slope | | |
| Hilly streets | Flat/Gently | |
| | Moderate | |
| | Steep | |
| | | |
| Path obstructions | | |
| Major barriers (roadwork, steep | | |
| staircases) | | |
| Minor barriers (cracks, holes, bumps, | | |
| parking meters) | | |
| Street crowded | (Give an estimate of | |
| | number of | |
| | people/moving objects | |
| | per minutes if | |
| | possible) | |
| Motor vehicles parked on footpath | | |
| Hawkers and shops on streets | | |
| | | |

| Path width (in meters) | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--|
| | | |
| Path material | | |
| Concrete/Asphalt | | |
| Brick/Tile | | |
| Dirt/Gravel/Grass/Lawn | | |
| Other | | |
| | | |
| Curb cut features | | |
| Presence of a curb cut | | |
| Groove or bumps | | |
| Colour contrast with ground surface | | |
| Material contrast with ground surface | | |
| Measured maximum curb height at this | | |
| segment (in inches) | | |
| | | |
| Permeability | | |
| Street connectivity | | |
| Cul-de-sacs | | |
| More than 3 intersections in segment | | |
| | | |
| Other points of access | | |
| Need to cross bridge/overpass to access | | |
| services | | |
| | | |
| Overall Rating for Functionality | Poor | |
| | Moderate | |
| | Good | |
| | | |
| SAFETY | | |
| | | |
| Personal | | |
| Street lighting | (count street light if present) | |

| Stray dogs /other animals | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| Presence of people | (Give an estimate of number of people if possible) | |
| Adults or teenagers | | |
| Older people | | |
| Children | | |
| People talking and greeting each other | | |
| | | |
| Signs of crime/disorder | | |
| People fighting | | |
| Prostitutes | | |
| Homeless people | | |
| Needles/syringes | | |
| | | |
| Traffic | | |
| Road type | | |
| Freeway | (Passing vehicles per minute) | |
| | | |
| Traffic load | | |
| Dirt/grass strip | | |
| Crossing aids | | |
| Parked vehicles make it difficult to see incoming traffic | | |
| Aggressive drivers | | |
| | | |
| Pedestrian safety | | |
| Traffic calming devices (stop light; traffic island; crosswalk) | | |
| Fence or dirt/grass strip | | |
| Crossing aids | | |

| Parked vehicles make it difficult to see | | |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| incoming traffic | | |
| Aggressive drivers | | |
| | | |
| Overall Rating for Safety | Poor | |
| | Moderate | |
| | Good | |
| | | |
| AESTHETICS | | |
| | | |
| Views | | |
| Building attractiveness | | |
| Attractive buildings | None | |
| | Few | |
| | Some | |
| | All/Almost | |
| | | |
| Abandoned/vacant buildings | None | |
| | Few | |
| | Some | |
| | All/Almost | |
| | | |
| Attractive natural sights | None | |
| | Few | |
| | Some | |
| | All/Almost | |
| | | |
| Streetscape | | |
| Litter | | |
| Litter | Yes, dominant feature | |
| | Yes, but not dominant | |
| | feature | |
| | None or almost none | |
| | | |

| Broken bottles and cans | Yes, dominant feature | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | Yes, but not dominant | |
| | feature | |
| | None or almost none | |
| | | |
| Dog/animal fouling | Yes, dominant feature | |
| | Yes, but not dominant | |
| | feature | |
| | None or almost none | |
| | | |
| Graffiti | Yes, dominant feature | |
| | Yes, but not dominant | |
| | feature | |
| | None or almost none | |
| | | |
| Pollution | | |
| Noise pollution | None | |
| | Low | |
| | Moderate | |
| | High | |
| | | |
| Air pollution | None | |
| | Low | |
| | Moderate | |
| | High | |
| | | |
| Presence of trees | (Count mature trees if | |
| | present) | |
| | | |
| Overall Rating for Aesthetics | Poor | |
| | Moderate | |
| | Good | |
| | | |
| DESTINATIONS | | |

| Transport-related | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| Parking lot | | |
| Public transit/bus stop | | |
| | | |
| Public open space | | |
| Parks | | |
| Beach | | |
| Playground | | |
| | | |
| Recreational | | |
| Outdoor sport fields | | |
| Swimming pool | | |
| Gym/fitness facility | | |
| | | |
| Government/public services | | |
| Museum | | |
| Community/elderly centre | | |
| Police department | | |
| Health services | | |
| Primary school | | |
| Other schools | | |
| Religious places | | |
| Library | | |
| Post-office | | |
| | | |
| Public facilities | | |
| Benches/places for sitting | | |
| Public toilets | | |
| | | |
| Commercial destinations | | |
| Convenience store | | |
| Supermarket | | |
| Fresh food | | |

| Hardware store | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|--|
| Clothing | | |
| Pharmacy | | |
| Book/stationary | | |
| Chained fast food | | |
| Chinese coffee/tea | | |
| Chinese non-fast food | | |
| Western/international non-fast food | | |
| Western/international coffee shop | | |
| Bakery | | |
| Hotel | | |
| Warehouse | | |
| Betting branches | | |
| Movies/theatre | | |
| Office buildings | | |
| Banks | | |
| DVD/video hire | | |
| Laundry | | |
| Salon/barber | | |
| | | |
| Overall Rating for Segment | Poor | |
| | Moderate | |
| | Good | |

REFERENCES

- Acharya, U. R., Joseph, K. P., Kannathal, N., Lim, C. M., & Suri, J. S. (2006). Heart rate variability: A review. *Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing*, 44(12), 1031-1051.
- Adolph, K. (2020). 48 ecological validity: mistaking the lab for real life. In Sternberg, R. (Ed.), My biggest research mistake: Adventures and misadventures in psychological research (pp. 187-190). US: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Aghaabbasi, M., Moeinaddini, M., Shah, M. Z., Asadi-Shekari, Z., & Kermani, M. A. (2018). Evaluating the capability of walkability audit tools for assessing sidewalks. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 37, 475-484.
- Agmon, M., & Armon, G. (2016). A cross-sectional study of the association between mobility test performance and personality among older adults. *BMC Geriatrics*, *16*(1), 105.
- Alberdi, A., Aztiria, A., & Basarab, A. (2016). Towards an automatic early stress recognition system for office environments based on multimodal measurements: A review. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 59, 49-75.
- Alley, D., Liebig, P., Pynoos, J., Banerjee, T., & Choi, I. H. (2007). Creating elder-friendly communities: Preparations for an aging society. *Journal of Gerontological Social Work*, 49(1-2), 1-18.
- Alvarsson, J. J., Wiens, S., & Nilsson, M. E. (2010). Stress recovery during exposure to nature sound and environmental noise. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 7(3), 1036-1046.
- Antwi-Afari, M. F., Li, H., Seo, J., & Wong, A. Y. L. (2018). Automated detection and classification of construction workers' loss of balance events using wearable insole pressure sensors. *Automation in Construction*, 96, 189-199.
- Appleton, J. (1975). The experience of landscape. London: John Wiley & Sons.
- Aspinall, P. A., Borooah, S., Al Alouch, C., Roe, J., Laude, A., Gupta, R., Gupta, M., Montarzino, A., & Dhillon, B. (2014). Gaze and pupil changes during navigation in agerelated macular degeneration. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, 98(10), 1393-1397.

- Austin, C., McClelland, R., Sieppert, J., Holinda, D., Hartley, D., & Flux, D. (2001). A Place to Call Home: The Final Report of the Elder Friendly Communities Project. Calgary, Canada: Faculty of Social Work, The University of Calgary.
- Bailey, A. W., Allen, G., Herndon, J., & Demastus, C. (2018). Cognitive benefits of walking in natural versus built environments. *World Leisure Journal*, *60*(4), 293-305.
- Barbosa, J., Tavares, J., Cardoso, I., Alves, B., & Martini, B. (2018). TrailCare: An indoor and outdoor Context-aware system to assist wheelchair users. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, *116*, 1-14.
- Barnett, A., Cerin, E., Ching, C. S., Johnston, J. M., & Lee, R. S. (2015). Neighbourhood environment, sitting time and motorised transport in older adults: A cross-sectional study in Hong Kong. *BMJ Open*, 5(4).
- Bassett, D. R., Schneider, P. L., & Huntington, G. E. (2004). Physical activity in an Old Order Amish community. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, *36*(1), 79-85.
- Batty, M. (2001). Exploring isovist fields: Space and shape in architectural and urban morphology. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 28(1), 123-150.
- Batty, M., & Marshall, S. (2012). The origins of complexity theory in cities and planning. In *Portugali J., Meyer H., Stolk E., Tan E. (eds) Complexity Theories of Cities have come of Age* (pp. 21-45). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer.
- Beil, K., & Hanes, D. (2013). The influence of urban natural and built environments on physiological and psychological measures of stress—A pilot study. *International Journal* of Environmental Research and Public Health, 10(4), 1250-1267.
- Benedek, M., & Kaernbach, C. (2010). A continuous measure of phasic electrodermal activity. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 190(1), 80-91.
- Benedikt, M. L. (1979). To take hold of space: Isovists and isovist fields. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 6(1), 47-65.
- Berto, R., Massaccesi, S., & Pasini, M. (2008). Do eye movements measured across high and low fascination photographs differ? Addressing Kaplan's fascination hypothesis. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 28(2), 185-191.
- Bilgel, M., Jedynak, B. M., & Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (2019). Predicting time to dementia using a quantitative template of disease progression. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring*, 11, 205-215.
- Birch, C. P., Oom, S. P., & Beecham, J. A. (2007). Rectangular and hexagonal grids used for observation, experiment and simulation in ecology. *Ecological Modelling*, 206(3-4), 347-359.
- Birenboim, A., Dijst, M., Scheepers, F. E., Poelman, M. P., & Helbich, M. (2019). Wearables and location tracking technologies for mental-state sensing in outdoor environments. *The Professional Geographer*, 1-13.

- Birenboim, A., Helbich, M., & Kwan, M. P. (2021). Advances in portable sensing for urban environments: Understanding cities from a mobility perspective. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 88, 101650.
- Bithell, J. F. (1990). An application of density estimation to geographical epidemiology. *Statistics in Medicine*, 9(6), 691-701.
- Bithell, J. F. (1991). Estimation of relative risk functions. *Statistics in Medicine*, *10*(11), 1745-1751.
- Borchers, J. O. (2008). A pattern approach to interaction design. In *Gill S. (eds) Cognition, Communication and Interaction* (pp. 114-131). London: Springer.
- Boucsein, W. (2012). Electrodermal activity. New York: Springer.
- Braun, B. J., Veith, N. T., Hell, R., Döbele, S., Roland, M., Rollmann, M., Holstein, J., & Pohlemann, T. (2015). Validation and reliability testing of a new, fully integrated gait analysis insole. *Journal of Foot and Ankle Research*, 8(1), 54.
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1977). Toward an experimental ecology of human development. *American Psychologist*, 32(7), 513–531.
- Brown, C. D., & Davis, H. T. (2006). Receiver operating characteristics curves and related decision measures: A tutorial. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*, 80(1), 24-38.
- Brownson, R. C., Hoehner, C. M., Day, K., Forsyth, A., & Sallis, J. F. (2009). Measuring the built environment for physical activity: state of the science. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, *36*(4), S99-S123.
- Brownson, R. C., Kelly, C. M., Eyler, A. A., Carnoske, C., Grost, L., Handy, S. L., Maddock, J. E., Pluto, D., Ritacco, B. A., Sallis, J. F., & Schmid, T. L. (2008). Environmental and policy approaches for promoting physical activity in the United States: A research agenda. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health*, 5(4), 488-503.
- Buller, I. D., Brown, D. W., Myers, T. A., Jones, R. R., & Machiela, M. J. (2021). sparrpowR: A flexible R package to estimate statistical power to identify spatial clustering of two groups and its application. *International Journal of Health Geographics*, 20(1), 1-7.
- Candra, H., Yuwono, M., Chai, R., Handojoseno, A., Elamvazuthi, I., Nguyen, H. T., & Su, S. (2015). Investigation of window size in classification of EEG-emotion signal with wavelet entropy and support vector machine. In 2015 37th Annual international conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC) (pp. 7250-7253). IEEE.
- Castro-Toledo, F. J., Perea-García, J. O., Bautista-Ortuño, R., & Mitkidis, P. (2017). Influence of environmental variables on fear of crime: Comparing self-report data with physiological measures in an experimental design. *Journal of Experimental Criminology*, *13*(4), 537-545.

- CDC (Centres for Disease Control and Prevention) (2019). *Subjective cognitive decline*—A *public health issue*. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/aging/data/subjective-cognitive-decline-brief.html (accessed 16 September 2021).
- CDC (Centres for Disease Control and Prevention) (2021). *About adult BMI*. https://www.cdc.gov/healthyweight/assessing/bmi/adult_bmi/index.html#InterpretedAd ults (accessed 16 September 2021).
- Cerin, E., Chan, K. W., Macfarlane, D. J., Lee, K. Y., & Lai, P. C. (2011). Objective assessment of walking environments in ultra-dense cities: development and reliability of the Environment in Asia Scan Tool—Hong Kong version (EAST-HK). *Health & Place*, *17*(4), 937-945.
- Chang, C. Y., Hammitt, W. E., Chen, P. K., Machnik, L., & Su, W. C. (2008). Psychophysiological responses and restorative values of natural environments in Taiwan. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 85(2), 79-84.
- Chen, Z., He, Y., & Yu, Y. (2016). Enhanced functional connectivity properties of human brains during in-situ nature experience. *PeerJ*, *4*, e2210.
- Chen, Z., Schulz, S., Qiu, M., Yang, W., He, X., Wang, Z., & Yang, L. (2018). Assessing affective experience of in-situ environmental walk via wearable biosensors for evidence-based design. *Cognitive Systems Research*, *52*, 970-977.
- Chiang, Y. C., Sullivan, W., & Larsen, L. (2017). Measuring neighborhood walkable environments: A comparison of three approaches. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 14(6), 593.
- Chittaro, L., & Sioni, R. (2014). Affective computing vs. affective placebo: Study of a biofeedback-controlled game for relaxation training. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 72(8-9), 663-673.
- Chiu, H. F. K., Lam, L. C. W., Chi, I., Leung, T., Li, S. W., Law, W. T., Chung, D. W. S., Fung, H. H. L., Kan, P. S., Lum, C. M., Ng, J., & Lau, J. (1998). Prevalence of dementia in Chinese elderly in Hong Kong. *Neurology*, 50(4), 1002-1009.
- Chiu, H. F., Lee, H. C., Chung, W. S., & Kwong, P. K. (1994). Reliability and validity of the Cantonese version of mini-mental state examination-a preliminary study. *Hong Kong Journal of Psychiatry*, 4(2), 25.
- Chrisinger, B. W., & King, A. C. (2018). Stress experiences in neighborhood and social environments (SENSE): A pilot study to integrate the quantified self with citizen science to improve the built environment and health. *International Journal of Health Geographics*, 17(1), 17.
- Chun, J. A., Psarras, S., & Koutsolampros, P. (2019). Agent based simulation for 'Choice of Seats': A Study on the Human Space Usage Pattern. In Proceedings of the 12th International Space Syntax Symposium. International Space Syntax Symposium. Beijing, China.
- Cottet, M., Vaudor, L., Tronchère, H., Roux-Michollet, D., Augendre, M., & Brault, V. (2018). Using gaze behavior to gain insights into the impacts of naturalness on city dwellers'

perceptions and valuation of a landscape. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 60, 9-20.

- Crosby, F., & Hermens, F. (2018). Does it look safe? An eye tracking study into the visual aspects of fear of crime. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 1747021818769203.
- Cunningham, G. O., Michael, Y. L., Farquhar, S. A., & Lapidus, J. (2005). Developing a reliable senior walking environmental assessment tool. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 29(3), 215-217.
- Dagnelie, G. (2011). Visual prosthetics: Physiology, bioengineering, rehabilitation. US: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Dai, C., & Lian, Z. (2018). The effects of sound loudness on subjective feeling, sympathovagal balance and brain activity. *Indoor and Built Environment*, 27(9), 1287-1300.
- Davies, T. M., Marshall, J. C., & Hazelton, M. L. (2018). Tutorial on kernel estimation of continuous spatial and spatiotemporal relative risk. *Statistics in Medicine*, 37(7), 1191-1221.
- Dawes, M. J., & Ostwald, M. J. (2013). Using isovists to analyse Prospect-Refuge Theory: Measuring Spatio-Visual Relations in Wright's Heurtley House. *The International Journal of the Constructed Environment*, 1(3), 25-40.
- Dawes, M. J., & Ostwald, M. J. (2014). Prospect-Refuge theory and the textile-block houses of Frank Lloyd Wright: An analysis of spatio-visual characteristics using isovists. *Building and Environment*, 80, 228-240.
- Deb, K., Anand, A., & Joshi, D. (2002). A computationally efficient evolutionary algorithm for real-parameter optimization. *Evolutionary Computation*, *10*(4), 371-395.
- Denoeux, T. (1995). A k-nearest neighbor classification rule based on Dempster-Shafer theory. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, 25(5), 804-813.
- Dietterich, T. G. (2000). An experimental comparison of three methods for constructing ensembles of decision trees: Bagging, boosting, and randomization. *Machine Learning*, 40(2), 139-157.
- Ding, C., & Jiang, Y. (2020). The relationship between body mass index and physical fitness among Chinese University students: Results of a longitudinal study. *Healthcare (Basel)* 8(4), 570.
- Dixon, P. C., Jacobs, J. V., Dennerlein, J. T., & Schiffman, J. M. (2018). Late-cueing of gait tasks on an uneven brick surface impacts coordination and center of mass control in older adults. *Gait & Posture*, 65, 143-148.
- Doshi, M., & Chaturvedi, S. K. (2014). Correlation based feature selection (CFS) technique to predict student Performance. *International Journal of Computer Networks & Communications*, 6(3), 197.

- Duchowny, K., Clarke, P., Gallagher, N. A., Adams, R., Rosso, A. L., & Alexander, N. B. (2019). Using mobile, wearable, technology to understand the role of built environment demand for outdoor mobility. *Environment and Behavior*, 51(6), 671-688.
- Dupont, L., Ooms, K., Duchowski, A. T., Antrop, M., & Van Eetvelde, V. (2017). Investigating the visual exploration of the rural-urban gradient using eye-tracking. *Spatial Cognition* & Computation, 17(1-2), 65-88.
- Elderly Commission (2020). *Report on healthy ageing executive summary*. Available at: https://www.elderlycommission.gov.hk/en/library/Ex-sum.ht (accessed 16 September 2021).
- Elderly Health Service (2016). *Elderly health service-activity and elder, dementia, Department of Health, Hong Kong.* Available at: https://www.elderly.gov.hk/english/common_health_problems/dementia/dementia.html (accessed 16 September 2021).
- Elsadek, M., Sun, M., Sugiyama, R., & Fujii, E. (2019). Cross-cultural comparison of physiological and psychological responses to different garden styles. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, *38*, 74-83.
- Emotiv (2019). *EPOC+ headset details*. Available at: https://emotiv.gitbook.io/epoc-usermanual/using-headset/epoc+_headset_details (accessed 5 July 2019).
- Empatica (2019a). *Real-time physiological signals, E4 EDA/GSR sensor*. Available at: https://www.empatica.com/research/e4/ (accessed 2 July 2019).
- Empatica (2019b). *E4 wristband technical specifications–Empatica Support*. Available at: https://support.empatica.com/hc/en-us/articles/202581999-E4-wristband-technical-specifications (accessed 2 July 2019).
- Empatica (2019c). *Wear your E4 wristband–Empatica support*. Available at: https://support.empatica.com/hc/en-us/articles/206374015-Wear-your-E4-wristband-(accessed 2 July 2019).
- Ensor, J., Burke, D. L., Snell, K. I., Hemming, K., & Riley, R. D. (2018). Simulation-based power calculations for planning a two-stage individual participant data metaanalysis. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, *18*(1), 1-16.
- Esri (2020a). *Emerging hot spot analysis (space time pattern mining)-ArcGIS Pro, Documentation*. Available at: https://pro.arcgis.com/en/pro-app/tool-reference/space-time-pattern-mining/emerginghotspots.htm (accessed 10 September 2020).
- Esri (2020b). *How kernel density works—ArcMap, Documentation*. Available at: https://desktop.arcgis.com/en/arcmap/latest/tools/spatial-analyst-toolbox/how-kernel-density-works.htm (accessed 10 November 2020).
- Feldman, P. H., & Oberlink, M. R. (2003). The AdvantAge initiative: Developing community indicators to promote the health and well-being of older people. *Family & Community Health*, 26(4), 268-274.

- Fernando, W. S., & Hazelton, M. L. (2014). Generalizing the spatial relative risk function. *Spatial and Spatio-Temporal Epidemiology*, 8, 1-10.
- Folstein, M. F., Folstein, S. E., & McHugh, P. R. (1975). "Mini-mental state": A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 12(3), 189-198.
- Forsyth, A. 2015. "What is a walkable place? The walkability debate in urban design." *Urban Design International 20*(4): 274-292.
- Franěk, M., & Režný, L. (2017). The effect of priming with photographs of environmental settings on walking speed in an outdoor environment. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *8*, 73.
- Franěk, M., Režný, L., Šefara, D., & Cabal, J. (2018b). Effect of traffic noise and relaxations sounds on pedestrian walking speed. *International Journal of Environmental Research* and Public Health, 15(4), 752.
- Franěk, M., Šefara, D., Petružálek, J., Cabal, J., & Myška, K. (2018a). Differences in eye movements while viewing images with various levels of restorativeness. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 57, 10-16.
- Franz, G., & Wiener, J. M. (2005). Exploring isovist-based correlates of spatial behavior and experience. In van Nes, A. (Ed.) 5th International Space Syntax Symposium (pp. 503-517). Techne Press, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Friedl, M. A., & Brodley, C. E. (1997). Decision tree classification of land cover from remotely sensed data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *61*(3), 399-409.
- Fujiyama, T., & Tyler, N. (2010). Predicting the walking speed of pedestrians on stairs. *Transportation Planning and Technology*, 33(2), 177-202.
- Gaire, N., Sharifi, M. S., Christensen, K. M., Chen, A., & Song, Z. (2017). Walking behavior of individuals with and without disabilities at right-angle turning facility. *Journal of Accessibility and Design for All*, 7(1), 56-75.
- Gehl, J. (2011). Life between buildings: Using public space. Island press.
- Getis, A., & Ord, J. K. (2010). The analysis of spatial association by use of distance statistics. In L. Anselin, S. Rey (Eds.), Perspectives on spatial data analysis (pp. 127-145). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Gibson, J. J. (1977). The theory of affordances. Hilldale, USA, 1(2), 67-82.
- Gidlow, C. J., Jones, M. V., Hurst, G., Masterson, D., Clark-Carter, D., Tarvainen, M. P., Smith, G., & Nieuwenhuijsen, M. (2016b). Where to put your best foot forward: Psychophysiological responses to walking in natural and urban environments. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 45, 22-29.
- Gidlow, C. J., Randall, J., Gillman, J., Smith, G. R., & Jones, M. V. (2016a). Natural environments and chronic stress measured by hair cortisol. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 148, 61-67.

- Gisbert, F. J. G. (2003). Weighted samples, kernel density estimators and convergence. *Empirical Economics*, 28(2), 335-351.
- Gladwell, V. F., Brown, D. K., Barton, J. L., Tarvainen, M. P., Kuoppa, P., Pretty, J., Suddaby, J. M., & Sandercock, G. R. H. (2012). The effects of views of nature on autonomic control. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 112(9), 3379-3386.
- Gladwell, V., Kuoppa, P., Tarvainen, M., & Rogerson, M. (2016). A lunchtime walk in nature enhances restoration of autonomic control during night-time sleep: Results from a preliminary study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 13(3), 280.
- Grassini, S., Revonsuo, A., Castellotti, S., Petrizzo, I., Benedetti, V., & Koivisto, M. (2019). Processing of natural scenery is associated with lower attentional and cognitive load compared with urban ones. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 62, 1-11.
- Greenwood, A., & Gatersleben, B. (2016). Let's go outside! Environmental restoration amongst adolescents and the impact of friends and phones. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 48, 131-139.
- Grimmer, M., Riener, R., Walsh, C. J., & Seyfarth, A. (2019). Mobility related physical and functional losses due to aging and disease-a motivation for lower limb exoskeletons. *Journal of Neuroengineering and Rehabilitation*, *16*(1), 1-21.
- Guenther, N., & Schonlau, M. (2016). Support vector machines. *The Stata Journal*, *16*(4), 917-937.
- Gullón, P., Badland, H. M., Alfayate, S., Bilal, U., Escobar, F., Cebrecos, A., Diez, J., & Franco, M. (2015). Assessing walking and cycling environments in the streets of Madrid: Comparing on-field and virtual audits. *Journal of Urban Health*, 92(5), 923-939.
- Hagedorn, R. (2001). Foundations for practice in occupational therapy. Edinburgh, UK: Elsevier.
- Hall, D. L., & Llinas, J. (1997). An introduction to multisensor data fusion. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 85(1), 6-23.
- Hall, M. A. (1999). *Correlation-based feature selection for machine learning* [Doctoral dissertation, Department of Computer Science, Waikato University, New Zealand].
- Hamed, K. H. (2009). Exact distribution of the Mann–Kendall trend test statistic for persistent data. *Journal of Hydrology*, *365*(1-2), 86-94.
- Han, T. S., Tijhuis, M. A., Lean, M. E., & Seidell, J. C. (1998). Quality of life in relation to overweight and body fat distribution. *American Journal of Public Health*, 88(12), 1814-1820.
- Handy, S. L., Boarnet, M. G., Ewing, R., & Killingsworth, R. E. (2002). How the built environment affects physical activity: views from urban planning. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 23(2), 64-73.
- Hanson, D., & Emlet, C. A. (2006). Assessing a community's elder friendliness: a case example of The AdvantAge Initiative. *Family & Community Health*, 29(4), 266-278.

- Harding E. D. (2007). *Towards Lifetime Neighbourhoods: Designing Sustainable Communities* for All. London: Department for Communities and Local Government.
- Hassan, M. M., Alam, M. G. R., Uddin, M. Z., Huda, S., Almogren, A., & Fortino, G. (2019). Human emotion recognition using deep belief network architecture. *Information Fusion*, 51, 10-18.
- Havard, C., & Willis, A. (2012). Effects of installing a marked crosswalk on road crossing behaviour and perceptions of the environment. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 15(3), 249-260.
- Healey, J. A., & Picard, R. W. (2005). Detecting stress during real-world driving tasks using physiological sensors. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 6(2), 156-166.
- Hedblom, M., Gunnarsson, B., Schaefer, M., Knez, I., Thorsson, P., & Lundström, J. N. (2019). Sounds of Nature in the City: No Evidence of Bird Song Improving Stress Recovery. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(8), 1390.
- Hemmingsson, E., & Ekelund, U. (2007). Is the association between physical activity and body mass index obesity dependent?. *International Journal of Obesity*, *31*(4), 663-668.
- Hietanen, J. K., Klemettilä, T., Kettunen, J. E., & Korpela, K. M. (2007). What is a nice smile like that doing in a place like this? Automatic affective responses to environments influence the recognition of facial expressions. *Psychological Research*, *71*(5), 539-552.
- Hijazi, I. H., Koenig, R., Schneider, S., Li, X., Bielik, M., Schmit, G. N. J., & Donath, D. (2016). Geostatistical analysis for the study of relationships between the emotional responses of urban walkers to urban spaces. *International Journal of E-Planning Research (IJEPR)*, 5(1), 1-19.
- Hoehner, C. M., Ramirez, L. K. B., Elliott, M. B., Handy, S. L., & Brownson, R. C. (2005). Perceived and objective environmental measures and physical activity among urban adults. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 28(2), 105-116.
- Hollander, J. B., Purdy, A., Wiley, A., Foster, V., Jacob, R. J., Taylor, H. A., & Brunyé, T. T. (2019). Seeing the city: using eye-tracking technology to explore cognitive responses to the built environment. *Journal of Urbanism: International Research on Placemaking and Urban Sustainability*, 12(2), 156-171.
- Hollander, J., & Foster, V. (2016). Brain responses to architecture and planning: A preliminary neuro-assessment of the pedestrian experience in Boston, Massachusetts. Architectural Science Review, 59(6), 474-481.
- Holleman, G. A., Hooge, I. T., Kemner, C., & Hessels, R. S. (2020). The 'real-world approach' and its problems: A critique of the term ecological validity. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 721.
- Holliday, K. M., Lin, D. Y., Chakladar, S., Castañeda, S. F., Daviglus, M. L., Evenson, K. R., Marqueze, D. X., Qi, Q., Shay, C. M., Sotres-Alvarez, D., Vidot, D. C., Zeng, D., & Avery, C. L. (2017). Targeting physical activity interventions for adults: When should intervention occur?. *Preventive Medicine*, 97, 13-18.

- Hong Kong Planning Department (2015, November). Hong Kong urban design guidelines. In *Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines*.
- Huang, J., Zhou, C., Zhuo, Y., Xu, L., & Jiang, Y. (2016). Outdoor thermal environments and activities in open space: An experiment study in humid subtropical climates. *Building and Environment*, 103, 238-249.
- Huisman, E. R., Morales, E., van Hoof, J., & Kort, H. S. (2012). Healing environment: A review of the impact of physical environmental factors on users. *Building and Environment*, 58, 70-80.
- Hunter, M. R. (2019). Urban nature experiences reduce stress in the context of daily life based on salivary biomarkers. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *10*, 722.
- Inclusive Design for Getting Outdoors (2010). Researching how the design of streets and neighbourhoods can make a difference to older people's wellbeing and quality of life. Available http://www.idgo.ac.uk/useful_resources/Publications/OPENspace_MTP_brochure_FIN AL.pdf (accessed 11 March 2019).
- Inclusive Design for Getting Outdoors (2013). The design of streets with older people in mind. Available at: http://www.idgo.ac.uk/design_guidance/streets.htm (accessed 11 March 2019).
- Irwin, A., Hall, D. A., Peters, A., & Plack, C. J. (2011). Listening to urban soundscapes: Physiological validity of perceptual dimensions. *Psychophysiology*, *48*(2), 258-268.
- Ishibuchi, H., & Yamamoto, T. (2004). Fuzzy rule selection by multi-objective genetic local search algorithms and rule evaluation measures in data mining. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 141(1), 59-88.
- James, G., Witten, D., Hastie, T., & Tibshirani, R. (2013). An introduction to statistical *learning* (Vol. 112, p. 18). New York: Springer.
- James, R., & O'Boyle, M. (2019). Graffiti and perceived neighborhood safety: A neuroimaging study. *Property Management*, *37*(1), 69-81.
- Jebelli, H., Hwang, S., & Lee, S. (2018). EEG-based workers' workers' stress recognition at construction sites. *Automation in Construction*, 93, 315-324.
- Jiang, B., Chang, C. Y., & Sullivan, W. C. (2014). A dose of nature: Tree cover, stress reduction, and gender differences. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *132*, 26-36.
- Jiménez, F., Martínez, C., Miralles-Pechuán, L., Sánchez, G., & Sciavicco, G. (2018). Multiobjective evolutionary rule-based classification with categorical data. *Entropy*, 20(9), 684.
- Jimenez, F., Sanchez, G., & Juarez, J. M. (2014). Multi-objective evolutionary algorithms for fuzzy classification in survival prediction. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, 60(3), 197-219.
- Jo, H., Rodiek, S., Fujii, E., Miyazaki, Y., Park, B. J., & Ann, S. W. (2013). Physiological and psychological response to floral scent. *HortScience*, *48*(1), 82-88.

- Kadali, B. R., & Vedagiri, P. (2016). Proactive pedestrian safety evaluation at unprotected midblock crosswalk locations under mixed traffic conditions. *Safety Science*, *89*, 94-105.
- Kalache, A., & Kickbusch, I. (1997). A global strategy for healthy ageing. *World Health*, 50(4), 4-5.
- Kaplan, S. (1988). Perception and landscape: Conceptions and misconceptions. In (*Ed. Nasar, J.*) *Environmental Aesthetics: Theory, research, and application.*
- Kelly, C. M., Wilson, J. S., Baker, E. A., Miller, D. K., & Schootman, M. (2013). Using Google Street View to audit the built environment: inter-rater reliability results. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 45(suppl_1), S108-S112.
- Kelsall, J. E., & Diggle, P. J. (1995). Kernel estimation of relative risk. *Bernoulli*, 1(1-2), 3-16.
- Kiefer, P., Giannopoulos, I., Raubal, M., & Duchowski, A. (2017). Eye tracking for spatial research: Cognition, computation, challenges. *Spatial Cognition & Computation*, 17(1-2), 1-19.
- Kihl, M., Breenan, D., Gabhawala, N., List, J., & Mittal, P. (2005). *Livable communities: An evaluation guide*. AARP Public Policy Institute, Washington DC.
- Kihl, M., Breenan, D., Gabhawala, N., List, J., & Mittal, P. (2005). *Livable communities: An evaluation guide*. Washington DC: AARP Public Policy Institute.
- Kim, G. W., & Jeong, G. W. (2014). Brain activation patterns associated with the human comfortability of residential environments: 3.0-T functional MRI. *Neuroreport*, 25(12), 915-920.
- Kim, G. W., Jeong, G. W., Kim, T. H., Baek, H. S., Oh, S. K., Kang, H. K., Lee, S. G., Kim, Y. S. & Song, J. K. (2010b). Functional neuroanatomy associated with natural and urban scenic views in the human brain: 3.0 T functional MR imaging. *Korean Journal of Radiology*, 11(5), 507-513.
- Kim, H., & Yi, Y. K. (2019). QuVue implementation for decisions related to high-rise residential building layouts. *Building and Environment*, 148, 116-127.
- Kim, H., Ahn, C. R., & Yang, K. (2016). A people-centric sensing approach to detecting sidewalk defects. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, *30*(4), 660-671.
- Kim, J., Ahn, C. R., & Nam, Y. (2019). The influence of built environment features on crowdsourced physiological responses of pedestrians in neighborhoods. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 75, 161-169.
- Kim, J., Ahn, C. R., & Nam, Y. (2019). The influence of built environment features on crowdsourced physiological responses of pedestrians in neighborhoods. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 75, 161-169.
- Kim, J., Yadav, M., Chaspari, T., & Ahn, C. R. (2020). Saliency detection analysis of collective physiological responses of pedestrians to evaluate neighborhood built environments. Advanced Engineering Informatics, 43, 101035.

- Kim, M., Cheon, S., & Kang, Y. (2019b). Use of Electroencephalography (EEG) for the Analysis of Emotional Perception and Fear to Nightscapes. *Sustainability*, *11*(1), 233.
- Kim, T. H., Jeong, G. W., Baek, H. S., Kim, G. W., Sundaram, T., Kang, H. K., Lee, S. W., Kim, H. J., & Song, J. K. (2010a). Human brain activation in response to visual stimulation with rural and urban scenery pictures: A functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Science of the Total Environment*, 408(12), 2600-2607.
- King, R. C., Villeneuve, E., White, R. J., Sherratt, R. S., Holderbaum, W., & Harwin, W. S. (2017). Application of data fusion techniques and technologies for wearable health monitoring. *Medical Engineering & Physics*, 42, 1-12.
- Kleckner, I. R., Jones, R. M., Wilder-Smith, O., Wormwood, J. B., Akcakaya, M., Quigley, K. S., Lord, C., & Goodwin, M. S. (2018). Simple, transparent, and flexible automated quality assessment procedures for ambulatory electrodermal activity data. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 65(7), 1460-1467.
- Knöll, M., Neuheuser, K., Cleff, T., & Rudolph-Cleff, A. (2018). A tool to predict perceived urban stress in open public spaces. *Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science*, 45(4), 797-813.
- Kobayashi, H., Song, C., Ikei, H., Kagawa, T., & Miyazaki, Y. (2015). Analysis of individual variations in autonomic responses to urban and forest environments. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 2015.
- Kobayashi, H., Song, C., Ikei, H., Park, B. J., Lee, J., Kagawa, T., & Miyazaki, Y. (2017). Population-based study on the effect of a forest environment on salivary cortisol concentration. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 14(8), 931.
- Kohonen, T. (2012). Self-organizing maps (Vol. 30). Springer Science & Business Media.
- Kohonen, T. (2013). Essentials of the self-organizing map. Neural Networks, 37, 52-65.
- Korpela, K. M., Klemettilä, T., & Hietanen, J. K. (2002). Evidence for rapid affective evaluation of environmental scenes. *Environment and Behavior*, *34*(5), 634-650.
- Kreibig, S. D. (2010). Autonomic nervous system activity in emotion: A review. *Biological Psychology*, 84(3), 394-421.
- Kuzmanovski, I., Dimitrovska-Lazova, S., & Aleksovska, S. (2007). Classification of perovskites with supervised self-organizing maps. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 595(1-2), 182-189.
- Kyriakou, K., Resch, B., Sagl, G., Petutschnig, A., Werner, C., Niederseer, D., Liedlgruber, M., Wilhelm, F.H., Osborne, T., & Pykett, J. (2019). Detecting moments of stress from measurements of wearable physiological sensors. *Sensors*, 19(17), 3805.
- Lacuesta, R., Garcia, L., García-Magariño, I., & Lloret, J. (2017). System to recommend the best place to live based on wellness state of the user employing the heart rate variability. *IEEE Access*, 5, 10594-10604.

- Lahat, D., Adali, T., & Jutten, C. (2015). Multimodal data fusion: an overview of methods, challenges, and prospects. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, *103*(9), 1449-1477.
- Längkvist, M., Karlsson, L., & Loutfi, A. (2014). A review of unsupervised feature learning and deep learning for time-series modeling. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 42, 11-24.
- Lao, S. S. W., Low, L. P. L., & Wong, K. K. Y. (2019). Older residents' perceptions of family involvement in residential care. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health* and Well-Being, 14(1), 1611298.
- Laumann, K., Gärling, T., & Stormark, K. M. (2003). Selective attention and heart rate responses to natural and urban environments. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 23(2), 125-134.
- Lawson, A. B. (2013). *Statistical methods in spatial epidemiology*. West Sussex, England: John Wiley & Sons.
- Lawton, M. P. (1982). Competence, environmental press, and the adaptation of older people. *Aging and the Environment: Theoretical Approaches*, 33-59.
- Lazarus, R. S. (1990). Theory-based stress measurement. Psychological Inquiry, 1(1), 3-13.
- Le Roux, N., & Bengio, Y. (2008). Representational power of restricted Boltzmann machines and deep belief networks. *Neural Computation*, 20(6), 1631-1649.
- Lee, G., Choi, B., Ahn, C. R., & Lee, S. (2020). Wearable biosensor and hotspot analysis– based framework to detect stress hotspots for advancing elderly's mobility. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 36(3), 04020010.
- Lee, J., Park, B. J., Ohira, T., Kagawa, T., & Miyazaki, Y. (2015). Acute effects of exposure to a traditional rural environment on urban dwellers: A crossover field study in terraced farmland. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 12(2), 1874-1893.
- Lee, J., Park, B. J., Tsunetsugu, Y., Kagawa, T., & Miyazaki, Y. (2009). Restorative effects of viewing real forest landscapes, based on a comparison with urban landscapes. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, 24(3), 227-234.
- Lewis, C. (1993). Balance, gait test proves simple yet useful. P.T. Bulletin, 2/10:9 & 40.
- Li, D., & Sullivan, W. C. (2016). Impact of views to school landscapes on recovery from stress and mental fatigue. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *148*, 149-158.
- Li, J., Wang, X., & Hovy, E. (2014). What a nasty day: Exploring mood-weather relationship from twitter. In proceedings of the 23rd ACM International Conference on Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (pp. 1309-1318).
- Li, W., Winter, P. L., Milburn, L. A., & Padgett, P. E. (2021). A dual-method approach toward measuring the built environment-sampling optimization, validity, and efficiency of using GIS and virtual auditing. *Health & Place*, 67, 102482.

- Li, X., Hijazi, I., Koenig, R., Lv, Z., Zhong, C., & Schmitt, G. (2016). Assessing essential qualities of urban space with emotional and visual data based on GIS technique. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, *5*(11), 218.
- Ling, K. K., & Lee, K. (2019). Tackling double-ageing with double-smart. *Journal of the Hong Kong Institute of Planners*, 33, 4-20.
- Liu, Y., Hu, M., & Zhao, B. (2019). Audio-visual interactive evaluation of the forest landscape based on eye-tracking experiments. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, *46*, 126476.
- Lou, W., Wang, X., Chen, F., Chen, Y., Jiang, B., & Zhang, H. (2014). Sequence based prediction of DNA-binding proteins based on hybrid feature selection using random forest and Gaussian naive Bayes. *PloS One*, *9*(1), e86703.
- Lu, S. Y., Lee, C. L., Lin, K. Y., & Lin, Y. H. (2018). The acute effect of exposure to noise on cardiovascular parameters in young adults. *Journal of Occupational Health*, 2017-0225.
- Lui, C. W., Everingham, J. A., Warburton, J., Cuthill, M., & Bartlett, H. (2009). What makes a community age-friendly: A review of international literature. *Australasian Journal on Ageing*, 28(3), 116-121.
- Luppino, F. S., de Wit, L. M., Bouvy, P. F., Stijnen, T., Cuijpers, P., Penninx, B. W., & Zitman, F. G. (2010). Overweight, obesity, and depression: A systematic review and metaanalysis of longitudinal studies. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 67(3), 220-229.
- Mair, C. A., Cutchin, M. P., & Peek, M. K. (2011). Allostatic load in an environmental riskscape: The role of stressors and gender. *Health & Place*, *17*(4), 978-987.
- Markevych, I., Thiering, E., Fuertes, E., Sugiri, D., Berdel, D., Koletzko, S., von Berg, A., Bauer, C. P., & Heinrich, J. (2014). A cross-sectional analysis of the effects of residential greenness on blood pressure in 10-year old children: results from the GINIplus and LISAplus studies. *BMC Public Health*, 14(1), 477.
- Marshall, S. (2012). Planning, design and the complexity of cities. In Portugali J., Meyer H., Stolk E., Tan E. (eds) Complexity Theories of Cities have Come of Age (pp. 191-205). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Martínez-Soto, J., Gonzales-Santos, L., Pasaye, E., & Barrios, F. A. (2013). Exploration of neural correlates of restorative environment exposure through functional magnetic resonance. *Intelligent Buildings International*, 5(sup1), 10-28.
- Mascetti, S., Civitarese, G., El Malak, O., & Bettini, C. (2020). SmartWheels: Detecting urban features for wheelchair users' navigation. *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, 62, 101115.
- Matsuda, Y., Fedotov, D., Takahashi, Y., Arakawa, Y., Yasumoto, K., & Minker, W. (2018). EmoTour: Estimating emotion and satisfaction of users based on behavioral cues and audiovisual data. *Sensors (Basel)*, 18(11).
- McLeod, A. I. (2005). Kendall rank correlation and Mann-Kendall trend test. *R Package Kendall*.

- Meilinger, T., Franz, G., & Bülthoff, H. H. (2012). From isovists via mental representations to behaviour: first steps toward closing the causal chain. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 39(1), 48-62.
- Melander, C. A., Kikhia, B., Olsson, M., Walivaara, B. M., & Savenstedt, S. (2018). The impact of using measurements of electrodermal activity in the assessment of problematic behaviour in dementia. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders Extra*, 8(3), 333-347.
- Menec, V. H., Means, R., Keating, N., Parkhurst, G., & Eales, J. (2011). Conceptualizing agefriendly communities. *Canadian Journal on Aging/La Revue Canadienne du Vieillissement*, 30(3), 479-493.
- Michael, Y. L., Keast, E. M., Chaudhury, H., Day, K., Mahmood, A., & Sarte, A. F. (2009). Revising the senior walking environmental assessment tool. *Preventive Medicine*, 48(3), 247-249.
- Mitchel, A. (2005). *The ESRI Guide to GIS analysis, Volume 2: Spatial measurements and statistics.* ESRI Press.
- Mitschke, V., Goller, J., & Leder, H. (2017). Exploring everyday encounters with street art using a multimethod design. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts, 11*(3), 276.
- Miyasike-daSilva, V., Allard, F., & McIlroy, W. E. (2011). Where do we look when we walk on stairs? Gaze behaviour on stairs, transitions, and handrails. *Experimental Brain Research*, 209(1), 73-83.
- Moniruzzaman, M., & Páez, A. (2016). An investigation of the attributes of walkable environments from the perspective of seniors in Montreal. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 51, 85-96.
- Moticon (2019). *Moticon support*. Available at: https://www.moticon.de/support#resources (accessed 5 July 2019).
- Moura, F., Cambra, P., & Gonçalves, A. B. (2017). Measuring walkability for distinct pedestrian groups with a participatory assessment method: A case study in Lisbon. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *157*, 282-296.
- Mourcou, Q., Fleury, A., Dupuy, P., Diot, B., Franco, C., & Vuillerme, N. (2013). Wegoto: A smartphone-based approach to assess and improve accessibility for wheelchair users. In 2013 35th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC) (pp. 1194-1197). IEEE.
- Mursalin, M., Zhang, Y., Chen, Y., & Chawla, N. V. (2017). Automated epileptic seizure detection using improved correlation-based feature selection with random forest classifier. *Neurocomputing*, 241, 204-214.
- Muzammal, M., Talat, R., Sodhro, A. H., & Pirbhulal, S. (2020). A multi-sensor data fusion enabled ensemble approach for medical data from body sensor networks. *Information Fusion*, *53*, 155-164.

- Myles, A. J., Feudale, R. N., Liu, Y., Woody, N. A., & Brown, S. D. (2004). An introduction to decision tree modeling. *Journal of Chemometrics: A Journal of the Chemometrics Society*, 18(6), 275-285.
- Neale, C., Aspinall, P., Roe, J., Tilley, S., Mavros, P., Cinderby, S., Coyne, R., Thin, N., Bennett, G., & Thompson, C. W. (2017). The aging urban brain: Analyzing outdoor physical activity using the Emotiv Affectiv suite in older people. *Journal of Urban Health*, 94(6), 869-880.
- Neisser, U. (1976). Cognition and reality. San Francisco: Freeman.
- New Zealand Ministry of Social Development (2007). *Positive ageing indicators 2007*. Wellington: Ministry of Social Development.
- Nweke, H. F., Teh, Y. W., Mujtaba, G., & Al-Garadi, M. A. (2019). Data fusion and multiple classifier systems for human activity detection and health monitoring: Review and open research directions. *Information Fusion*, *46*, 147-170.
- Oerbekke, M. S., Stukstette, M. J., Schütte, K., de Bie, R. A., Pisters, M. F., & Vanwanseele, B. (2017). Concurrent validity and reliability of wireless instrumented insoles measuring postural balance and temporal gait parameters. *Gait & Posture*, 51, 116-124.
- Oh, Y. A., Kim, S. O., & Park, S. (2019). Real Foliage Plants as Visual Stimuli to Improve Concentration and Attention in Elementary Students. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(5), 796.
- Ojha, V. K., Griego, D., Kuliga, S., Bielik, M., Buš, P., Schaeben, C., Treyer, L., Standfest, M., Schneider, S., König, R., & Donath, D. (2019). Machine learning approaches to understand the influence of urban environments on human's physiological response. *Information Sciences*, 474, 154-169.
- Onan, A., Korukoğlu, S., & Bulut, H. (2017). A hybrid ensemble pruning approach based on consensus clustering and multi-objective evolutionary algorithm for sentiment classification. *Information Processing & Management*, 53(4), 814-833.
- OpenStreetMap and Contributors (2019). Planet dump retrieved from https://planet.osm.org, Available at: https://www.openstreetmap.org (accessed 11 November 2019).
- Ord, J. K., & Getis, A. (1995). Local spatial autocorrelation statistics: distributional issues and an application. *Geographical Analysis*, 27(4), 286-306.
- Osborne, T., & Jones, P. I. (2017). Biosensing and geography: A mixed methods approach. *Applied Geography*, 87, 160-169.
- Ostwald, M. J., & Dawes, M. (2013). Prospect-refuge patterns in Frank Lloyd Wright's Prairie houses: Using isovist fields to examine the evidence. *The Journal of Space Syntax*, 4(1), 136-159.
- Ottosson, J., Lavesson, L., Pinzke, S., & Grahn, P. (2015). The significance of experiences of nature for people with parkinson's disease, with special focus on freezing of gait—The necessity for a biophilic environment. A multi-method single subject study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 12(7), 7274-7299.

- Pagliai, G., Sofi, F., Dinu, M., Sticchi, E., Vannetti, F., Lova, R. M., Ordovàs, J. M., Gori, A. M., Marcucci, R., Giusti, B., & Macchi, C. (2019). CLOCK gene polymorphisms and quality of aging in a cohort of nonagenarians–The MUGELLO Study. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 1472.
- Panicker, S. S., & Gayathri, P. (2019). A survey of machine learning techniques in physiology based mental stress detection systems. *Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering*, 39(2), 444-469.
- Papas, M. A., Alberg, A. J., Ewing, R., Helzlsouer, K. J., Gary, T. L., & Klassen, A. C. (2007). The built environment and obesity. *Epidemiologic Reviews*, 29(1), 129-143.
- Pedersen, E., & Johansson, M. (2018). Dynamic pedestrian lighting: Effects on walking speed, legibility and environmental perception. *Lighting Research & Technology*, 50(4), 522-536.
- Peper, E., Harvey, R., Lin, I.M., Tylova, H., & Moss, D. (2007). Is there more to blood volume pulse than heart rate variability, respiratory sinus arrhythmia, and cardiorespiratory synchrony?. *Biofeedback*, *35*(2).
- Picard, R. W., Fedor, S., & Ayzenberg, Y. (2016). Multiple arousal theory and daily-life electrodermal activity asymmetry. *Emotion Review*, 8(1), 62-75.
- Pikora, T., Giles-Corti, B., Bull, F., Jamrozik, K., & Donovan, R. (2003). Developing a framework for assessment of the environmental determinants of walking and cycling. *Social Science & Medicine*, *56*(8), 1693-1703.
- Platon, L., Zehraoui, F., & Tahi, F. (2017). Self-organizing maps with supervised layer. In 2017 12th International Workshop on Self-Organizing Maps and Learning Vector Quantization, Clustering and Data Visualization (WSOM) (pp. 1-8). IEEE.
- Portegijs, E., Rantakokko, M., Viljanen, A., Rantanen, T., & Iwarsson, S. (2017). Perceived and objective entrance-related environmental barriers and daily out-of-home mobility in community-dwelling older people. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 69, 69-76.
- Posada-Quintero, H. F., & Chon, K. H. (2020). Innovations in electrodermal activity data collection and signal processing: A systematic review. *Sensors*, 20(2), 479.
- Posada-Quintero, H. F., Reljin, N., Mills, C., Mills, I., Florian, J. P., VanHeest, J. L., & Chon, K. H. (2018). Time-varying analysis of electrodermal activity during exercise. *PloS One*, 13(6), e0198328.
- Prentice, A. M., & Jebb, S. A. (2001). Beyond body mass index. *Obesity Reviews*, 2(3), 141-147.
- Pujol, J., Martínez-Vilavella, G., Macià, D., Fenoll, R., Alvarez-Pedrerol, M., Rivas, I., Forns, L., Blanco-HinojoJaume, J., Capellades, X., Querol, J., Deus, J., & Sunyer, M. (2016). Traffic pollution exposure is associated with altered brain connectivity in school children. *Neuroimage*, *129*, 175-184.

- Qin, J., Zhou, X., Sun, C., Leng, H., & Lian, Z. (2013). Influence of green spaces on environmental satisfaction and physiological status of urban residents. *Urban Forestry* & Urban Greening, 12(4), 490-497.
- Qstarz (2019). *Qstarz International Co., Ltd.* Available at: http://www.qstarz.com/Qstarz-index.html (accessed 8 July 2019).
- Rahm, J., & Johansson, M. (2018). Assessing the pedestrian response to urban outdoor lighting: A full-scale laboratory study. *PLoS One*, *13*(10), e0204638.
- Ramirez, L. K. B., Hoehner, C. M., Brownson, R. C., Cook, R., Orleans, C. T., Hollander, M., Barker, D. C., Bors, P., Ewing, R., Killingsworth, R., Petersmarck, K., Schmid, T., & Wilkinson, W. (2006). Indicators of activity-friendly communities: An evidence-based consensus process. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, *31*(6), 515-524.
- Rantanen, T. (2013). Promoting mobility in older people. *Journal of Preventive Medicine and Public Health*, 46(Suppl 1), S50.
- Rebecchi, A., Buffoli, M., Dettori, M., Appolloni, L., Azara, A., Castiglia, P., D'Alessandro, D., & Capolongo, S. (2019). Walkable environments and healthy urban moves: Urban context features assessment framework experienced in Milan. *Sustainability*, 11(10), 2778.
- Resende, M. G., & Ribeiro, C. C. (2010). Greedy randomized adaptive search procedures: Advances, hybridizations, and applications. In *Gendreau M., Potvin J. Y. (eds) Handbook* of *Metaheuristics* (pp. 283-319). Springer, Boston, MA.
- Reynald, D. M., & Elffers, H. (2009). The future of Newman's Defensible Space Theory: Linking Defensible Space and the routine activities of place. *European Journal of Criminology*, 6(1), 25-46.
- Roe, J. J., Aspinall, P. A., Mavros, P., & Coyne, R. (2013). Engaging the brain: The impact of natural versus urban scenes using novel EEG methods in an experimental setting. *Journal* of Environmental Sciences, 1(2), 93-104.
- Rokach, L. (2010). Pattern classification using ensemble methods (Vol. 75). World Scientific.
- Sadek, M., Sayaka, S., Fujii, E., Koriesh, E., Moghazy, E., & El Fatah, Y. (2013). Human emotional and psycho-physiological responses to plant color stimuli. *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment*, 11(3&4), 1584-1591.
- Sahlin, E., Lindegård, A., Hadzibajramovic, E., Grahn, P., Vega Matuszczyk, J., & Ahlborg Jr, G. (2016). The influence of the environment on directed attention, blood pressure and heart rate—An experimental study using a relaxation intervention. *Landscape Research*, *41*(1), 7-25.
- Saitis, C., & Kalimeri, K. (2018). Multimodal Classification of stressful environments in visually impaired mobility using EEG and peripheral biosignals. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*.
- Sallis, J. F. (2009). Measuring physical activity environments: A brief history. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, *36*(4), S86-S92.

- Sallis, J. F., & Saelens, B. E. (2000). Assessment of physical activity by self-report: status, limitations, and future directions. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 71(sup2), 1-14.
- Sallis, J., Bauman, A., & Pratt, M. (1998). Environmental and policy interventions to promote physical activity. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, *15*(4), 379-397.
- Salzman, B. (2010). Gait and balance disorders in older adults. *American Family Physician*, 82(1), 61-68.
- Schmuckler, M. A. (2001). What is ecological validity? A dimensional analysis. *Infancy*, 2(4), 419-436.
- Schneider, S., & Koenig, R. (2012). Exploring the generative potential of isovist fields: The evolutionary generation of urban layouts based on isovist field properties. In 30th International Conference on Education Research in Computer Aided Architectural Design in Europe, Prague (pp. 355–363).
- Scott, D. W. (2015). *Multivariate density estimation: Theory, practice, and visualization*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Scott, S. C. (1993). Complexity and mystery as predictors of interior preferences. *Journal of Interior Design*, *19*(1), 25-33.
- Seo, J., Laine, T. H., & Sohn, K. A. (2019). Machine learning approaches for boredom classification using EEG. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, 1-16.
- Setnes, M., Babuska, R., Kaymak, U., & van Nauta Lemke, H. R. (1998). Similarity measures in fuzzy rule base simplification. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, *Part B (Cybernetics)*, 28(3), 376-386.
- Shaffer, F., & Ginsberg, J. P. (2017). An overview of heart rate variability metrics and norms. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *5*, 258.
- Shi, Y., Eberhart, R., & Chen, Y. (1999). Implementation of evolutionary fuzzy systems. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, 7(2), 109-119.
- Shields, K. N., Cavallari, J. M., Hunt, M. J. O., Lazo, M., Molina, M., Molina, L., & Holguin, F. (2013). Traffic-related air pollution exposures and changes in heart rate variability in Mexico City: a panel study. *Environmental Health*, 12(1), 7.
- Shumway-Cook, A., Patla, A., Stewart, A., Ferrucci, L., Ciol, M. A., & Guralnik, J. M. (2003). Environmental components of mobility disability in community-living older persons. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 51(3), 393-398.
- Slone, E., Burles, F., & Iaria, G. (2016). Environmental layout complexity affects neural activity during navigation in humans. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 43(9), 1146-1155.
- Song, C., Ikei, H., & Miyazaki, Y. (2018). Physiological effects of visual stimulation with forest imagery. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 15(2), 213.

- Song, C., Ikei, H., Igarashi, M., Miwa, M., Takagaki, M., & Miyazaki, Y. (2014). Physiological and psychological responses of young males during spring-time walks in urban parks. *Journal of Physiological Anthropology*, 33(1), 8.
- Song, C., Ikei, H., Igarashi, M., Takagaki, M., & Miyazaki, Y. (2015c). Physiological and psychological effects of a walk in urban parks in fall. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *12*(11), 14216-14228.
- Song, C., Ikei, H., Kobayashi, M., Miura, T., Li, Q., Kagawa, T., Kumeda, S., Imai, M., & Miyazaki, Y. (2017). Effects of viewing forest landscape on middle-aged hypertensive men. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 21, 247-252.
- Song, C., Ikei, H., Kobayashi, M., Miura, T., Taue, M., Kagawa, T., Li, Q., Kumeda, S., & Miyazaki, Y. (2015a). Effect of forest walking on autonomic nervous system activity in middle-aged hypertensive individuals: A pilot study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 12(3), 2687-2699.
- Song, C., Joung, D., Ikei, H., Igarashi, M., Aga, M., Park, B. J., Miwa, M., Takagaki, M., & Miyazaki, Y. (2013). Physiological and psychological effects of walking on young males in urban parks in winter. *Journal of Physiological Anthropology*, 32(1), 18.
- South, E. C., Kondo, M. C., Cheney, R. A., & Branas, C. C. (2015). Neighborhood blight, stress, and health: A walking trial of urban greening and ambulatory heart rate. *American Journal of Public Health*, *105*(5), 909-913.
- SpaceGroupUCL (2019). DepthmapX Multi-Platform Spatial Network Analysis Software. Version 0.7.0 Open Source. Available at: https://github.com/SpaceGroupUCL/depthmapX/releases/tag/v0.7.0 (accessed 3 July 2020).
- Stanovich, K. E., West, R. F., & Toplak, M. E. (2016). *The rationality quotient: Toward a test of rational thinking*. MIT press.
- Stevenson, M. P., Dewhurst, R., Schilhab, T., & Bentsen, P. (2019). Cognitive restoration in children following exposure to nature: Evidence from the Attention Network Task and mobile eye tracking. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10.
- Stigsdotter, U. K., Corazon, S. S., Sidenius, U., Kristiansen, J., & Grahn, P. (2017). It is not all bad for the grey city–A crossover study on physiological and psychological restoration in a forest and an urban environment. *Health & Place*, *46*, 145-154.
- Stöggl, T., & Martiner, A. (2017). Validation of Moticon's OpenGo sensor insoles during gait, jumps, balance and cross-country skiing specific imitation movements. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 35(2), 196-206.
- Subramanian, R., Wache, J., Abadi, M. K., Vieriu, R. L., Winkler, S., & Sebe, N. (2018). Ascertain: Emotion and personality recognition using commercial sensors. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, 9(2), 147-160.
- Sun, B., Zhang, Z., Liu, X., Hu, B., & Zhu, T. (2017). Self-esteem recognition based on gait pattern using Kinect. *Gait Posture*, 58, 428-432.

- Svoray, T., Dorman, M., Shahar, G., & Kloog, I. (2018). Demonstrating the effect of exposure to nature on happy facial expressions via Flickr data: Advantages of non-intrusive social network data analyses and geoinformatics methodologies. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 58, 93-100.
- Szinte, M., & Cavanagh, P. (2012). Apparent motion from outside the visual field, retinotopic cortices may register extra-retinal positions. *PLoS One*, 7(10), e47386.
- Tageldin, A., & Sayed, T. (2019). Models to evaluate the severity of pedestrian-vehicle conflicts in five cities. *Transportmetrica A: Transport Science*, *15*(2), 354-375.
- Talen, E. (2009). Design by the rules: The historical underpinnings of form-based codes. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 75(2), 144-160.
- Tang, I. C., Tsai, Y. P., Lin, Y. J., Chen, J. H., Hsieh, C. H., Hung, S. H., ... & Chang, C. Y. (2017). Using functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) to analyze brain region activity when viewing landscapes. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 162, 137-144.
- Tarvainen, M. P., Niskanen, J. P., Lipponen, J. A., Ranta-Aho, P. O., & Karjalainen, P. A. (2014). Kubios HRV-heart rate variability analysis software. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, 113(1), 210-220.
- Tarvainen, M. P., Ranta-Aho, P. O., & Karjalainen, P. A. (2002). An advanced detrending method with application to HRV analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 49(2), 172-175.
- Taylor, S. A., Jaques, N., Nosakhare, E., Sano, A., & Picard, R. (2017). Personalized multitask learning for predicting tomorrow's mood, stress, and health. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, 11(2), 200-213.
- Terrell, G. R. (1990). The maximal smoothing principle in density estimation. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 85(410), 470-477.
- Thompson, C. W., Roe, J., Aspinall, P., Mitchell, R., Clow, A., & Miller, D. (2012). More green space is linked to less stress in deprived communities: Evidence from salivary cortisol patterns. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 105(3), 221-229.
- Tilley, S., Neale, C., Patuano, A., & Cinderby, S. (2017). Older people's experiences of mobility and mood in an urban environment: A mixed methods approach using electroencephalography (EEG) and interviews. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 14(2), 151.
- Timmermans, E. J., Schaap, L. A., Visser, M., van der Ploeg, H. P., Wagtendonk, A. J., van der Pas, S., & Deeg, D. J. (2016). The association of the neighbourhood built environment with objectively measured physical activity in older adults with and without lower limb osteoarthritis. *BMC Public Health*, 16(1), 710.
- Tinetti, M. E. (1986). Performance-oriented assessment of mobility problems in elderly patients. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, *34*(2), 119-126.
- Torku, A., Chan, A. P. C., & Yung, E. H. K. (20202021). Age-friendly cities and communities: A review and future directions. *Ageing & Society*, *41*(10), 2242-22791-38.

- Triguero-Mas, M., Gidlow, C. J., Martínez, D., De Bont, J., Carrasco-Turigas, G., Martínez-Íñiguez, T., Hurst, G., Masterson, D., Donaire-Gonzalez, D., Seto, E., Jones, M. V., & Nieuwenhuijsen, M. J. (2017). The effect of randomised exposure to different types of natural outdoor environments compared to exposure to an urban environment on people with indications of psychological distress in Catalonia. *PloS One*, *12*(3), e0172200.
- Tsunetsugu, Y., Lee, J., Park, B. J., Tyrväinen, L., Kagawa, T., & Miyazaki, Y. (2013). Physiological and psychological effects of viewing urban forest landscapes assessed by multiple measurements. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 113, 90-93.
- Turner, A., Doxa, M., O'sullivan, D., & Penn, A. (2001). From isovists to visibility graphs: A methodology for the analysis of architectural space. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 28(1), 103-121.
- Twardzik, E., Duchowny, K., Gallagher, A., Alexander, N., Strasburg, D., Colabianchi, N., & Clarke, P. (2019). What features of the built environment matter most for mobility? Using wearable sensors to capture real-time outdoor environment demand on gait performance. *Gait & Posture*, 68, 437-442.
- Tyrväinen, L., Ojala, A., Korpela, K., Lanki, T., Tsunetsugu, Y., & Kagawa, T. (2014). The influence of urban green environments on stress relief measures: A field experiment. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 38, 1-9.
- Ulrich, R. S. (1981). Natural versus urban scenes: Some psychophysiological effects. *Environment and Behavior*, 13(5), 523-556.
- Ulrich, R. S., Simons, R. F., Losito, B. D., Fiorito, E., Miles, M. A., & Zelson, M. (1991). Stress recovery during exposure to natural and urban environments. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 11(3), 201-230.
- United Nations (2020). World Population Ageing 2020 Highlights: Living arrangements of older persons. New York: United Nations. ST/ESA/SER.A/451
- US Department of Health and Human Services (2008). 2008 Physical activity guidelines for Americans. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Washington.
- Valtchanov, D., & Ellard, C. G. (2015). Cognitive and affective responses to natural scenes: effects of low level visual properties on preference, cognitive load and eye-movements. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 43, 184-195.
- Valtchanov, D., Barton, K. R., & Ellard, C. (2010). Restorative effects of virtual nature settings. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 13(5), 503-512.
- Van Cauwenberg, J., De Bourdeaudhuij, I., De Meester, F., Van Dyck, D., Salmon, J., Clarys, P., & Deforche, B. (2011). Relationship between the physical environment and physical activity in older adults: A systematic review. *Health & Place*, 17(2), 458-469.
- Van den Berg, M. M., Maas, J., Muller, R., Braun, A., Kaandorp, W., Van Lien, R., Van Poppel, M. N., Van Mechelen, W., & Van den Berg, A. E. (2015). Autonomic nervous system responses to viewing green and built settings: differentiating between sympathetic and parasympathetic activity. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 12(12), 15860-15874.

- van Heezik, Y., Freeman, C., Buttery, Y., & Waters, D. L. (2020). Factors affecting the extent and quality of nature engagement of older adults living in a range of home types. *Environment and Behavior*, 52(8), 799-829.
- Van Hoof, J., Kazak, J. K., Perek-Białas, J. M., & Peek, S. (2018). The challenges of urban ageing: Making cities age-friendly in Europe. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 15(11), 2473.
- Verbrugge, L. M. (2020). Revisiting the disablement process. In Jagger C., Crimmins E., Saito Y., De Carvalho Yokota R., Van Oyen H., & Robine J. M. (eds) International Handbook of Health Expectancies (pp. 275-285). Cham: Springer.
- Verbrugge, L. M., & Jette, A. M. (1994). The disablement process. Social Science & Medicine, 38(1), 1-14.
- Vesanto, J., & Alhoniemi, E. (2000). Clustering of the self-organizing map. *IEEE Transactions* on Neural Networks, 11(3), 586-600.
- Walford, N., Phillips, J., Hockey, A., & Pratt, S. (2017). Assessing the needs of older people in urban settings: integration of emotive, physiological and built environment data. *Geo: Geography and Environment*, 4(1), e00037.
- Waller, L. A., & Gotway, C. A. (2004). *Applied spatial statistics for public health data* (Vol. 368). New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Wan, L., Gao, S., Wu, C., Jin, Y., Mao, M., & Yang, L. (2018). Big data and urban system model-substitutes or complements? A case study of modelling commuting patterns in beijing. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 68, 64-77.
- Wang, W. Z., Guo, Y. W., Huang, B. Y., Zhao, G. R., Liu, B. Q., & Wang, L. (2011). Analysis of filtering methods for 3D acceleration signals in body sensor network. In *International Symposium on Bioelectronics and Bioinformations*, (pp. 263-266). IEEE.
- Webber, S. C., Porter, M. M., & Menec, V. H. (2010). Mobility in older adults: A comprehensive framework. *The Gerontologist*, *50*(4), 443-450.
- Wehrl, A. (1978). General properties of entropy. Reviews of Modern Physics, 50(2), 221.
- Wenczel, F., Hepperle, L., & von Stülpnagel, R. (2017). Gaze behavior during incidental and intentional navigation in an outdoor environment. *Spatial Cognition & Computation*, 17(1-2), 121-142.
- Wheeler, D. C. (2007). A comparison of spatial clustering and cluster detection techniques for childhood leukemia incidence in Ohio, 1996–2003. *International Journal of Health Geographics*, 6(1), 1-16.
- Wheeler, G. (2018). "Bounded Rationality", *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Winter 2018 Edition)*, Edward N. Zalta (ed.).
- WHO (World Health Organisation) (2001). International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Press.
- WHO (World Health Organisation) (2002). *Active aging: A policy framework*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Press.
- WHO (World Health Organisation) (2007). *Global age-friendly cities: A guide*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Press.
- WHO (World Health Organisation) (2009). *Global health risks, mortality, and burden of disease attributable to selected major risks*. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Press.
- WHO (World Health Organisation) (2018). The Global Network for Age-friendly Cities and Communities: Looking back over the last decade, looking forward to the next. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Press.
- WHO (World Health Organisation) (2020). *About the Global Network for Age-friendly Cities and Communities*. Available at: https://extranet.who.int/agefriendlyworld/who-network/ (accessed 2 December 2020).
- Wiener, J. M., & Franz, G. (2004). Isovists as a means to predict spatial experience and behavior. In *International Conference on Spatial Cognition* (pp. 42-57). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Willis, A., Gjersoe, N., Havard, C., Kerridge, J., & Kukla, R. (2004). Human movement behaviour in urban spaces: Implications for the design and modelling of effective pedestrian environments. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 31(6), 805-828.
- Witten, I. H., Frank, E., Hall, M. A., Pal, C. J. Witten, I. H., & Frank, E. (2017). *Data mining: Practical machine learning tools and techniques with Java implementations*. (4th ed.). United States: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Acm Sigmod Record, 31(1), 76-77.
- Won, E., & Kim, Y. K. (2016). Stress, the autonomic nervous system, and the immunekynurenine pathway in the etiology of depression. *Current Neuropharmacology*, *14*(7), 665-673.
- Wong, T. W. (2019). Examining conscious motor processing and the effect of single-task, dualtask and analogy training on walking during rehabilitation by older adults at risk of falling in Hong Kong: Design and methodology of a randomized controlled trial. *Contemporary Clinical Trials Communications*, 100398.
- Wongravee, K., Lloyd, G. R., Silwood, C. J., Grootveld, M., & Brereton, R. G. (2010). Supervised self organizing maps for classification and determination of potentially discriminatory variables: Illustrated by application to nuclear magnetic resonance metabolomic profiling. *Analytical Chemistry*, 82(2), 628-638.
- Woolson, R. F. (2007). Wilcoxon signed-rank test. *Wiley Eencyclopedia of Clinical Trials*, 1-3.
- Wu, X., Oldfield, P., & Heath, T. (2020). Spatial openness and student activities in an atrium: A parametric evaluation of a social informal learning environment. *Building and Environment*, 182, 107141.

- Xiang, L., Papastefanou, G., & Ng, E. (2020). Isovist indicators as a means to relieve pedestrian psycho-physiological stress in Hong Kong. *Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science*.
- Yameqani, A. S., & Alesheikh, A. A. (2019). Predicting subjective measures of walkability index from objective measures using artificial neural networks. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 48, 101560.
- Yang, B. Y., Markevych, I., Bloom, M. S., Heinrich, J., Guo, Y., Morawska, L., Dharmage, S. C., Knibbs, L. D., Jalaludin, B., Jalava, P., Zeng, X., W., Hu, L. W., Liu, K. K., & Dong, G. H. (2019). Community greenness, blood pressure, and hypertension in urban dwellers: The 33 Communities Chinese Health Study. *Environment International*, *126*, 727-734.
- Yang, F., Bao, Z. Y., & Zhu, Z. J. (2011). An assessment of psychological noise reduction by landscape plants. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 8(4), 1032-1048.
- Yang, T. C., & Matthews, S. A. (2010). The role of social and built environments in predicting self-rated stress: A multilevel analysis in Philadelphia. *Health & Place*, *16*(5), 803-810.
- Yin, L. (2017). Street level urban design qualities for walkability: Combining 2D and 3D GIS measures. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 64, 288-296.
- Yu, C. P., Lee, H. Y., & Luo, X. Y. (2018). The effect of virtual reality forest and urban environments on physiological and psychological responses. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 35, 106-114.
- Zhang, C. J., Barnett, A., Johnston, J. M., Lai, P. C., Lee, R. S., Sit, C. H., & Cerin, E. (2019). Objectively-measured neighbourhood attributes as correlates and moderators of quality of life in older adults with different living arrangements: the ALECS cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(5), 876.
- Zhang, X., Xu, C., Xue, W., Hu, J., He, Y., & Gao, M. (2018). Emotion recognition based on multichannel physiological signals with comprehensive nonlinear processing. *Sensors*, 18(11), 3886.
- Zhou, H., He, S., Cai, Y., Wang, M., & Su, S. (2019). Social inequalities in neighborhood visual walkability: Using Street View imagery and deep learning technologies to facilitate healthy city planning. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 50, 101605.
- Zhu, Y., & Newsam, S. (2016). Spatio-temporal sentiment hotspot detection using geotagged photos. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGSPATIAL International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems* (pp. 1-4).
- Zietz, D., & Hollands, M. (2009). Gaze behavior of young and older adults during stair walking. *Journal of Motor Behavior*, 41(4), 357-366.